

EXHIBIT C

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 -----x
4 EASTERN PROFIT CORPORATION LIMITED,
5 Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant,
6 Case No.
7 -against- 18-cv-2185 JGK
8 STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC,
9 Defendant-Counterclaim Plaintiff,
10 vs.
11 GUO WENGUI a/k/a, MILES KWOK,
12 Counterclaim Defendant.
13 -----x
14
15
16 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
17 OF
18 FRENCH WALLOP
19 New York, New York
20 Tuesday, February 12, 2019
21
22
23
24
25

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 2	Page 4
1	1 INDEX
2	2 WITNESS: FRENCH WALLOP PAGE
3	3 BY: MR. GRENDI 8
4	4 BY: MS. TESKE 292
5	5
6	6 LIST OF EXHIBITS
7	7 WALLOP DESCRIPTION PAGE
8	8 Exhibit 1 Notice of Deposition 24
9	9 Exhibit 2 Document Bates stamped 27
10	10 Eastern 000017
11	11 Exhibit 3 Document Bates stamped 30
12	12 SVUS000077
13	13 Exhibit 4 Document entitled 41
14	14 "Three-Year Timeline" Bates
15	15 stamped SVUS000080
16	16 Exhibit 5 Handwritten document 65
17	17 Exhibit 6 Document entitled "Time to 73
18	18 Get Them Beginning the
19	19 Psycho-Political Campaign
20	20 For China"
21	21 Exhibit 7 Document entitled "1: Anita 84
22	22 Yiu Suen"
23	23 Exhibit 8 Research Agreement, 107
24	24 January 1, 2018
25	25
Page 3	Page 5
1 APPEARANCES:	1 LIST OF EXHIBITS
2	2 WALLOP DESCRIPTION PAGE
3 ZEICHNER, ELLMAN & KRAUSE LLP	3 Exhibit 9 Research Agreement dated 126
4 Attorneys for Eastern Profit Corporation Limited	4 December 29, 2017
5 1211 Avenue of the Americas	5 Exhibit 10 Signal messages, Bates 186
6 New York, NY 10036	6 stamped Eastern-0000201
7 BY: ZACHARY GRENDI, ESQ.	7 Exhibit 11 Background Report on Qing 226
8 zgrendi@zeklaw.com	8 Yao
9	9 Exhibit 12 Signal message thread 228
10 HODGSON RUSS LLP	10 Exhibit 13 Letter dated February 23, 241
11 Attorneys for Guo Wengui a/k/a, Miles Kwok	11 2018
12 605 Third Avenue, Suite 2300	12 Exhibit 14 Document Bates stamped 247
13 New York, New York 10158	13 SVUS000040 and SVUS000041
14 BY: ERIN N. TESKE, ESQ.	14 Exhibit 15 Document entitled "Line-Item 251
15	15 Budget 1 Month Projected
16 PHILLIPS LYtle LLP	16 Cost Analysis" Bates stamped
17 Attorneys for Strategic Vision US, LLC	17 SVUS260
18 340 Madison Avenue	18 Exhibit 16 Invoice from Allied Special 254
19 New York, New York 10173-1922	19 Operations Group, Bates
20 BY: JOSEPH B. SCHMIDT, ESQ.	20 stamped SVUS000262
21 jschmit@phillipslytle.com	21 Exhibit 17 Document Bates stamped 257
22	22 SVUS000272 to SVUS000277
23 ALSO PRESENT:	23 Exhibit 18 Document entitled "Subject 260
24 YVETTE WANG (Morning session only)	24 Chart," Bates SVUS000278
25 JAYSUN LOUSHIN, The Videographer	25

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

			Page 6	Page 8
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS			
2	WALLOP	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
3	Exhibit 19	Strategic Vision's Responses	262	
4		and Objections to		
5		Plaintiff's First Set of		
6		Interrogatories		
7	Exhibit 20	Strategic Vision's	271	
8		Supplemental and Amended		
9		Response and Objections to		
10		Plaintiff's First Set of		
11		Interrogatories		
12	Exhibit 21	Amended Answer and	275	
13		Counterclaims		
14		(Exhibits retained by Counsel.)		
15				
16	(*r) DOCUMENTS REQUESTED:			
17	Page: 118	11		
18	Page: 253	22		
19	Page: 273	6		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
			Page 7	Page 9
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER:	Good morning. This		
2		is the beginning of media 1 in the		
3		deposition of French Wallop, in the matter		
4		of Eastern Profit Corporation Limited versus		
5		Strategic Vision US, LLC.		
6		Today's date is February 12, 2019. My		
7		name is Jaysun Loushin, I am the		
8		videographer, and the court reporter is		
9		Roberta Caiola. We are here with Huseby		
10		Global Litigation.		
11		Counsel, please introduce yourself,		
12		after which the court reporter will swear in		
13		the witness. The time on the monitor is		
14		9:58.		
15		MR. GRENDI: Good morning. I'm Zach		
16		Grendi. I'm counsel for Eastern Profit		
17		Corporation. My law firm is Zeichner,		
18		Ellman & Krause.		
19		MR. SCHMIDT: Joe Schmidt from Phillips		
20		Lytle, on behalf of Strategic Vision as well		
21		as the witness, French Wallop.		
22		MS. TESKE: Erin Teske with Hodgson		
23		Russ, on behalf of third-party defendant		
24		Miles Kwok.		
25				

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 four years. And then I was at the Ecole 2 D'Interpretes in Geneva. And then I came back to 3 Georgetown University and got my linguistic's 4 degree in simultaneous interpreting. 5 Q. Anything else? 6 A. I did – I didn't finish, but I went to 7 SAIS, The School of Advanced International Study, 8 which is part of Johns Hopkins; so it doesn't 9 count as a degree, sadly. 10 Q. What about your work experience, let's 11 just say the last 20 years or so? 12 A. Oh, my goodness. 13 Q. You don't have to say everything. Just 14 an overview. 15 A. Well, I've run two companies, and 16 plus – and I sold them in 2000. And then in 17 about 2005, I started up my Strategic Vision 18 group. 19 Q. In 2005, starting Strategic Vision, is 20 that what you've been doing ever since? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Any other employment that you have or 23 businesses that you're running, other than 24 Strategic Vision? 25 A. Yes. I have another company that's</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 Dr. Waller? 2 A. I would say in the last year and a 3 half. 4 Q. And how did that come about? 5 A. We had an – I had an introduction by 6 Bill Gertz and Lianchao Han regarding this 7 particular client. I'm not sure, by the way, how 8 to refer to this client. We've referred to him 9 as Miles Guo, G-u-o. 10 Q. Eastern Profit is fine. 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Well, you know, you refer 12 to him however you think the client is. 13 A. He has about six names, so I'm not sure 14 what goes into the record. 15 Q. Whatever you know. 16 A. We refer to him as Miles Guo. But I 17 was referred to him by both Bill Gertz, the 18 Washington Times and the Free Beacon, and 19 Lianchao. And I – when they approached me, 20 that's when I decided Mike was one of the people 21 I really wanted to work with on this. 22 Q. But I wanted to ask you. Have you ever 23 worked with Dr. Waller on any investigatory 24 research before, as you said, you were introduced 25 to Mr. Guo?</p>
<p>1 called Regency Mayfair Worldwide, and that works 2 overseas on projects. 3 Q. What kind of projects? 4 A. Family office groups. 5 Q. What do you mean by that? What kind of 6 projects do you do for family office groups 7 through this Mayfair company? 8 A. Investment – investment advisory. 9 Q. Okay. So nothing to do with 10 investigatory research or things of that nature? 11 A. No. 12 Q. How do you know Michael Waller, or John 13 Michael Waller? 14 A. Well, Mike Waller is the way we refer 15 to him, or Dr. Waller. Gosh, I've known Mike for 16 many, many years. I would say, certainly, 17 certainly 20. And our paths have crossed many 18 times in Washington on both international affairs 19 and intelligence groups. 20 Q. When did Mr. Waller – well, I'll call 21 him Dr. Waller. 22 A. Yes, he is Dr. Waller. 23 Q. He didn't insist on it the last time, 24 but fair enough. When did you start – or when 25 did Strategic Vision start working with</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 A. No. No. 2 Q. Since you were introduced to Mr. Guo, 3 have you and Dr. Waller worked on any other 4 investigatory research together for another 5 client? You don't have to name them. 6 A. I can't name them. 7 Q. No, I'm saying whether you have or have 8 not provided – 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. – a service to another client? 11 A. Yes, but – yes. 12 Q. Okay. I didn't ask you to name the 13 client. 14 A. Don't worry. 15 Q. And how many other clients have you and 16 Dr. Waller worked on investigatory research for? 17 A. I can't answer that. 18 Q. So you're refusing to answer that 19 question? 20 MR. SCHMIDT: No, no, I don't think 21 that's what she's saying. 22 MR. GRENDI: Okay. 23 Q. How many? 24 A. Four. 25 MR. SCHMIDT: Approximately? Okay.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 THE WITNESS: Approximately, yeah.</p> <p>2 MR. GRENDI: I'm going to ask that you</p> <p>3 not try to interrupt.</p> <p>4 MR. SCHMIDT: I'm trying to keep you</p> <p>5 guys moving forward.</p> <p>6 MR. GRENDI: I appreciate that, but I'm</p> <p>7 not trying to –</p> <p>8 MR. SCHMIDT: I think you knew what she</p> <p>9 meant and kind of accused her of refusing to</p> <p>10 answer. I don't think that was appropriate,</p> <p>11 so that's when I had to step in, okay?</p> <p>12 MR. GRENDI: I didn't think that that's</p> <p>13 what I was doing. I was –</p> <p>14 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. That's how it was</p> <p>15 coming across on the record, so I clarified</p> <p>16 it.</p> <p>17 MR. GRENDI: Okay. Fair enough.</p> <p>18 Q. So you and Dr. Waller have worked on</p> <p>19 approximately five different investigatory</p> <p>20 research projects with Strategic Vision?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And none of them preceded your</p> <p>23 introduction to Mr. Guo?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. No, they did not precede –</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p> <p>1 how to answer it.</p> <p>2 Q. You don't understand the question?</p> <p>3 A. No, I don't understand the question.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. What I'm saying is, when did you</p> <p>5 stop working with someone else in terms of</p> <p>6 providing investigatory research?</p> <p>7 A. I didn't say I had stopped.</p> <p>8 Q. So you work with, concurrently, other</p> <p>9 individuals who provide investigatory research</p> <p>10 for Strategic Vision?</p> <p>11 A. From time to time.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. So you don't have an exclusive</p> <p>13 relationship with Dr. Waller in terms of</p> <p>14 investigatory research that he provides?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. You said that you founded</p> <p>17 Strategic Vision in 2005?</p> <p>18 A. I think so. I'd have to look.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Was anyone else involved in the</p> <p>20 company at the time?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. So it's always been your company?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And you've never had any other</p> <p>25 officers?</p>
<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. – or –</p> <p>3 A. They did not.</p> <p>4 Q. Prior to working with Dr. Waller, did</p> <p>5 you work with someone else in terms of</p> <p>6 investigatory research for Strategic Vision?</p> <p>7 A. When?</p> <p>8 Q. Before you started working with</p> <p>9 Dr. Waller, so let's say prior to a year and a</p> <p>10 half ago.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And was it just one entity or several</p> <p>13 entities?</p> <p>14 A. I can't remember.</p> <p>15 Q. Without going into any detail. Was</p> <p>16 that a similar arrangement to your arrangement</p> <p>17 with Dr. Waller in terms of the provisioning of</p> <p>18 investigatory research?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. How was it different?</p> <p>21 A. I can't remember.</p> <p>22 Q. When did you stop working with someone</p> <p>23 else on investigatory research prior to working</p> <p>24 with Dr. Waller?</p> <p>25 A. That's an odd question. I don't know</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p> <p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Or directors?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. Or unit holders?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Have there been any other principals of</p> <p>7 Strategic Vision, other than you?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. So what's your role in Strategic</p> <p>10 Vision?</p> <p>11 A. I run certain advisory clients and work</p> <p>12 with them as clients, private clients.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you have a title, like CEO?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, I think it's CEO.</p> <p>15 Q. So what services does Strategic Vision</p> <p>16 provide to its clients?</p> <p>17 A. I've already answered that.</p> <p>18 Q. I don't think you have.</p> <p>19 A. I said advisory services.</p> <p>20 Q. What do you mean by advisory services?</p> <p>21 A. Advisory client services.</p> <p>22 Q. Does that include investigatory</p> <p>23 research?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, in some cases.</p> <p>25 Q. What does that investigatory research</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 involve?</p> <p>2 A. Exactly as it says. Each client is</p> <p>3 different, isn't it?</p> <p>4 Q. Right. What I'm asking is, what does</p> <p>5 Strategic Vision's investigatory research</p> <p>6 services entail?</p> <p>7 A. Every client is different.</p> <p>8 Investigatory services is exactly that, depending</p> <p>9 upon how you want to define investigations.</p> <p>10 Q. How does Strategic Vision provide that</p> <p>11 service, what does it do?</p> <p>12 A. Investigate.</p> <p>13 Q. How?</p> <p>14 A. The usual ways.</p> <p>15 Q. What are the usual ways?</p> <p>16 A. I find it's a repetitive question.</p> <p>17 Q. I don't care if you find it a</p> <p>18 repetitive question. What I'm asking you to do</p> <p>19 is answer my question.</p> <p>20 I want to know how Strategic Vision</p> <p>21 performs investigations?</p> <p>22 A. We look everybody up on Facebook.</p> <p>23 Q. That's it?</p> <p>24 A. Sure. It's an idiotic question.</p> <p>25 MR. SCHMIDT: Don't comment. Just</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p> <p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Who does?</p> <p>3 A. Whomever we bring on board to do the</p> <p>4 investigation.</p> <p>5 Q. So Strategic Vision hires independent</p> <p>6 contractors?</p> <p>7 A. Our own team of people that we have</p> <p>8 worked with off and on through the years, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you or Strategic Vision provide any</p> <p>10 input or edits to any of the reports? I mean,</p> <p>11 what does Strategic do in terms of –</p> <p>12 A. We review the reports.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you ever edit them?</p> <p>14 A. I wouldn't say edit them, no.</p> <p>15 Q. How does Strategic Vision contribute to</p> <p>16 the end work product of an investigatory research</p> <p>17 report?</p> <p>18 A. Well, when we get them, we look at them</p> <p>19 and we read them, depending upon who the teams</p> <p>20 are, and discuss them, and then produce them.</p> <p>21 Sometimes they can be verbal, sometimes they can</p> <p>22 be on flash drives. It depends what the client</p> <p>23 needs.</p> <p>24 Q. Does Strategic Vision – well, let me</p> <p>25 ask you this. Strike that.</p>
<p>1 answer the question.</p> <p>2 Q. Excuse me, ma'am, I'm just trying to</p> <p>3 understand things. And I think – maybe you</p> <p>4 don't understand how a deposition works, but I'm</p> <p>5 just trying to understand basic information. You</p> <p>6 might think something is obvious or intuitive,</p> <p>7 but the record doesn't know that and we don't</p> <p>8 know that. So I please ask you to cooperate.</p> <p>9 A. Of course.</p> <p>10 Q. So other than looking people up on</p> <p>11 Facebook, how does Strategic Vision perform</p> <p>12 investigations?</p> <p>13 A. We do investigative background work on</p> <p>14 individuals that other clients are interested in</p> <p>15 pursuing information on.</p> <p>16 Q. So that background work, does that</p> <p>17 involve surveillance or electronic research; give</p> <p>18 me a little detail on what it means to</p> <p>19 investigate someone for background?</p> <p>20 A. Precisely. Just as you said.</p> <p>21 Q. Does Strategic Vision do that</p> <p>22 investigatory research itself?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. So you never perform any research</p> <p>25 yourself?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p> <p>1 Do you ever access a network of</p> <p>2 individuals that you're familiar with to get</p> <p>3 information for investigatory research?</p> <p>4 A. Sometimes.</p> <p>5 Q. And just, without naming any names,</p> <p>6 describe what that entails?</p> <p>7 A. Experience.</p> <p>8 Q. Right. Do you speak to individuals in</p> <p>9 the intelligence community?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Politicians?</p> <p>12 A. Sometimes.</p> <p>13 Q. People in the business community?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And then you take that information and</p> <p>16 perhaps include that in an investigatory research</p> <p>17 report, or how does that work?</p> <p>18 A. It depends on the client.</p> <p>19 Q. Give me an example of a client where</p> <p>20 you did access that network in order to</p> <p>21 contribute?</p> <p>22 A. I can't do that precisely.</p> <p>23 Q. Not with any specificity?</p> <p>24 A. Just as I said. We are very careful</p> <p>25 about the investigations that we do, they're</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

1 private, and if they are what the client has 2 requested, that's what we do. 3 MR. SCHMIDT: French, maybe you can 4 give a response to these questions just kind 5 of a 30,000-foot level in general of what 6 you do would, different ideas you've done 7 over the years, that might be helpful. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 MR. SCHMIDT: Like types of things 10 you've done to research people, that sort of 11 thing. 12 Q. Please go ahead. 13 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah, go ahead. 14 A. With what? 15 Q. What he just asked. 16 A. Go ahead, ask me the question again. 17 Q. Can you please give an overview of the 18 types of research investigations that Strategic 19 Vision does and how they do them? 20 A. We look at individual clients or groups 21 or companies or areas of interest on behalf of 22 our clients, whether it's international, whether 23 it's domestic. 24 Q. And how many investigations over the 25 years has Strategic Vision handled?	Page 22	1 Q. And Strategic Vision doesn't have any 2 employees? 3 A. No. 4 MR. GRENDI: Let's do Exhibit 1 here. 5 (Wallop Exhibit 1, Notice of 6 Deposition, marked for identification.) 7 Q. Ms. Wallop, do you recognize this 8 document? 9 A. I'm sure it's in the file. I don't 10 recognize it particularly. 11 Q. If you turn to the third page there, do 12 you see that, Attachment A? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And just generally speaking, do you 15 understand that this is a 30(b)(6) deposition 16 notice? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And did you review this document prior 19 to today? 20 A. Actually, I did not. 21 Q. Did you prepare for this deposition in 22 any way? 23 A. Of course. 24 Q. You met with your attorneys? And I'll 25 just caution you right away, don't tell me	Page 24
1 A. Oh, my goodness, I have no idea. 2 Q. Ballpark? 3 A. I have no idea. 4 Q. Fifty? 5 A. No. I would say probably, maybe 15, 6 20, something like that. 7 Q. That's since 2005? 8 A. It's probably more than that, but since 9 2005, yeah. 10 Q. Okay. And other than investigatory 11 services, what kind of services does Strategic 12 Vision provide? 13 A. As I've said earlier, we work with 14 clients on advisory services for family offices. 15 Q. That's part of Strategic Vision's 16 business? 17 A. I've already stated that. 18 Q. You mentioned another entity, that's 19 why I wasn't sure if you'd separated the two 20 entities or you – 21 A. No, you were very sure. You didn't – 22 that's not a fair statement. 23 MR. SCHMIDT: Just answer the question, 24 French. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay.	Page 23	1 anything you said to your attorneys or your 2 attorneys said to you. 3 A. Well, exactly, of course I discussed it 4 with my attorneys. 5 Q. And did you meet and go over documents 6 in preparation for this deposition? 7 MR. SCHMIDT: You can say yes or no. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. How long did you do that for? 10 A. Today, or ever, or? 11 Q. All in, sure, all together. 12 A. Sure. I have no idea what the answer 13 would be. 14 MR. SCHMIDT: Just do the best you can. 15 A. Okay. How about – for today's 16 deposition? 17 Q. Yes. 18 A. Okay. How about 3 hours, by telephone 19 or something. 20 MR. SCHMIDT: We had met in person 21 before, you should tell him that. 22 A. Oh, we had a coffee before, or I had a 23 coffee. 24 Q. And you prepared with your attorney 25 here, Mr. Schmidt?	Page 25

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Did you go over any documents?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 MR. SCHMIDT: I think you should tell</p> <p>5 him that, at the initial meeting we had, we</p> <p>6 went over the –</p> <p>7 A. Oh, well, of course –</p> <p>8 MR. SCHMIDT: – the documents. That</p> <p>9 counts as well. You should –</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: I thought he was talking</p> <p>11 about the 30 minutes that we met for coffee</p> <p>12 before we walked across the street. So,</p> <p>13 okay.</p> <p>14 MR. SCHMIDT: Fill out the record.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: So, all right. Yeah, no,</p> <p>16 obviously.</p> <p>17 Q. Let's clean that up, just so I</p> <p>18 understand. Did you go over documents in</p> <p>19 preparation for this deposition?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next document.</p> <p>22 Just generally speaking, you understand that I'll</p> <p>23 be asking questions addressed to Strategic</p> <p>24 Vision. In response to those, you'll be</p> <p>25 answering on behalf of Strategic Vision; you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p> <p>1 Dr. Waller – or, I'm sorry, Lianchao Han?</p> <p>2 A. It's public.</p> <p>3 Q. I understand that.</p> <p>4 A. I have no idea whether they saw it or</p> <p>5 not.</p> <p>6 Q. I'm asking whether you personally gave</p> <p>7 it to them?</p> <p>8 A. I have no idea.</p> <p>9 MR. SCHMIDT: Do you remember giving it</p> <p>10 to them?</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And it says here, "over a</p> <p>13 40-year period, the principal has developed and</p> <p>14 maintained connections with many U.S.</p> <p>15 administrations, Congress, DOD, DOE and other</p> <p>16 agencies." Do you see that?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. And that's your network of contacts</p> <p>19 being referred to there?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. And if you look a little further down</p> <p>22 the page, it mentions strategic research, do you</p> <p>23 see that?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Is that investigatory research; I mean,</p>
<p>1 understand that, right?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 MR. GRENDI: This is Exhibit 2.</p> <p>4 (Wallop Exhibit 2, Document Bates</p> <p>5 stamped Eastern 000017, marked for</p> <p>6 identification.)</p> <p>7 Q. Ms. Wallop, I've handed you a document</p> <p>8 that has the Bates number Eastern 17 in the</p> <p>9 bottom right-hand corner of the first page, do</p> <p>10 you see that?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. Just so you – for the clarity of the</p> <p>13 record and for your own edification, sometimes</p> <p>14 I'll be referring to documents by their Bates</p> <p>15 number, just to be clear, and I just want to make</p> <p>16 sure you know what a Bates number was.</p> <p>17 A. Thank you.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you recognize this document?</p> <p>19 A. It looks like a LinkedIn document or</p> <p>20 print-off.</p> <p>21 Q. So did you create the content in this</p> <p>22 document?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And did you ever give this information</p> <p>25 to Mr. Guo or Yvette Wang or Michael – or</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p> <p>1 what does that mean?</p> <p>2 A. It can be.</p> <p>3 Q. What about competitive intelligence,</p> <p>4 that's the last item there?</p> <p>5 A. Yes. What about it?</p> <p>6 Q. Is that inclusive of investigatory</p> <p>7 private research or investigations?</p> <p>8 A. In some cases, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Is private investigations a specialty</p> <p>10 of Strategic Vision or does it really provide a</p> <p>11 broader suite of services?</p> <p>12 A. Broader suite, but it's inclusive of</p> <p>13 that, if required.</p> <p>14 Q. Would you consider investigatory</p> <p>15 research a core service of Strategic Vision?</p> <p>16 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. Go ahead.</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. So it's kind of like a side service</p> <p>19 that Strategic Vision provides?</p> <p>20 MR. SCHMIDT: Same objection, but go</p> <p>21 ahead.</p> <p>22 A. It depends on the client's request.</p> <p>23 Q. So sometimes it's the general focus of</p> <p>24 Strategic Vision's service to a client and other</p> <p>25 times it's not even part of the service?</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Does Strategic Vision provide lobbying</p> <p>3 services?</p> <p>4 A. Again, that depends on the client. We</p> <p>5 don't do it directly, but we will work with</p> <p>6 people that are lobbyists.</p> <p>7 Q. So sometimes –</p> <p>8 A. Sometimes.</p> <p>9 Q. – Strategic Vision provides lobbying</p> <p>10 services?</p> <p>11 A. Sometimes, yes.</p> <p>12 MR. GRENDI: This is 3.</p> <p>13 (Wallop Exhibit 3, Document Bates</p> <p>14 stamped SVUS000077, marked for</p> <p>15 identification.)</p> <p>16 Q. Ms. Wallop, do you recognize this</p> <p>17 document?</p> <p>18 A. I do.</p> <p>19 Q. What is it?</p> <p>20 A. It is a preliminary sort of vision for</p> <p>21 Miles Guo, for our first meeting, I believe, with</p> <p>22 him, that both Mike Waller, Dr. Waller and I</p> <p>23 worked on.</p> <p>24 Q. So the handwriting on the top right</p> <p>25 corner, is that your handwriting?</p>	Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And when did you make that note, if you</p> <p>3 recall?</p> <p>4 A. I'd have to – to look on my paper</p> <p>5 calendar. I don't remember.</p> <p>6 Q. You have a paper calendar that you</p> <p>7 keep?</p> <p>8 A. No. I'd have to look – I'd have to</p> <p>9 look. I remember it was sort of – there was –</p> <p>10 there was one meeting in early December, and I</p> <p>11 don't have that with me.</p> <p>12 Q. Ms. Wallop, do you keep a calendar?</p> <p>13 A. No, I don't keep anything on – I keep</p> <p>14 notes, sticky notes, so.</p> <p>15 Q. You don't keep like a Google</p> <p>16 calendar –</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. – or electronic calendar?</p> <p>19 A. God, no.</p> <p>20 Q. You keep paper Post-it notes to track</p> <p>21 your meetings and schedule?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. How were you introduced to Mr. Guo?</p> <p>24 A. Through Bill Gertz and Lianchao Han.</p> <p>25 Q. And when did that come about?</p>	Page 31	Page 33

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

1 outfit. 2 A. Yeah. And the – it's certainly 3 conservative, it was run under the time when 4 Arnaud de Borchgrave was the editor, along with 5 several other close friends, and he's now at the 6 Washington Free Beacon, I think, mostly. 7 Q. Is that another right of center 8 publication? 9 A. Um-hum. I think I would call it a 10 center and conservative. I wouldn't call it 11 right of center. 12 Q. I was – 13 A. I mean, really? 14 Q. – asking for your – I was asking for 15 your understanding of it. 16 A. Well, you've got my understanding of 17 it. 18 Q. Thank you. 19 A. You're welcome. 20 Q. And how do you know Lianchao Han? 21 A. Lianchao and I have crossed paths in 22 Washington off and on over the years, maybe 23 because of some of the Taiwan activities in 24 Washington. But I've always sort of known him 25 and liked him. I never worked with him. But	Page 34	Page 36
1 he's an excellent individual. 2 Q. Did Strategic Vision pay any referral 3 fees or any other consideration to Mr. Han for 4 introducing Mr. Guo to you? 5 A. Good Lord, no. 6 Q. What about Mr. Gertz? 7 A. Never. 8 Q. Okay. And going back to this first 9 meeting document. Did you present this document 10 to Mr. Guo or was this just you and Mr. Waller's 11 notes? 12 A. I know that we presented one that was 13 very similar to this, and I think a bit more – 14 it might have been a bit more extensive, but it 15 encapsulated. We were probably trying to keep it 16 short and concise, but we did – we did work on 17 this vision based upon what both Mr. Gertz and 18 Lianchao had told us about him and what his sort 19 of ambitions were for remaining in the United 20 States. 21 Q. So did you and Dr. Waller create this 22 document after your meeting with Mr. Han and 23 Mr. Gertz? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And how did that collaboration come	Page 35	Page 37

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 this meeting, right?</p> <p>2 A. No. But the meeting went on for hours</p> <p>3 and hours and hours. You're asking what was the</p> <p>4 content of the meeting.</p> <p>5 Q. Yes.</p> <p>6 A. Yes, that's what I'm saying.</p> <p>7 Q. So was this document written during the</p> <p>8 meeting or before?</p> <p>9 A. I think this one may have been – may</p> <p>10 have been before, but – yes, I think it was</p> <p>11 before, because it doesn't mention the real</p> <p>12 estate and so forth that he got into during the</p> <p>13 meeting. So this might have been sort of like</p> <p>14 a – it is sort of a vision paper, based on a</p> <p>15 conversation with Mr. – with Bill Gertz and with</p> <p>16 Lianchao Han.</p> <p>17 Q. What do you recall saying about</p> <p>18 Strategic Vision's capabilities and background?</p> <p>19 Actually, let's just start with capabilities.</p> <p>20 Do you recall presenting about what</p> <p>21 Strategic Vision could do for Mr. Guo?</p> <p>22 A. We were talking ideologically mostly</p> <p>23 about what could be done to help present his</p> <p>24 views on communist China, on mainland China. And</p> <p>25 so we didn't get into specifics at the very</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p> <p>1 been.</p> <p>2 Q. What do you remember about that?</p> <p>3 A. I remember that we discussed that Miles</p> <p>4 Guo said that he had a number of people that he</p> <p>5 wanted to know more about that were in mainland</p> <p>6 China, but we didn't have any specifics at that</p> <p>7 time what he was talking about.</p> <p>8 Q. Did Strategic Vision mention that it</p> <p>9 could provide that information or that it had</p> <p>10 that capability?</p> <p>11 A. I don't recall. It could have.</p> <p>12 Q. Turning to the last page there, SV79.</p> <p>13 It says, "Mr. G should maintain his statesmanlike</p> <p>14 status by not engaging in everyday defense or</p> <p>15 counterattack, and should leave it up to his own</p> <p>16 surrogates."</p> <p>17 Do you see that?</p> <p>18 A. Where is that?</p> <p>19 Q. It's the first sentence of the last</p> <p>20 paragraph.</p> <p>21 A. Oh, the regime, yeah. Okay. "Those</p> <p>22 surrogates will be in journalism, academia,</p> <p>23 business, policy." Yes, yes. Okay.</p> <p>24 Q. Do you remember talking about that</p> <p>25 subject at this meeting?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>
<p>1 beginning. We just started sort of having an</p> <p>2 initial conversation about how – how we felt he</p> <p>3 could be represented in Washington and how we</p> <p>4 could show his positive side.</p> <p>5 Q. And did you explain what Strategic</p> <p>6 Vision does or how Strategic Vision could help</p> <p>7 with that?</p> <p>8 A. Probably.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you recall what, if anything, you</p> <p>10 said about that?</p> <p>11 A. Not precisely, no. Generalities. This</p> <p>12 was someone we just met, and it is the custom in</p> <p>13 Asia not to dive into a lot of details unless</p> <p>14 you're asked.</p> <p>15 Q. So did Mr. Lianchao Han or Mr. Guo ask</p> <p>16 about Strategic Vision's capabilities?</p> <p>17 A. Eventually.</p> <p>18 Q. But not at this meeting?</p> <p>19 A. Not entire – I don't recall.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay.</p> <p>21 A. But they could have, but I don't</p> <p>22 precisely recall.</p> <p>23 Q. Was investigatory research discussed in</p> <p>24 any detail at this first meeting?</p> <p>25 A. It could have been. It could have</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p> <p>1 A. Probably.</p> <p>2 Q. And what do you remember?</p> <p>3 A. I don't remember precisely. It's over</p> <p>4 a year ago.</p> <p>5 Q. And you don't remember what Mr. Guo</p> <p>6 said about that, or anybody else?</p> <p>7 A. It was a general, initial conversation</p> <p>8 with somebody that we did not know, and we were</p> <p>9 asked to produce something that we felt could be</p> <p>10 a good vision for him to consider, perhaps, yeah.</p> <p>11 (Wallop Exhibit 4, Document entitled</p> <p>12 "Three-Year Timeline" Bates stamped</p> <p>13 SVUS000080, marked for identification.)</p> <p>14 Q. Do you recognize what's been marked as</p> <p>15 Waller 4?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And what is this document?</p> <p>18 A. Wallop.</p> <p>19 Q. Oh, sorry, Wallop. I apologize. The</p> <p>20 W. Wallop 4.</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Did you create this document?</p> <p>23 A. Yes. Mike and I did.</p> <p>24 Q. When was that?</p> <p>25 A. That was at the second meeting with</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Miles Guo.</p> <p>2 Q. So you remember literally typing and 3 creating this document during the meeting?</p> <p>4 A. Prior to the meeting.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And when was this second 6 meeting?</p> <p>7 A. Again, I'd have to ask Mike. I think 8 it was – I know it was in December of 2017.</p> <p>9 Q. And who was present?</p> <p>10 A. Dr. Waller, Mike Waller, myself, 11 Lianchao, and, obviously, Miles Guo.</p> <p>12 Q. What was Lianchao's role in the 13 meeting; was he translating?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, mostly. And explaining, walking 15 through some of the explanations, not only the 16 translation, but, again, we were having a 17 generalized conversation.</p> <p>18 Q. And just for the clarity of the record. 19 Mr. Han was translating for Mr. Guo?</p> <p>20 A. Yes. Both ways.</p> <p>21 Q. Of course.</p> <p>22 A. Mr. Guo speaks very good English, so he 23 doesn't really need an interpreter, but it's 24 better to have one.</p> <p>25 Q. Did Mr. Han actually read this whole</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p> <p>1 A. He liked it very much.</p> <p>2 Q. Does that include all of the items in 3 this timeline or was he more receptive to some 4 services than others?</p> <p>5 A. It was interesting. He was very keen 6 on having a – a big, a huge, large presence in 7 the way of a residence, and then also purchasing 8 the American Security and Trust building across 9 from the Treasury Department in Washington as an 10 office building for him. He liked the idea of 11 the Washington-based educational and cultural 12 foundation, which we thought might be a good way 13 of exposing him to or introducing him to 14 Washington and the Hill, and working on a more 15 positive anti-communist role.</p> <p>16 Q. And in terms of this real estate 17 portion of it, acquiring what, a residence?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And also an office building, I guess, 20 for a foundation?</p> <p>21 A. Yes. I just said that.</p> <p>22 Q. Yes. I understand. And so, is that a 23 service that Strategic Vision typically provides 24 for clients?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sometimes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>
<p>1 document to Mr. Guo and translate it for him; was 2 that part of the meeting?</p> <p>3 A. Yes. I think we walked through each 4 one of these paragraphs, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And going back to the prior document, 6 Exhibit 3. Was the same process employed where 7 Mr. Han, to your understanding, was translating 8 the document for Mr. Guo?</p> <p>9 A. To my understanding, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. But, obviously, you don't speak 11 Mandarin, do you?</p> <p>12 A. A little bit. Not as much as I'd like.</p> <p>13 Q. Fair enough. But you're not fluent?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And so, to the extent you 16 recall, what occurred at this second meeting?</p> <p>17 A. This was a broader timeline based on 18 the first meeting and the first vision paper that 19 we had in our discussion, and this gave sort of a 20 menu, so to speak, a la carte, or menu of ideas 21 as to how we could help Miles Guo, at his 22 request, to set up sort of a strategic plan for 23 him.</p> <p>24 Q. So what was his response to the 25 presentation that you made?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p> <p>1 Q. So Strategic Vision will help people 2 locate properties and acquire them?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Is that in the D.C. area or nationwide; 5 how does that work?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, both. D.C. area, nationwide, 7 globally, whatever.</p> <p>8 Q. And how was that, let's just call it 9 real estate project, followed up on subsequently?</p> <p>10 A. Well, actually, Lianchao – I picked up 11 Lianchao and Yvette at a hotel downtown off M 12 Street, because she wanted to see all of the 13 properties that we had in mind. I think it must 14 have been obviously – honestly I can't remember. 15 I think it was – I think it was after this 16 second meeting, that she came to Washington, and 17 Lianchao lives in Washington, so we picked him 18 up, and I had a brochure, a set of brochures of 19 homes and the office building that we drove 20 around, because there are a lot of cameras in 21 Washington.</p> <p>22 We put her in the back seat with 23 Lianchao. I have a Jeep, so those windows happen 24 to have a, whatever it is, sun – more blacked 25 out windows than the front two seats.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. Tinted windows?</p> <p>2 A. Tinted, yes. So we drove them around,</p> <p>3 and I pointed out the homes. I never made any</p> <p>4 appointments to see the homes, because the last</p> <p>5 thing we wanted to do was sort of bring Yvette or</p> <p>6 anybody else in to see the homes. We had the</p> <p>7 brochures that had all of the interiors in the</p> <p>8 homes, photographs, so she could easily see it.</p> <p>9 We went around Kalorama, we went around</p> <p>10 Georgetown, we went into what they call Spring</p> <p>11 Valley.</p> <p>12 Q. And, Ms. Wang, was this the first time</p> <p>13 you met her?</p> <p>14 A. No. She was also in one of those</p> <p>15 meetings, probably in the first meeting we had,</p> <p>16 this one, sorry; whenever the first meeting was</p> <p>17 with Guo, she was present.</p> <p>18 Q. So, I'm sorry, I just want to clarify</p> <p>19 the record then. Who was present at the first</p> <p>20 meeting that you had with Mr. Guo?</p> <p>21 A. I think Yvette, Lianchao, Dr. Waller,</p> <p>22 myself and Miles Guo.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And when was this –</p> <p>24 A. Walkabout?</p> <p>25 Q. Yeah. Little soldiering through the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p> <p>1 \$50 million homes. You have to give a full</p> <p>2 background. I can say – I can go in and look at</p> <p>3 it, but when I bring somebody like Yvette, and</p> <p>4 then I'd have to also identify Lianchao; it was</p> <p>5 better just to drive around, take a look, decide</p> <p>6 what sort of looked like something that both</p> <p>7 Lianchao and Yvette thought might fit his</p> <p>8 persona, and then go back and go and look at the</p> <p>9 homes, if that's what he wanted to do.</p> <p>10 Q. Did anything come of that –</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. –process?</p> <p>13 A. No. And we did make a big foray into</p> <p>14 trying to get the Riggs Bank building, which is</p> <p>15 something that he wanted – I'm sorry, excuse me,</p> <p>16 the American Security and Trust building, which</p> <p>17 is what he wanted, opposite the Treasury</p> <p>18 Department.</p> <p>19 Q. And what was that effort, if you could</p> <p>20 just generally describe that?</p> <p>21 A. Well, I called three or four people</p> <p>22 that I think were private owners somehow through</p> <p>23 a trust, and they told me repeatedly it wasn't</p> <p>24 for sale. And then I finally found out that of</p> <p>25 course it was for sale at the right price.</p>
<p>1 D.C. metro area?</p> <p>2 A. It was sometime in December. It must</p> <p>3 have been, you know, somewhere from the –</p> <p>4 somewhere between the 10th and the 20th of</p> <p>5 December of 2017.</p> <p>6 Q. And what did you understand that</p> <p>7 Ms. Wang and Mr. Guo were doing with that trip?</p> <p>8 What was their purpose in being there?</p> <p>9 A. She wanted to see the brochures that we</p> <p>10 had, and I think I – I can't remember, I think I</p> <p>11 showed the Evermay property to Miles Guo during</p> <p>12 that second meeting. I happen to have a copy of</p> <p>13 it with me. I had to ask to get copies of the</p> <p>14 other homes from Washington Fine Properties so</p> <p>15 that I knew what he wanted as far as large</p> <p>16 estates or large homes, and he wanted to have a</p> <p>17 presence, he wanted to be close enough to Capitol</p> <p>18 Hill.</p> <p>19 Q. And just going back. You didn't show</p> <p>20 them the interiors of the property because you</p> <p>21 were concerned about surveillance or –</p> <p>22 A. Well, the way it works is, if it's in</p> <p>23 Washington particularly, if you take an</p> <p>24 individual in, you have to identify the</p> <p>25 individual into multi-million-dollar, 10, 20, 30,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p> <p>1 Q. Sure.</p> <p>2 A. And we hadn't even gotten down that far</p> <p>3 down the lane. So I don't know what the number</p> <p>4 would have been, but it was substantial, hundreds</p> <p>5 of millions.</p> <p>6 Q. And you were – so were those the only,</p> <p>7 we'll call them sellers, you were in contact with</p> <p>8 in connection with this real estate project?</p> <p>9 A. Well, on the office building, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. What about with residential properties?</p> <p>11 A. Well, with Evermay, I was in touch –</p> <p>12 the previous owner of Evermay, sadly, who just</p> <p>13 died in November this year, the previous owner</p> <p>14 had been a childhood friend since I was 12, and</p> <p>15 they had recently sold, probably five years prior</p> <p>16 to this, they had recently sold Evermay to a</p> <p>17 Japanese couple in the pharmaceutical business.</p> <p>18 Fast forward, Japanese couple was</p> <p>19 getting divorced. Fast forward as well, the</p> <p>20 previous owners of Evermay had a first right of</p> <p>21 refusal; should they decide to buy the property</p> <p>22 back from the Japanese couple, they could, in</p> <p>23 their contract.</p> <p>24 So I went to – his name was Harry</p> <p>25 Belin. I went to Harry Belin and talked to him</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 about Evermay for Miles Guo, because it would 2 have been a perfect, perfect house for Miles. He 3 could have incorporated his 501(c)(3) in that 4 house, because it was used as a 501(c)(3) 5 foundation by both Harry Belin and the Japanese 6 pharmaceutical couple.</p> <p>7 Since there was no movement, we didn't 8 go any further on it, because Miles obviously 9 didn't – I don't know. I don't know what 10 happened.</p> <p>11 Q. You kind of anticipated my next 12 question. When did this kind of process stop or 13 end?</p> <p>14 A. Miles was mostly really, really anxious 15 to move on the investigative side of the famous 16 file that you're aware of.</p> <p>17 Q. Sure.</p> <p>18 A. So, on the individuals. I have no 19 idea – he changes his mind all the time, so I 20 have no idea what his change of heart was on 21 that.</p> <p>22 Q. When did – if you recall, when did the 23 conversation or negotiations pivot to this 24 investigatory research area?</p> <p>25 A. Probably during the second meeting.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p> <p>1 A. That was in that December 2017 time, 2 yes.</p> <p>3 Q. What was – was it research that was 4 offered or did Mr. Guo ask for that?</p> <p>5 A. I believe he gave us a name, and I 6 believe that we then had an exploratory peek into 7 what we could find initially.</p> <p>8 Q. So what was that exploratory research?</p> <p>9 What was done by Strategic Vision?</p> <p>10 A. It was a – it was done by our team, or 11 the initial team, before we even had a team, that 12 was contracted by us. This was sort of a 13 freebie, to take a peek into this individual's 14 name, whatever, background. And he gave us the 15 name. I believe it was the number 1 name on the 16 folder that you have.</p> <p>17 Q. Is that Anita Suen?</p> <p>18 A. Yeah.</p> <p>19 Q. And that name was given to someone who 20 has been described by Strategic Vision as the 21 leader of Team 1? Was that the –</p> <p>22 A. What? I'm sorry, I don't understand 23 that question at all.</p> <p>24 Q. Maybe we'll get to it, we can define 25 it. Let's just put it this way. Who was that</p>
<p>1 Mike has got a much better memory about these 2 things.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you recall how that occurred, was it 4 that Strategic Vision presented its investigatory 5 capabilities and Mr. Guo got excited or did he 6 ask about it; what occurred?</p> <p>7 A. We were – again, we were speaking 8 about it in generalities. It's not something you 9 boast about. It's not something you discuss in a 10 way that is – well, it's just not something you 11 boast about. You can discuss it, you can discuss 12 the activities that can happen, and on a 13 hypothetical basis.</p> <p>14 Q. Did there come a time when Strategic 15 Vision offered to provide the sample 16 investigatory research on this –</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. – vein of services?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, I believe there was.</p> <p>20 Q. When was that?</p> <p>21 A. I don't have the date.</p> <p>22 Q. Just generally, was it in this 23 December –</p> <p>24 A. It was in that December –</p> <p>25 Q. – 2017 time frame?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p> <p>1 information regarding Anita Suen given to do 2 the research?</p> <p>3 A. Mike.</p> <p>4 Q. Oh, okay. Mike Waller?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you know what Mr. Waller did with 7 that information?</p> <p>8 A. Not precisely, no.</p> <p>9 Q. What understanding at all did you have 10 of what Mr. Waller would do with that 11 information?</p> <p>12 A. He was going to explore some of his 13 channels.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you know Dr. Waller's what you 15 describe as channels or –</p> <p>16 A. No, not all of them, no.</p> <p>17 Q. So you don't know all of the –</p> <p>18 A. We have channels. We both have 19 channels. I have channels, he has channels.</p> <p>20 Q. And do you deliberately keep your 21 channels confidential or secret from one another 22 for –</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And why is that?</p> <p>25 A. For security.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. So this freebie research report, was 2 that research completed, or conducted? 3 A. It was conducted. It wasn't completed 4 by any stretch. 5 Q. Right. And so what was – was anything 6 presented back to Mr. Guo or Han or Ms. Wang? 7 A. Yes. I believe, yes, we did show – 8 again, Mr. Han, Mr. Guo, Miles Guo, and Mike and 9 myself were privy to whatever Mike had found. 10 Q. So there was like a third meeting with 11 respect to this – 12 A. Yes, somewhere. 13 Q. – pre-report? 14 A. Yes. In December of 2017. 15 Q. Okay. And where did that take place, 16 was it in New York? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. What did Strategic Vision present as 19 this free report or free information? 20 A. They – I believe they had a – Mike 21 had a screenshot of a couple of things that he 22 showed Miles Guo. 23 Q. Was it information about accessing a 24 CITIC Bank account? 25 A. I believe so, yes. It wasn't</p>	<p>1 It wasn't very in depth. I think it might have 2 had some numbers on it, it might have had some 3 account numbers on it or something like that. 4 But it was basically just to show how 5 some things could be retrieved. We had to be 6 extremely careful. These things were only 7 retrieved outside of The United States, and if 8 they were – they were never retrieved inside The 9 United States. 10 Q. And why was that? 11 A. Because it's not really a terribly good 12 thing to do. 13 Q. Is that because it's illegal or – 14 A. It's probably illegal, yes. So we 15 would never do anything illegal. 16 MR. SCHMIDT: In The United States. 17 THE WITNESS: In The United States. Or 18 elsewhere, actually. 19 Q. And did this presentation involve 20 showing that there was money in the bank account 21 or anything of that nature? 22 A. I don't remember. I saw it so quickly, 23 I – because it was really Guo and either Yvette 24 or Lianchao looking at it. I wasn't looking at 25 it.</p>
<p>1 accessing. Please understand, there's a huge 2 difference. 3 Q. Oh, please. I want you to explain. 4 What was presented? That's what I want to 5 understand. 6 A. Yes. There's a huge difference between 7 accessing. It was not accessing. It was what 8 they call peeking. It was not invading into the 9 server. 10 Q. Okay. 11 A. Okay. 12 Q. What does peeking mean? I just want to 13 understand that clearly. 14 A. To look over the wall. 15 THE WITNESS: As I see, Yvette has 16 walked into the room. 17 MR. SCHMIDT: The court reporter will 18 take down the appearance. That's fine. 19 (Ms. Yvette Wang has joined the 20 deposition.) 21 THE WITNESS: So, Yvette Wang has just 22 walked into the room. 23 A. So, the peeking was a screenshot, I 24 believe, and I only saw it for a flash myself, of 25 a CITIC name and maybe an address or something.</p>	<p>1 Q. Had you looked at these screenshots or 2 information prior to the meeting? 3 A. No, I don't believe I did. 4 Q. Okay. 5 A. Because, again, we compartmentalize 6 stuff. 7 Q. So that was Dr. Waller's aspect of this 8 presentation, he handled that? 9 A. I agree. 10 Q. Did you tell Eastern or the people 11 present that you had enough information about 12 this person, Ms. Suen, to prove that she had 13 committed crimes? 14 A. First of all, we had no idea who 15 Eastern was, so I don't know what you mean about 16 Eastern. 17 Q. I'm obviously talking about Eastern 18 Profit. Let's just put it this way – 19 A. Yeah, but we're not here about Eastern 20 Profit, as you understand. I never heard of 21 Eastern Profit until there was a contract. 22 Q. Right. Did you ever tell the people 23 present at this meeting that you had enough 24 information to prove that Ms. Suen had committed 25 crimes?</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. I don't recall. Mike would know the 2 answer to that.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you recall Mr. Waller talking about 4 crimes or anything like that at this meeting?</p> <p>5 A. I wouldn't call them crimes. I think 6 they were asking for investigative background. 7 Miles Guo wanted to have as much information 8 on – investigative background on a couple of 9 people, and I think she was the first and only 10 person he actually gave me – gave us the name 11 about earlier, as a sort of trial.</p> <p>12 Q. And you understood that Strategic 13 Vision wouldn't be compensated for this –</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. – project?</p> <p>16 A. Not – well, we –</p> <p>17 Q. And what I mean by project is this 18 little presentation?</p> <p>19 A. No. It was just to show them what we 20 had a certain capacity of being able to do. It 21 was a limited capacity at that time.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you understand why the information 23 was requested, what the goal was?</p> <p>24 A. The goal was probably to sort of maybe 25 test some of the work that we could do</p>	Page 58	<p>1 A. But you're asking about the content of 2 the conversation and where it went and who was 3 involved and why –</p> <p>4 Q. Um-hum.</p> <p>5 A. – right?</p> <p>6 Q. I'm asking whether or not you knew why 7 the research was requested. That's what I'm 8 trying to understand.</p> <p>9 A. We have no idea what Yvette did with 10 it. We have no idea what Guo did with it. We 11 have no idea what happened to it.</p> <p>12 Q. So Strategic Vision didn't care what 13 the research was going to be used for one way or 14 another?</p> <p>15 A. We cared very much what it was going to 16 be used for. We are very adamantly 17 anti-communist.</p> <p>18 Q. I see.</p> <p>19 A. We are very pro-democracy. Both Mike 20 and I are adamantly pro-democracy.</p> <p>21 Q. So did you understand that the research 22 would be used to fight against the communist 23 party in China?</p> <p>24 A. Correct. We thought. We were not sure 25 what they were going to do with it. Maybe he was</p>	Page 60
<p>1 compartmentally.</p> <p>2 Q. Let me just be a little more specific 3 then. Did you understand what the end goal of 4 the research project as a whole was, what the 5 requesters of the information were trying to do?</p> <p>6 A. For the entire project?</p> <p>7 Q. Yes. Why did they want this 8 investigatory research?</p> <p>9 A. Well, that's a really good question.</p> <p>10 Q. That's why I'm asking. Do you know?</p> <p>11 MR. SCHMIDT: If you know or you don't.</p> <p>12 A. I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. You never discussed what the 14 research would be used for or anything like that 15 with Mr. Guo or Ms. Wang or Mr. Han?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. Did you think that it was involved with 18 the political conversations you guys had had 19 concerning China, the communist party?</p> <p>20 A. Oh, I'm sure it had to do with the 21 communist party. I mean, Yvette certainly was 22 part of the communist party, and her parents were 23 part of the communist party regime. So, and she 24 was –</p> <p>25 Q. I didn't ask you about that at all.</p>	Page 59	<p>1 going to run it both ways. We don't know.</p> <p>2 Q. I'm only asking what you thought.</p> <p>3 A. Well, I just gave you my opinion.</p> <p>4 Q. Of course. And so, did you understand 5 that the investigatory research that was 6 collected would ultimately be publicized?</p> <p>7 A. Probably, if we ended up with – I 8 mean, that was up to Guo to decide what he was 9 going to do with it. We certainly were not going 10 to publish it because we had strict 11 confidentiality agreements, but he didn't, 12 apparently, because he published a number of the 13 slides already on his sites.</p> <p>14 Q. Let's just talk about the 15 confidentiality agreement. What do you mean by 16 that, when you said you had confidentiality 17 agreements?</p> <p>18 A. We had – well, that was later in the 19 agreement itself.</p> <p>20 Q. Oh, that's what you're referring to.</p> <p>21 Okay.</p> <p>22 A. Well, you know precisely what I'm 23 referring to.</p> <p>24 MR. SCHMIDT: Just answer the 25 questions.</p>	Page 61

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

	Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 Q. I really don't. I'm just trying to 2 be – 3 THE WITNESS: I know, he knows what I'm 4 referring to. 5 Q. I actually don't, so you'll have to 6 forgive me. And so, what was the response to 7 this presentation of the CITIC, as you – what 8 did you describe it as, the peering over the 9 wall? 10 A. I'm sorry? 11 Q. What was the response of Mr. Han or 12 Ms. Wang or Mr. Guo to your presentation? 13 A. I suppose they were interested. I 14 wasn't – I wasn't that surprised that something 15 couldn't be brought up off the internet from some 16 of the investigators that Mike would have known 17 about. 18 Q. So, in other words, you understood that 19 this was what Mike's team or contacts could 20 provide? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Did you feel that the recipients of 23 this information were impressed by it? 24 A. I have no idea. 25 Q. They didn't say anything like, wow,</p>	Page 63	Page 65

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 down.</p> <p>2 Q. By references, what – does that mean</p> <p>3 people who you've worked with or provided</p> <p>4 services to; who are they?</p> <p>5 A. Both.</p> <p>6 Q. So Strategic Vision has provided</p> <p>7 services to some of the people on this list?</p> <p>8 A. Some of the people, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Which ones?</p> <p>10 A. I can't disclose that.</p> <p>11 Q. How many of them?</p> <p>12 A. I can't disclose that.</p> <p>13 Q. Were any of the services provided to</p> <p>14 the people on this list investigatory research?</p> <p>15 A. Some. He asked for both personal and</p> <p>16 professional. I just gave him a light list of</p> <p>17 individuals that – then there's a lot of Chinese</p> <p>18 down here at the bottom, Chinese characters, so I</p> <p>19 don't know what that's about.</p> <p>20 Q. I figured as much. So these are just</p> <p>21 individuals in the Middle East that are, as you</p> <p>22 put it, references?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you –</p> <p>25 A. These were all UAE, as a matter of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p> <p>1 Q. Why not?</p> <p>2 MR. SCHMIDT: Other clients beyond this</p> <p>3 sheet?</p> <p>4 MR. GRENDI: Yeah.</p> <p>5 A. Because they're private clients.</p> <p>6 Q. Are the individuals on this list</p> <p>7 private clients?</p> <p>8 A. Yes and no.</p> <p>9 Q. Why did you tell him about some of your</p> <p>10 private clients on this document?</p> <p>11 A. He asked me – I had permission from</p> <p>12 these individuals to list them, if need be, with</p> <p>13 somebody – he went on and on about his</p> <p>14 relationships in the UAE, and now – now I</p> <p>15 understand that he defrauded the UAE out of</p> <p>16 \$3 billion, particularly one of the families</p> <p>17 that's listed on this page, I believe, and that's</p> <p>18 public information.</p> <p>19 Q. Which one is that?</p> <p>20 A. The Al Nahyan family. So, you know, it</p> <p>21 was pretty cheeky to ask me for references in the</p> <p>22 UAE, given his reputation in the UAE.</p> <p>23 Q. And this alleged fraud of people in the</p> <p>24 UAE, when did you hear about that?</p> <p>25 A. It was all over the news media. All</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p>
<p>1 fact. He asked specifically for UAE, so</p> <p>2 that's – these are all UAE.</p> <p>3 Q. What about references from people from</p> <p>4 other countries, did you provide that information</p> <p>5 to Mr. Guo?</p> <p>6 A. He did not ask.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. But you didn't provide that</p> <p>8 information?</p> <p>9 A. He did not ask.</p> <p>10 Q. I didn't ask whether he asked. I –</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. – asked you whether –</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. – you gave that information?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. So, in terms of Strategic</p> <p>17 Vision's clients, only some of the people on the</p> <p>18 list are people that you told Mr. Guo about being</p> <p>19 Strategic Vision's clients, is that right?</p> <p>20 A. He asked for references, personal and</p> <p>21 professional, in the UAE, and I gave him these</p> <p>22 names.</p> <p>23 Q. And you never described any of</p> <p>24 Strategic Vision's other clients to Mr. Guo?</p> <p>25 A. Certainly not.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p> <p>1 you have to do is research it.</p> <p>2 Q. I was asking when you found out about</p> <p>3 it?</p> <p>4 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>5 Q. Thank you. Was it before or after you</p> <p>6 gave this list to Mr. Guo, that you found out</p> <p>7 that he was –</p> <p>8 A. After.</p> <p>9 Q. – allegedly involved in fraud?</p> <p>10 A. After.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And you don't have any personal</p> <p>12 knowledge about Mr. Guo's relationships with</p> <p>13 anyone in the United Arab Emirates, do you?</p> <p>14 A. I'm not going to answer that, because I</p> <p>15 think it would be revealing confidential.</p> <p>16 MR. SCHMIDT: Do you have any personal</p> <p>17 knowledge?</p> <p>18 MR. GRENDI: I'm just trying to know</p> <p>19 why – whether she knows about this alleged</p> <p>20 fraud personally or whether she just read</p> <p>21 about it in the media.</p> <p>22 MR. SCHMIDT: Why don't we ask that</p> <p>23 question. I think we've got to go slower</p> <p>24 with these questions. Why don't you answer</p> <p>25 the question. Do you have any information</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

	Page 70	Page 72
<p>1 about the fraud beyond –</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>3 MR. SCHMIDT: – what you read in the</p> <p>4 media?</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And that's from who; how did you</p> <p>7 hear about that?</p> <p>8 You can look at me. I'm asking you the</p> <p>9 questions.</p> <p>10 A. Privileged – privileged sources.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. So you're refusing to answer</p> <p>12 that question based on privilege?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And what privilege is that?</p> <p>15 MR. SCHMIDT: Do you want to go talk</p> <p>16 about it?</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>18 MR. SCHMIDT: Why don't we go off the</p> <p>19 record and see what's going on.</p> <p>20 MR. GRENDI: I'll tell you what, why</p> <p>21 don't we just put a pin in it, and keep</p> <p>22 going.</p> <p>23 MR. SCHMIDT: That's fine, too. Okay,</p> <p>24 we can do it at a break.</p> <p>25 MS. TESKE: Let's take a break for a</p>		
	Page 71	Page 73
<p>1 quick second.</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at</p> <p>3 11:29.</p> <p>4 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)</p> <p>5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record</p> <p>6 at 11:35.</p> <p>7 MR. SCHMIDT: We just stepped out to</p> <p>8 discuss if there was any potential privilege</p> <p>9 issue. I think it's more of a</p> <p>10 confidentiality issue. She had actually</p> <p>11 already revealed the answer to the question</p> <p>12 in response to a prior question. And I</p> <p>13 think the question when we left was if she</p> <p>14 knew anything beyond what she had read in</p> <p>15 the public papers, and the answer was yes.</p> <p>16 And I think your next question was, what.</p> <p>17 And I think Ms. French is ready to respond</p> <p>18 to that.</p> <p>19 Q. Go ahead. How do you know something</p> <p>20 beyond the public record about Mr. Guo allegedly</p> <p>21 stealing \$3-1/2 billion from the United Arab</p> <p>22 Emirates?</p> <p>23 A. Based on conversations that I had with</p> <p>24 members of the family with whom he allegedly</p> <p>25 defrauded them of over \$3 billion.</p>		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. It's called "Time to Get Them."</p> <p>2 Do you know how that title was reached,</p> <p>3 created?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. How's that?</p> <p>6 A. It came as a result of conversations</p> <p>7 with Guo, Lianchao and Mike and myself regarding</p> <p>8 the – regarding the communists working within</p> <p>9 The United States, from China DRC, as well as</p> <p>10 elsewhere.</p> <p>11 Q. So in the chronology of meetings we've</p> <p>12 been talking about, was this document created</p> <p>13 before the first meeting, the second meeting, the</p> <p>14 third meeting? When did it –</p> <p>15 A. Oh, it had to have been after the</p> <p>16 second or third meeting, because there's this</p> <p>17 photograph of Anita here.</p> <p>18 Q. And that's on SVUS387? If you look at</p> <p>19 the bottom right-hand corner –</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. – that's where those –</p> <p>22 A. Or maybe that's Yvette. I'm not sure.</p> <p>23 No, I think it is Anita.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Was this a PowerPoint</p> <p>25 presentation?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p> <p>1 presented?</p> <p>2 A. Well, it would have been one of the</p> <p>3 four – I mean all four of us. It would have</p> <p>4 been Lianchao, Guo, myself and Mike.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Do you remember where that –</p> <p>6 A. And possibly Yvette.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay.</p> <p>8 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>9 Q. And do you remember where that was?</p> <p>10 A. In his flat, in his apartment at the</p> <p>11 Sherry-Netherlands.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay.</p> <p>13 A. All meetings were there with him.</p> <p>14 Q. That's helpful. So you never met with</p> <p>15 Mr. Guo in Washington, D.C.?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Let's look at 387. It says,</p> <p>18 "build and operate a secret system for</p> <p>19 micro-targeted intelligence collection and</p> <p>20 analysis." Do you see that?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Is that a service that Strategic Vision</p> <p>23 would provide or someone else?</p> <p>24 A. Through Mike and his teams, and some of</p> <p>25 my people, yes.</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And was it presented as a PowerPoint on</p> <p>3 a computer or did you print it out? How was</p> <p>4 it –</p> <p>5 A. It might have been on a USB key.</p> <p>6 Q. And did you participate in creating</p> <p>7 this document or did Mr. – Dr. Waller create it</p> <p>8 himself?</p> <p>9 A. Dr. Waller did much of it. I worked</p> <p>10 with him on some of it; not all of it, but some</p> <p>11 of it.</p> <p>12 Q. So you made edits or –</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And when was this presented to – well,</p> <p>15 let's start with striking that.</p> <p>16 Who was this presented to?</p> <p>17 A. Well, this would have been presented to</p> <p>18 Guo.</p> <p>19 Q. Anyone else?</p> <p>20 A. And probably Lianchao. And, obviously,</p> <p>21 myself. I can't remember if Yvette was in there</p> <p>22 or not. He often didn't want her in the room,</p> <p>23 so, who knows.</p> <p>24 Q. I understand. But you don't recall</p> <p>25 specifically who was in the room when this was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p> <p>1 Q. What do you mean by your people?</p> <p>2 A. Some of my channels, as we discussed</p> <p>3 earlier.</p> <p>4 Q. I see. So in terms of this service,</p> <p>5 the game plan was for Dr. Waller to do most of</p> <p>6 the research, is that fair to say, through his</p> <p>7 channels?</p> <p>8 A. I would say it was equal.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay.</p> <p>10 A. It depends. I mean, it depended on</p> <p>11 which target we were working with.</p> <p>12 Q. So I have some understanding of what</p> <p>13 Dr. Waller's process and capabilities were from</p> <p>14 his deposition. I want to know what – what it</p> <p>15 is that you personally would provide as a service</p> <p>16 in connection with micro-targeted intelligence,</p> <p>17 collection and analysis?</p> <p>18 A. It depended on which target and which</p> <p>19 channels we both used collectively to bring the</p> <p>20 information together on individuals or documents.</p> <p>21 Q. Right. And I'm trying to understand</p> <p>22 what –</p> <p>23 A. I don't –</p> <p>24 Q. – process –</p> <p>25 A. I can't answer that.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. Well, I didn't even ask a question. So</p> <p>2 I want you to just wait for me to ask, and then</p> <p>3 you can answer.</p> <p>4 A. Go ahead.</p> <p>5 Q. Yes, thank you. So I want to</p> <p>6 understand what part of the process you would</p> <p>7 participate in. What would you do to help in the</p> <p>8 collection process or accessing of the channels</p> <p>9 process?</p> <p>10 A. Collection and gathering. It was like</p> <p>11 hunting and gathering. We both did it.</p> <p>12 Q. And what would you do in connection</p> <p>13 with this engagement? What was it that you would</p> <p>14 do?</p> <p>15 A. We would collect –</p> <p>16 Q. You.</p> <p>17 A. – through my channels.</p> <p>18 Q. Right.</p> <p>19 A. Him through his channels.</p> <p>20 Q. And what channels did you access in</p> <p>21 connection with this engagement?</p> <p>22 MR. SCHMIDT: Just to – objection for</p> <p>23 a second here. This is a 30(b)(6).</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the</p> <p>25 question.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p> <p>1 you did, Strategic Vision, to collect</p> <p>2 information?</p> <p>3 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. She's talked</p> <p>4 about going out and getting Mike Waller and</p> <p>5 putting that together –</p> <p>6 MR. GRENDI: I'm asking about anything</p> <p>7 else.</p> <p>8 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay, anything else.</p> <p>9 A. Collecting teams. Collecting teams.</p> <p>10 Q. Let's do it this way. Setting aside</p> <p>11 what Mr. Waller and his teams did. Was there any</p> <p>12 other teams accessed to provide information in</p> <p>13 connection with this investigatory research?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And who were they?</p> <p>16 A. There were some from the U.K., there</p> <p>17 were some from Israel, there were some from the</p> <p>18 Middle East, there were some from our networks.</p> <p>19 Q. And now I'm talking about you</p> <p>20 personally.</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Are these people that you personally</p> <p>23 contacted?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. That's all I'm trying to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p>
<p>1 MR. SCHMIDT: So you're saying you as</p> <p>2 Strategic Vision, or are you saying you</p> <p>3 French Wallop? How do you want to do it?</p> <p>4 MR. GRENDI: Let's do Strategic Vision.</p> <p>5 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah. I mean, that's</p> <p>6 really the question here.</p> <p>7 MR. GRENDI: That's fine.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>9 Q. What did Strategic Vision do in terms</p> <p>10 of collection and analysis?</p> <p>11 A. That's what we did, we did collection</p> <p>12 and analysis.</p> <p>13 Q. So there's no more specificity –</p> <p>14 A. Through our own sources.</p> <p>15 Q. – to it than that? What does that</p> <p>16 mean?</p> <p>17 A. How do you put a legal case together?</p> <p>18 You have to start with bits and pieces. So</p> <p>19 that's what we were doing. We were each</p> <p>20 collecting bits and pieces to make the cases.</p> <p>21 Q. Let me ask it this way. Did you ask</p> <p>22 people in your network, do you know who Anita</p> <p>23 Suen is? What do you know about her?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. So I'm trying to understand what it is</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p> <p>1 understand. Without naming any names, would that</p> <p>2 involve calling people on the phone or emailing</p> <p>3 them?</p> <p>4 A. Rarely. It's face-to-face.</p> <p>5 MR. SCHMIDT: Just listen to the</p> <p>6 question. Don't be distracted by the</p> <p>7 document for now.</p> <p>8 Q. And so, in connection with the research</p> <p>9 in this matter, how many face-to-face meetings</p> <p>10 did you have with people in your contacts? I'm</p> <p>11 talking about you, Ms. Wallop.</p> <p>12 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.</p> <p>13 A. I have no idea. Many.</p> <p>14 Q. You said you rarely do telephone calls.</p> <p>15 Did you do some in connection with this research</p> <p>16 agreement?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. What about internet research, did you</p> <p>19 do any internet research in connection with this?</p> <p>20 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. Her</p> <p>21 personally?</p> <p>22 Q. Strategic Vision. Did Strategic Vision</p> <p>23 do any internet research in connection with this</p> <p>24 research?</p> <p>25 A. Lightly. That was not our – that was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 not our way of doing it.</p> <p>2 Q. And what about you personally?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. It says in this document that the first</p> <p>5 ten targets are identified, do you see that?</p> <p>6 A. What page?</p> <p>7 Q. 387.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. What did you understand that to mean?</p> <p>10 A. Well, Guo gave us – Miles Guo gave us</p> <p>11 a large packet of names, and, in that – in the</p> <p>12 first ten, which they upped it to 15 in the first</p> <p>13 month, but the first ten targets were identified,</p> <p>14 and then they changed their mind and asked for 15</p> <p>15 for the first month. So that's – those were his</p> <p>16 targets.</p> <p>17 Q. So these ten targets, are those</p> <p>18 referred to as fish in the signed research</p> <p>19 agreement?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. And it says, "monitor the ten targets</p> <p>22 for several months to understand their habits,</p> <p>23 patterns, personal and professional networks,</p> <p>24 businesses and corruption." Do you see that?</p> <p>25 A. Correct.</p>	<p>1 A. When Guo threw that whole document down</p> <p>2 on the coffee table in his apartment and he said,</p> <p>3 these are the people I want investigated, these</p> <p>4 are – I said, where did this list come from?</p> <p>5 And he said, I paid \$250 million for this list.</p> <p>6 I said, wow, okay. So that's when I knew who the</p> <p>7 first ten targets were.</p> <p>8 MR. GRENDI: Let's do Exhibit 7.</p> <p>9 (Wallop Exhibit 7, Document entitled</p> <p>10 "1: Anita Yiu Suen", marked for</p> <p>11 identification.)</p> <p>12 Q. Do you recognize what's been marked as</p> <p>13 Wallop 7?</p> <p>14 A. Correct, I do.</p> <p>15 Q. And is this the document that you just</p> <p>16 said Mr. Guo threw down on the table?</p> <p>17 A. It looks like it.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So, to your recollection,</p> <p>19 Mr. Guo threw a paper copy of this document onto</p> <p>20 a table at some point during a meeting?</p> <p>21 A. No, on – onto his coffee table in his</p> <p>22 sun room, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. When was that?</p> <p>24 A. During the – probably the second or</p> <p>25 third meeting we had with him in New York, in</p>	Page 82
<p>1 Q. Who would do that work of monitoring?</p> <p>2 A. Our team.</p> <p>3 Q. And by our team, what encompasses the</p> <p>4 Strategic Vision team for this research</p> <p>5 agreement?</p> <p>6 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. Go ahead.</p> <p>7 A. The particular team, we called it Team</p> <p>8 1, and that's what they were assigned to do.</p> <p>9 Q. So Team 1 was assigned the monitoring</p> <p>10 of the ten targets referred to here?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And do you know the name of</p> <p>13 anyone on Team 1?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you know the leader of Team 1, the</p> <p>16 name of the leader of Team 1?</p> <p>17 A. I know his acronym. I don't know his</p> <p>18 name, his full name. This was through Mike.</p> <p>19 Q. So you don't know the full name of the</p> <p>20 leader of Team 1?</p> <p>21 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes or no, if you know.</p> <p>22 Q. I'm asking a yes or no.</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. So, specifically, do you know when the</p> <p>25 first ten targets were identified?</p>	<p>1 December of 2017.</p> <p>2 Q. So did you keep that paper copy that</p> <p>3 was thrown on the table?</p> <p>4 A. Well, I had this copy, I mean, the copy</p> <p>5 of the copy. I mean, the original that he gave</p> <p>6 us.</p> <p>7 Q. I see. So you retained a paper copy of</p> <p>8 what's been marked as Wallop 7?</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. And was that – that was before the</p> <p>11 contract was signed, right, the research</p> <p>12 agreement that's the subject of this action?</p> <p>13 A. No. I think we – I saw the document,</p> <p>14 but we didn't get the full document because of</p> <p>15 the famous flash drives that were corrupted –</p> <p>16 Q. Right, but –</p> <p>17 A. – by Yvette.</p> <p>18 Q. – I just want to understand what</p> <p>19 happened to the paper version that was –</p> <p>20 A. Oh, I didn't – he didn't give that to</p> <p>21 us at the time. He kept his copy.</p> <p>22 Q. You didn't receive –</p> <p>23 A. No, no, no –</p> <p>24 Q. – a paper copy from him?</p> <p>25 A. – no, no, no. He just showed it to</p>	Page 83

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 us.</p> <p>2 Q. I see.</p> <p>3 A. He threw his copy down on the table.</p> <p>4 Q. And this was at the second or third</p> <p>5 meeting?</p> <p>6 A. Yeah.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And you did not retain a copy from that</p> <p>10 meeting?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. And did you go through the paper copy</p> <p>13 that was on the table?</p> <p>14 A. With him?</p> <p>15 Q. Yes. Or on your own.</p> <p>16 A. Well, we glanced at it. Mike was</p> <p>17 there. We glanced at it to try to get an idea as</p> <p>18 to who it was, what it was.</p> <p>19 Q. We'll come back to this. Going back to</p> <p>20 Exhibit 6. On 387, it says, "Document everything</p> <p>21 as leverage to gain concessions, protect people,</p> <p>22 use as political weapon, or as aid in criminal</p> <p>23 prosecution and asset recovery."</p> <p>24 Do you see that, it's the fourth or</p> <p>25 fifth bullet down?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 86</p> <p>1 A. I don't remember. I don't know</p> <p>2 precisely.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And you don't remember</p> <p>4 discussing whether – what the political weapon</p> <p>5 would be?</p> <p>6 A. Well, a political weapon, which is what</p> <p>7 Guo wanted to use towards his investigation of</p> <p>8 these individuals. He was going to use it</p> <p>9 against them, for whatever purpose.</p> <p>10 Q. But you don't know the purpose?</p> <p>11 A. Not entirely.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. A couple of bullets down it</p> <p>13 says, "Break the Party's control of corrupt</p> <p>14 information" – or "corruption information" I</p> <p>15 should say. Do you see that?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. What is "the Party's control"? Which</p> <p>18 party are we talking about there?</p> <p>19 A. Talking about the communist party.</p> <p>20 Q. And what control of corruption</p> <p>21 information does the communist party have?</p> <p>22 A. Well, Guo had said that the CCP, or the</p> <p>23 communist party of China, there was a great deal</p> <p>24 of corruption within the leadership, and, as a</p> <p>25 result of that, he wanted to find as much</p>
<p>1 A. I don't have it.</p> <p>2 Q. Oh, I'm sorry.</p> <p>3 A. So, what page?</p> <p>4 Q. The same one we've been looking at,</p> <p>5 387.</p> <p>6 A. So what would you like to look at?</p> <p>7 Q. Where it says, "Document everything as</p> <p>8 leverage to gain concessions, protect people, use</p> <p>9 as political weapon, or as aid in criminal</p> <p>10 prosecution and asset recovery"?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. What is the – what was that about;</p> <p>13 what does that mean in terms of the services to</p> <p>14 be provided?</p> <p>15 A. This was what Mike was referring to</p> <p>16 with regard to the Team 1.</p> <p>17 Q. So Team 1 was going to?</p> <p>18 A. Take a look at that, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And what kind of leverage was sought?</p> <p>20 A. I guess, criminal leverage, depending</p> <p>21 upon the individuals that we were investigating,</p> <p>22 per Guo's instructions.</p> <p>23 Q. It also says "protect people." What</p> <p>24 was – to your mind, what was the – what was</p> <p>25 that about?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 87</p> <p>1 corruption as possible, and he wanted us to</p> <p>2 investigate and retrieve that kind of corruption.</p> <p>3 In other words, funds that would have been taken</p> <p>4 out of the country illegally, cash payments, all</p> <p>5 of these things that were part of that.</p> <p>6 Q. I understand. And so going to the next</p> <p>7 page, 388. It says, "Reduce political threats to</p> <p>8 yourself and your cause."</p> <p>9 What did you understand is the</p> <p>10 political threats to whoever yourself or your</p> <p>11 cause is there?</p> <p>12 A. That was to Guo.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay.</p> <p>14 A. Political threats to Guo and Guo's</p> <p>15 cause.</p> <p>16 Q. And what were those political threats?</p> <p>17 A. Well, he said that they were monetary</p> <p>18 and political, and that many people were after</p> <p>19 him either for monetary damages or corruption,</p> <p>20 both by the Chinese officials as well as,</p> <p>21 perhaps, his own corruption issues. I don't</p> <p>22 know.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you look into that at all in terms</p> <p>24 of –</p> <p>25 A. This was a preliminary – this was a</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 preliminary document that Mike had put together;</p> <p>2 so, no, we were not under contract to look into</p> <p>3 it.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. So you didn't do any work</p> <p>5 regarding Mr. Guo or his business prior to -</p> <p>6 A. The contract?</p> <p>7 Q. - the execution of the contract on or</p> <p>8 about January 6, 2018?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. You didn't access your network to</p> <p>11 determine whether this was someone you wanted to</p> <p>12 do business with or not?</p> <p>13 A. We had Bill Gertz, who was one of the</p> <p>14 finest intellects on Chinese corruption, and</p> <p>15 reporters, journalists, and also Lianchao, again,</p> <p>16 of the highest sterling standards. When they</p> <p>17 asked us to look into it, that's what we did.</p> <p>18 That was looking into putting together a program</p> <p>19 that would help somebody that we believed at the</p> <p>20 time was absolutely anti-communist.</p> <p>21 Q. I see. So you relied up - let me put</p> <p>22 it this way. Strategic Vision relied upon the</p> <p>23 recommendation of Bill Gertz and Lianchao Han in</p> <p>24 terms of deciding to do business with, or</p> <p>25 deciding to enter into the research agreement?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p> <p>1 with Russian opposition and Chinese expats?</p> <p>2 A. Through me and certain ideas that we</p> <p>3 had to combine our ideological belief in</p> <p>4 democracy.</p> <p>5 Q. And - right. How would those ideas be</p> <p>6 executed, what sort of -</p> <p>7 A. Through dialogue.</p> <p>8 Q. - activities?</p> <p>9 A. Through dialogue.</p> <p>10 Q. And you also have links with Chinese</p> <p>11 people inside Russia?</p> <p>12 A. We would have, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. That's you and Mr. Waller?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. It says, "for propaganda and</p> <p>16 organizational purposes." Do you see that?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. What would be the propaganda and</p> <p>19 organizational purposes?</p> <p>20 A. Media and social media, probably.</p> <p>21 Q. So, in other words, messaging of</p> <p>22 anti-communist, pro-democracy rhetoric?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. Would the Russian opposition group be</p> <p>25 involved in collecting investigatory research or</p>
<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Let's just go to SVUS390.</p> <p>3 A. Um-hum.</p> <p>4 Q. It says, "Network With Russian</p> <p>5 Opposition and Chinese Expats." Do you see that?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Is this a service that you would</p> <p>8 provide or Mr. Waller would provide?</p> <p>9 A. Both of us did.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And why was Strategic Vision</p> <p>11 recommending that Mr. Guo network with Russian</p> <p>12 opposition and Chinese expats?</p> <p>13 A. Well, the photograph that you have</p> <p>14 here, that's in this is Mikhail Khodorkovsky.</p> <p>15 Okay? Mikhail Khodorkovsky is a client of mine,</p> <p>16 and he is an anti-Putin and pro-democracy leader,</p> <p>17 opposition leader for Russia; so, therefore, we</p> <p>18 felt that this might be a good person for Guo to</p> <p>19 essentially team up with on certain ideological</p> <p>20 issues.</p> <p>21 Q. And how would that synergy or</p> <p>22 collaboration work?</p> <p>23 A. Through me.</p> <p>24 Q. Right. But just a little more</p> <p>25 specificity. How would Mr. Guo, or whoever, team</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p> <p>1 is that a separate group?</p> <p>2 A. It would be separate.</p> <p>3 Q. Let's go to 394. It says, "Target</p> <p>4 Intelligence Capability: Cost."</p> <p>5 These costs here, are these prices that</p> <p>6 Strategic Vision quoted?</p> <p>7 A. In a preliminary discussion, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. So, initially, Strategic Vision</p> <p>9 was offering \$2,805,000 to have two teams monitor</p> <p>10 one person?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And what would that monitoring entail,</p> <p>13 just in terms of the types of surveillance or</p> <p>14 investigatory work?</p> <p>15 A. It was hugely complex, and -</p> <p>16 MR. SCHMIDT: Keep going.</p> <p>17 A. It was hugely complex, and it had to do</p> <p>18 with all the investigative tentacles that we</p> <p>19 could reach within our channels.</p> <p>20 Q. So is that the full package of services</p> <p>21 that Strategic Vision could provide in terms of</p> <p>22 monitoring, that's like the full suite, call it</p> <p>23 that?</p> <p>24 A. Except that he didn't accept it.</p> <p>25 Q. I understand that. But was this -</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. This was a preliminary overview of some 2 of the things that we would have done. 3 Q. Right. And it says, "above for 1, but 4 with only one team: \$2,380,000"?</p> <p>5 A. Correct. 6 Q. So, just conceptually, what's the 7 difference between one team and two teams, in 8 terms of what a client would get?</p> <p>9 A. Because you obviously have to work with 10 both teams to define different sets of facts that 11 they are retrieving, and, out of those different 12 sets of facts, you compare the information on 13 both teams to see whether they are – whether 14 they match or whether one is adding something 15 else to the – to the individual that's being 16 monitored.</p> <p>17 In other words, we're trying to combine 18 the information that we were retrieving so that 19 we would have one package, so to speak, for each 20 person, that would be better than just having one 21 team do it.</p> <p>22 Q. I see. So the purpose of having two 23 teams in this context is to be able to 24 cross-check the teams against one another to see 25 if the information –</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 94</p> <p>1 know that you're verifying. Because one team 2 supposedly never knows about the other team. 3 They won't bump into each other. So they're kept 4 completely separate. So the information that we 5 were – had expected to receive from the initial 6 dives into the first team, using just one team, 7 and then subsequently we – we went on our own 8 dime to the second team.</p> <p>9 Q. Are you talking about research that was 10 actually performed or just conceptually how 11 Strategic Vision does things, as presented in 12 this – what's this called – in this document, 13 Exhibit 7?</p> <p>14 A. Would you repeat the question.</p> <p>15 Q. What I'm saying is, are you referring 16 to the Team 1 and Team 2 that were tasks in the 17 actual research agreement or what's being 18 described in this document?</p> <p>19 A. What we were – it's a little bit of 20 both.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And has Strategic Vision 22 previously employed dual teams to perform 23 investigatory research?</p> <p>24 A. Not at this depth, but, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And did Strategic Vision sometimes only</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 96</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. – they're finding is consistent?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>4 Q. But both teams would be doing, 5 essentially, the same thing; is that fair to say?</p> <p>6 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. What would be the difference 8 between what Team 1 would do and what Team 2 9 would do in this context?</p> <p>10 A. It would depend upon the information 11 that we gave each team as to which one would do 12 which dimension of retrieval. If you haven't 13 been in the game, you don't understand these 14 things, sorry.</p> <p>15 Q. That's what I'm trying to understand.</p> <p>16 MR. SCHMIDT: No comments. Just answer 17 his questions to the best of your ability, 18 okay?</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: I'm working on it.</p> <p>20 MR. GRENDI: Thank you, Joe.</p> <p>21 Q. And is there any other reason to set up 22 two teams, other than this cross-dimensional 23 referencing you've talked about?</p> <p>24 A. It just makes – it makes better – it 25 makes a better set of information so that you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p> <p>1 employ one team to do research like this in the 2 past?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Why does – why does it cost roughly 5 \$2.8 million to monitor one individual with two 6 teams?</p> <p>7 A. Based on what the client wanted.</p> <p>8 Q. No, I'm saying why does it cost that 9 much; where did you come up with the \$2,805,000 10 cost?</p> <p>11 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, but go ahead.</p> <p>12 A. That's an internal cost that Mike is 13 more aware of than I am.</p> <p>14 Q. I appreciate that Mike might know more 15 than you. I'm just asking what you know. What 16 does Strategic Vision know about the cost it 17 quoted to the individuals at this meeting?</p> <p>18 A. Because it depends upon the cost and 19 the risk and all of the dimensions that we have 20 to put together in order to retrieve the 21 information that the client was adamant about 22 having.</p> <p>23 Q. So part of the cost is the risk of 24 getting exposed or – is that part of how the 25 cost is calculated?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 98	Page 100
<p>1 A. It is. But it's expensive.</p> <p>2 Q. And what – what about it is expensive?</p> <p>3 Are the teams expensive to –</p> <p>4 A. The teams are very expensive.</p> <p>5 Q. Let's go to 395. It says, "US-based</p> <p>6 online army - Same group as those who helped win</p> <p>7 Trump election." Do you see that?</p> <p>8 A. Um-hum. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Is that part of the investigatory</p> <p>10 research or is that a different online group?</p> <p>11 A. This would be the U.S. side.</p> <p>12 Q. What is the U.S. side? What does that</p> <p>13 mean?</p> <p>14 A. It would be the U.S. basic</p> <p>15 investigative dimension of social media and so</p> <p>16 forth.</p> <p>17 Q. So one of the teams would be U.S. –</p> <p>18 A. Credit reports; whatever is publicly</p> <p>19 and legally allowed in the United States for us</p> <p>20 to do, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. I see. So, in providing this</p> <p>22 investigatory service, Strategic Vision divides</p> <p>23 its team by where they're domiciled or headed?</p> <p>24 A. Who?</p> <p>25 Q. The team. So, in other words, you have</p>	<p>1 Russian opposition leaders and groups work,</p> <p>2 generally speaking?</p> <p>3 A. Our team would work with the opposition</p> <p>4 groups I've already discussed.</p> <p>5 Q. Oh, from the prior slide?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. The prior slide being SV390, the</p> <p>8 picture of –</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. What would you exchange for support</p> <p>11 with – to Russian opposition groups?</p> <p>12 A. I don't understand that question.</p> <p>13 Q. It says in the document, "help fund</p> <p>14 Russian opposition groups in Siberia, Far East,</p> <p>15 Vladivostok in exchange for other support."</p> <p>16 A. Well, I think that's pretty clear.</p> <p>17 Q. Well, what would be exchanged?</p> <p>18 A. Information, pro-democracy. We were</p> <p>19 looking at pro-democracy issues and how to be</p> <p>20 more proactive to help pro – pro-democracy in</p> <p>21 Russia, as well as in China.</p> <p>22 Q. So the concept was that Russian</p> <p>23 opposition groups would exchange information with</p> <p>24 this cause for their mutual benefit?</p> <p>25 A. Ostensibly. But it wasn't something we</p>
<p>1 a U.S. team?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And you would also have a foreign team?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Just for the benefit of the</p> <p>6 record. What's the purpose in separating the –</p> <p>7 having a U.S.-based team and a foreign-based</p> <p>8 team?</p> <p>9 A. Because we comply with U.S. law.</p> <p>10 Q. So, in other words, there's things that</p> <p>11 the foreign teams can do that the U.S.-based</p> <p>12 teams can't do?</p> <p>13 A. We understand that's correct, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. If you go to 398?</p> <p>15 A. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. You see it says "Russian networking</p> <p>17 cost"?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And it says, "We will facilitate but</p> <p>20 not manage or coordinate networking with Russian</p> <p>21 opposition leaders and groups"?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. The "we" there is Strategic Vision?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And how would that networking with</p>	<p>1 had agreed to, no.</p> <p>2 Q. Oh, so this didn't end up being part of</p> <p>3 the research agreement?</p> <p>4 A. This is not the contract.</p> <p>5 Q. I know that. I'm asking whether this</p> <p>6 service is part of the contract?</p> <p>7 A. This is not part of the contract.</p> <p>8 Q. Got it.</p> <p>9 A. If it had been, the cost would have</p> <p>10 been mega amounts.</p> <p>11 Q. So this is an expensive service, this</p> <p>12 Russian networking?</p> <p>13 A. Excuse me?</p> <p>14 Q. I said, so this Russian networking</p> <p>15 service is an expensive service?</p> <p>16 A. This was a preliminary estimate on –</p> <p>17 an overview of what we thought that we would like</p> <p>18 to do for Mr. Guo. Mr. Guo only wanted to do</p> <p>19 investigations on these 15 people in the United</p> <p>20 States and elsewhere, not on this entire thing</p> <p>21 that you're looking at.</p> <p>22 Q. Got you.</p> <p>23 A. Okay?</p> <p>24 Q. Turning to SVUS402. Do you see that?</p> <p>25 A. I do.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. It says, "sign MOU." That's a 2 memorandum of understanding?</p> <p>3 A. That's correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Is that how Strategic Vision normally 5 contracts with its clients?</p> <p>6 A. Again, this is a preliminary overview. 7 This was a discussion. We were discussing it 8 openly in a little meeting that we were having 9 with Mr. Guo. So this was just sort of a menu of 10 deciding what we would do and how we would 11 perform.</p> <p>12 Q. Does Strategic Vision normally sign 13 written agreements with its clients?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Does Strategic Vision have a standard 16 contract that it uses for its client engagements?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. So you don't have a stock agreement 19 that you normally use when –</p> <p>20 A. No client's the same.</p> <p>21 Q. So, prior to signing the research 22 agreement, did you tell Mr. Guo that you had an 23 in-house team of investigators at Strategic 24 Vision?</p> <p>25 A. This is a fascinating misnomer.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p> <p>1 Q. What, if anything, did you tell Mr. Guo 2 about an Abu Dhabi princess?</p> <p>3 A. A Saudi – it was not Abu Dhabi, it was 4 Saudi.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. What did you –</p> <p>6 A. To clarify, it's usual we have to 7 clarify.</p> <p>8 Q. Sure. What did you tell Mr. Guo about 9 a Saudi princess?</p> <p>10 A. She was my roommate.</p> <p>11 Q. That was in college?</p> <p>12 A. No, in high school.</p> <p>13 Q. And is she a client of Strategic 14 Vision?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Did you tell Mr. Guo that you 17 had, or that Strategic Vision had relationships 18 with clients in Saudi Arabia?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And in Iran?</p> <p>21 A. Many years ago, in Iran.</p> <p>22 Q. What about Turkey?</p> <p>23 A. Not a client. A relationship.</p> <p>24 Q. And what about Qatar, did you tell him 25 you had clients in Qatar?</p>	<p>Page 104</p>
<p>1 Q. What – what term is that that you're 2 calling –</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. – a misnomer?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 MR. SCHMIDT: Just answer the question.</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 MR. SCHMIDT: Let him follow – he'll 9 follow up if he wants to follow up.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So Strategic Vision does not 11 have an in-house team of investigators?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. And you never told Mr. Guo that you had 14 20 employees, did you?</p> <p>15 A. I said that we worked with a team of 16 people. I did not say that we had 20 employees.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Did you ever tell him that you 18 had strong teams in Europe and the Middle East?</p> <p>19 A. Most likely, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Again, this is all before signing the 21 research agreement, right?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And did you tell him you had 24 relationships with an Abu Dhabi princess?</p> <p>25 A. I said a – no.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p> <p>1 A. I did. Past tense. We don't work with 2 terrorists.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. What about Republican 4 politicians, did you ever tell Mr. Guo that you 5 worked for Republican politicians?</p> <p>6 A. Many.</p> <p>7 Q. So you told him you had – that 8 Strategic Vision had worked for –</p> <p>9 MR. SCHMIDT: Just focus in on what you 10 told him.</p> <p>11 A. Yes. I did, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. So you told Mr. Guo that you had 13 provided services to – that Strategic Vision had 14 provided services to Republican –</p> <p>15 A. Personally.</p> <p>16 Q. – politicians?</p> <p>17 A. Personally. Not Strategic Vision.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And what did that entail; that 19 was political campaigns or –</p> <p>20 A. Political campaigns.</p> <p>21 Q. And I'm going to guess those were 22 Republican –</p> <p>23 A. Republican.</p> <p>24 Q. – politicians?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, presidential.</p>	<p>Page 105</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. Which president, or nominee?</p> <p>2 A. Beginning – it's okay, it's on the</p> <p>3 record. Beginning with the campaign for</p> <p>4 President Reagan, President Bush, Dan Quayle,</p> <p>5 obviously President Trump.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you tell Mr. Guo about all of these</p> <p>7 or some of them?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay.</p> <p>10 A. He asked.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you tell him that you had influence</p> <p>12 at the CIA?</p> <p>13 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, but go ahead.</p> <p>14 A. I never would have used the word</p> <p>15 influence.</p> <p>16 Q. How would you put it?</p> <p>17 A. Channels.</p> <p>18 Q. In other words, you have contacts at</p> <p>19 the CIA?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And you could get information from them</p> <p>22 that could be useful to a client from the CIA?</p> <p>23 A. No. That's a trick question.</p> <p>24 Q. No, I'm not trying to trick you. I</p> <p>25 didn't – if it came out that way, it wasn't my</p>	<p>Page 106</p> <p>1 Q. I'm just trying to –</p> <p>2 A. There were a lot of drafts running</p> <p>3 around at the time, so, and the date is not</p> <p>4 right.</p> <p>5 Q. When did you first start drafting an</p> <p>6 agreement in connection with this case?</p> <p>7 A. When he asked us to, probably in –</p> <p>8 around the 15th or so of December of 2017, after</p> <p>9 several meetings.</p> <p>10 Q. Were there any other meetings, other</p> <p>11 than the three we've talked about already, that</p> <p>12 preceded this drafting?</p> <p>13 A. You know, you'd have to ask Mike. I</p> <p>14 don't think so, no.</p> <p>15 Q. When did you – when did you do the</p> <p>16 first draft? Don't even worry about this</p> <p>17 document. I don't know if it is the first draft.</p> <p>18 A. When we had the vision documents, those</p> <p>19 were sort of the beginning of the discussion.</p> <p>20 This time to get them was also part of the vision</p> <p>21 document that was on the flash drive, and it was</p> <p>22 all in the conversation of trying to understand</p> <p>23 what it was that Guo wanted.</p> <p>24 Q. So how did you go about doing the first</p> <p>25 draft? Did you and Mike sit down at a computer</p>	<p>Page 108</p>
<p>1 intent.</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, come on.</p> <p>3 Q. I'm trying to understand what your</p> <p>4 channels to the CIA, what their use is?</p> <p>5 A. Information sources that are</p> <p>6 unclassified.</p> <p>7 Q. And I would ask you the same question.</p> <p>8 Did you tell him that you had contacts or</p> <p>9 channels in the state department?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you ever tell him that you worked</p> <p>12 for the CIA?</p> <p>13 A. Never.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Did you ever tell him that</p> <p>15 Mr. Waller worked for the CIA?</p> <p>16 A. Never.</p> <p>17 MR. GRENDI: Let's go to 8.</p> <p>18 (Wallop Exhibit 8, Research Agreement,</p> <p>19 January 1, 2018, marked for identification.)</p> <p>20 Q. This has been marked Wallop 8. Do you</p> <p>21 recognize this document at all?</p> <p>22 A. This was a draft document to – to Guo</p> <p>23 initially.</p> <p>24 Q. Who created it?</p> <p>25 A. I think Mike and I did.</p>	<p>Page 107</p> <p>1 Q. together, or what was the process?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Where was that, at your home in</p> <p>4 Virginia?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And you sat together and –</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. – typed it out or –</p> <p>9 A. Sat together and discussed it and...</p> <p>10 Q. Did you – was it handwritten notes</p> <p>11 that you later had someone transcribe or did</p> <p>12 you –</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. – type it on the computer?</p> <p>15 A. No. We just typed it up while we were</p> <p>16 talking.</p> <p>17 Q. And did you do the typing or did he?</p> <p>18 A. He did the typing.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And did you keep the original</p> <p>20 draft that you created?</p> <p>21 A. I think this was the draft. I don't</p> <p>22 know.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And do you recall giving Wallop</p> <p>24 8 to Mr. Guo or Lianchao or Ms. Wang?</p> <p>25 A. I'm sure that we gave it to both of</p>	<p>Page 109</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 110	Page 112
<p>1 them. But we would have given it to them, we 2 would never have emailed it. 3 Q. And why is that? 4 A. Because of security and open internet. 5 We did everything very carefully, even before we 6 had a contract. 7 Q. So even if it was like a Signal message 8 and end to end encrypted, you wouldn't send it? 9 A. Well, Signal was different. Signal was 10 a little different. 11 Q. Why is that? 12 A. Well, it's not as porous as a lot of 13 them are. 14 Q. So you wouldn't have a problem sending 15 this agreement via Signal? 16 A. I honestly can't remember. 17 Q. No, I'm asking – 18 A. I don't – I normally would not have. 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. I personally would not have. 21 Q. I see. 22 A. I don't send anything, if I can help 23 it, on Signal or anything else. I either 24 hand-deliver it or I USB it. 25 Q. And when did you first give a draft to</p>	<p>1 sort of one of the final drafts. 2 Q. I see. But – so there were other 3 drafts that you had that you deleted? 4 A. Probably. 5 Q. Okay. And why is that, why don't you 6 keep the drafts of the agreements that you do in 7 connection with engaging a client? 8 A. Because there are typos and there are 9 linguistic changes that need to be made in a 10 better – in a better draft. I don't understand 11 that. 12 Q. I appreciate that one wouldn't be used 13 going forward. I'm talking about the deletion 14 process. Why is it that you would dispose of 15 them? 16 A. Well, why would you keep – 17 MR. SCHMIDT: Just answer the question. 18 A. No. I don't know the answer. 19 Q. So you don't know whether you deleted 20 draft versions of the agreement or not? 21 A. I produced everything that we have in 22 the way of whatever draft agreements. I have 23 nothing else in the way of draft agreements. 24 Q. So Strategic Vision has no other drafts 25 of the agreement?</p>
<p>1 Mr. Guo or Lianchao Han or Yvette Wang? 2 A. It would have been towards the middle 3 to end of December. It was the middle of 4 December, I think initially, and then we kept 5 hammering it out, hammering it out, and hammering 6 it out until we had a final agreement which was 7 signed on the, whatever it was, the 6th of 8 January. 9 Q. And without revealing any 10 communications, did Strategic Vision involve an 11 attorney in drafting this research agreement? 12 A. No. We just did it by trust. 13 Q. I think you said it before, but 14 Strategic Vision has never used an agreement 15 similar to this to service another client? 16 A. No. 17 Q. And did you keep the drafts of this 18 research agreement that you – the multiple 19 drafts you referenced before? 20 A. What you see is what you have. 21 Q. I know. What I'm saying is, you had 22 referred to there were multiple drafts, correct? 23 A. There were, but then – you know, with 24 a draft, you go through, you delete it, you – 25 you create a better draft. So I think this was</p>	<p>1 A. Not that I'm aware of. 2 Q. Okay. It has a blank for contractor. 3 Any particular reason that – 4 A. No. That would have been Strategic 5 Vision and the client. 6 Q. Did you consider using another entity 7 to be the contractor in connection with this 8 research? 9 A. No. 10 Q. What's Strategic Vision's financial 11 relationship with Mr. Waller in connection with 12 this research agreement? 13 A. You mean how did we – how did we – 14 how did we compensate him; is that what you're 15 asking? 16 Q. If that's – yeah, if that – that's 17 certainly encompassed by what I just said. 18 A. Otherwise, I don't understand the 19 question. 20 Q. Sure. What did – how was Mr. Waller 21 paid for his services in connection with the 22 research agreement? 23 A. By wire. 24 Q. Okay. And how was that calculated or 25 determined?</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. We just had an agreement of trust</p> <p>2 between us.</p> <p>3 Q. What were the terms of that agreement?</p> <p>4 A. Probably 50/50, plus expenses.</p> <p>5 Q. And I take it this wasn't a written</p> <p>6 agreement?</p> <p>7 A. No. We never needed one.</p> <p>8 Q. Right. So when did you and – well,</p> <p>9 when did Strategic Vision and Mr. Waller come to</p> <p>10 this 50/50 split agreement?</p> <p>11 A. Well, after we had the agreement</p> <p>12 finally signed, it had been going off and on, off</p> <p>13 and on during all of December, we didn't know</p> <p>14 whether we had an agreement or not. And then,</p> <p>15 when Yvette turned up with the first set of flash</p> <p>16 drives that were corrupted, so then I had come to</p> <p>17 New York – we didn't have an agreement, per se.</p> <p>18 We had a signed agreement. We had no funds at</p> <p>19 the time, at the first – at the tail end of</p> <p>20 December, when we were still all talking after</p> <p>21 Christmas.</p> <p>22 And, finally, the funds turned up –</p> <p>23 I'm trying to answer your question here. When</p> <p>24 the funds turned up in, like, the 2nd or 3rd of</p> <p>25 January of 2018, we still didn't know whether</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 114</p> <p>1 the wire that was sent?</p> <p>2 A. The wire was not sent until the middle</p> <p>3 of January or so.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And was that wire for splitting</p> <p>5 the profits or for something else?</p> <p>6 A. It was for beginning to start paying</p> <p>7 the team as well as beginning to pay Mike.</p> <p>8 Q. Oh, so it was both?</p> <p>9 A. Yeah. There were two wires. Maybe</p> <p>10 there were three wires, yeah.</p> <p>11 Q. So how much was the wire for the team?</p> <p>12 And I assume that means Team 1?</p> <p>13 A. The preliminary amount for Team 1 was</p> <p>14 at least 300,000.</p> <p>15 Q. And how much of that, if there was a</p> <p>16 second wire or the same wire, was for Dr. Waller?</p> <p>17 A. Then there was a separate wire for</p> <p>18 about 200 for Dr. Waller. It could have been</p> <p>19 250, I have to look. We haven't done our tax</p> <p>20 thing yet on it. But about 250. And then there</p> <p>21 was an additional amount for expenses.</p> <p>22 Q. How much was that expense amount wire,</p> <p>23 if you recall?</p> <p>24 A. Well, I think – we're talking about</p> <p>25 travel, and – because he had to do a lot of the</p>	
<p>1 that was even going to work, and then they turned</p> <p>2 up from somebody we'd never heard of, and so</p> <p>3 forth and so on. So we had to sit down and</p> <p>4 decide what was going to go for the teams that we</p> <p>5 were going to use and how we were going to</p> <p>6 allocate the expenses and so forth.</p> <p>7 Q. So when – when was the point in time</p> <p>8 when Strategic Vision and Mr. Waller decided how</p> <p>9 they were going to, as you described it, split</p> <p>10 the – split it 50/50?</p> <p>11 A. Not until sometime in January.</p> <p>12 Probably the end of January.</p> <p>13 Q. So, well after the contract had been</p> <p>14 signed –</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. – and the –</p> <p>17 A. We were trying to figure out what</p> <p>18 things were going to cost.</p> <p>19 Q. You said before that Strategic Vision</p> <p>20 had sent money to Mr. Waller, or Dr. Waller?</p> <p>21 A. When?</p> <p>22 Q. I'm asking. That's what my question</p> <p>23 is.</p> <p>24 A. No. I mean...</p> <p>25 Q. You said there was a wire. What was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p> <p>1 face-to-face collection, and last minute, which</p> <p>2 costs a lot more; probably between 25 and 50, I</p> <p>3 can't remember, thousand.</p> <p>4 Q. And is that the full extent of the</p> <p>5 amount of money that's been paid to Dr. Waller in</p> <p>6 connection with the research agreement that's the</p> <p>7 subject of this litigation?</p> <p>8 A. I think there was a bit more, maybe</p> <p>9 another 50, and that was based on certain</p> <p>10 invoices that he had for – for things that he</p> <p>11 was – that we had to pay.</p> <p>12 Q. I see. And so what about – let's just</p> <p>13 set aside Dr. Waller. Were there any other costs</p> <p>14 that Strategic Vision incurred in connection with</p> <p>15 this research agreement that didn't go through</p> <p>16 Dr. Waller or one of his entities?</p> <p>17 A. There were – there was a lot of travel</p> <p>18 expense for Strategic Vision, face-to-face time</p> <p>19 with these individuals overseas that I had to do.</p> <p>20 There was – there were other entities that were</p> <p>21 also contracted to retrieve information.</p> <p>22 Q. Let's go one at a time, I'm sorry to</p> <p>23 hop in. But what were your – what were</p> <p>24 Strategic Vision's kind of direct travel</p> <p>25 expenses?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 117</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. Oh, I would say probably at least</p> <p>2 50,000.</p> <p>3 Q. And does Strategic Vision have records</p> <p>4 and bank statements that would memorialize or</p> <p>5 otherwise reflect all these payments you're</p> <p>6 talking about here today?</p> <p>7 A. We would be able to give you an idea as</p> <p>8 to what the costs are, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. So those records do exist?</p> <p>10 A. The records, the receipts, yes.</p> <p>11 (*r) MR. GRENDI: Joe, obviously we're going</p> <p>12 to call for the production of those</p> <p>13 documents, we'll obviously send you a</p> <p>14 letter, but to put that on the record.</p> <p>15 Q. I'm sorry, you were saying after travel</p> <p>16 expenses, there were other costs as well?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. What were those?</p> <p>19 A. The hiring of individuals overseas to</p> <p>20 retrieve certain information that was only –</p> <p>21 only in the U.K. or in Switzerland or in the</p> <p>22 Middle East.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And these are – this is</p> <p>24 separate from Team 1?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 118</p> <p>1 travel, time spent working on this, lots of</p> <p>2 different meetings with different people to try</p> <p>3 to collect data.</p> <p>4 Q. Did Strategic Vision ever turn away any</p> <p>5 clients because of this engagement?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, we did.</p> <p>7 Q. When was that?</p> <p>8 A. It was probably in March.</p> <p>9 Q. Of what year?</p> <p>10 A. I'm sorry.</p> <p>11 Q. That's okay.</p> <p>12 A. 2018.</p> <p>13 Q. Sure. March of 2018. And why did</p> <p>14 Strategic Vision turn that client away?</p> <p>15 A. Because we were still working on this,</p> <p>16 we believed. It could have been February, too.</p> <p>17 I'd have to ask Mike.</p> <p>18 Q. And what's the name of that potential</p> <p>19 client?</p> <p>20 A. I can't tell you.</p> <p>21 Q. So you're refusing to tell me that</p> <p>22 because?</p> <p>23 A. I can't tell you.</p> <p>24 Q. No, I'm asking why you're not telling</p> <p>25 me?</p>
<p>1 Q. And what was the cost of, let's just</p> <p>2 say, the team you used to get information out of</p> <p>3 the U.K.?</p> <p>4 A. It was about 20,000 U.S. dollars.</p> <p>5 Q. Is that a group called Fletcher?</p> <p>6 A. That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. What about, you said that maybe</p> <p>8 a team in Switzerland or somewhere else?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. What was the cost of that other team?</p> <p>11 A. I'd have to look. I can't remember.</p> <p>12 But it was minimal. I mean, it wasn't – it</p> <p>13 wasn't – it's like maybe 8, \$10,000, something</p> <p>14 like that.</p> <p>15 Q. Right.</p> <p>16 A. Some of it had to be done in cash.</p> <p>17 Q. How much of it was done in cash?</p> <p>18 A. Maybe that amount.</p> <p>19 Q. Eight to 10,000?</p> <p>20 A. Eight to 10,000, perhaps. I hate cash.</p> <p>21 Q. If you would take a moment, are there</p> <p>22 any other costs that you can think of that</p> <p>23 Strategic Vision incurred because of this</p> <p>24 research agreement?</p> <p>25 A. Well, the usual costs, telephone,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 119</p> <p>1 A. It's confidential.</p> <p>2 MR. SCHMIDT: Can you describe in</p> <p>3 general terms at all or?</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Obviously, I cannot.</p> <p>5 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay.</p> <p>6 MR. GRENDI: I mean, obviously, we</p> <p>7 don't accept that assertion of</p> <p>8 confidentiality here, and we'll deal with it</p> <p>9 at a later date.</p> <p>10 Q. So why was it that this confidential</p> <p>11 client couldn't be engaged?</p> <p>12 A. We had a lot on our plate.</p> <p>13 Q. And how much was this potential client</p> <p>14 willing to engage Strategic Vision for?</p> <p>15 A. We hadn't gotten that far.</p> <p>16 Q. So you don't know whether the –</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. – potential client was going to be a</p> <p>19 valuable one or not?</p> <p>20 A. No, I don't know. I'd have to – I'd</p> <p>21 have to talk to Mike about it.</p> <p>22 Q. Was it Mike's client or your client, or</p> <p>23 Strategic Vision's client I should say?</p> <p>24 A. We would have shared the client.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And just generally, can you</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 describe what the tenor of the conversation was</p> <p>2 with this client as to why you couldn't provide</p> <p>3 the service?</p> <p>4 A. We had a full plate. We couldn't</p> <p>5 handle it.</p> <p>6 Q. So the client reached out to you?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And you said, we can't do it, we're</p> <p>9 full?</p> <p>10 A. We're full.</p> <p>11 Q. So Strategic Vision can only have a</p> <p>12 limited amount of clients at a time?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. What's full capacity for Strategic</p> <p>15 Vision? It sounds like one investigation,</p> <p>16 investigatory research project is a full plate?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. It's not?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. So, again I'll ask, why did you</p> <p>21 turn them away if you could have done it then?</p> <p>22 A. We had a full plate.</p> <p>23 Q. So the research agreement that is the</p> <p>24 subject of this case, that encompasses work that</p> <p>25 maxes out Strategic Vision's capacity?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 122</p> <p>1 free, we can do it?</p> <p>2 A. No, we did not. And we had a full</p> <p>3 plate, we should have.</p> <p>4 Q. Because of litigation?</p> <p>5 A. No. We had a full plate.</p> <p>6 Q. So you got another client? I'm asking</p> <p>7 a question.</p> <p>8 MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, can you give him</p> <p>9 just a little bit more about what the full</p> <p>10 plate is, in addition to the lawsuit and</p> <p>11 this contract. I think that's fair.</p> <p>12 A. We had a full plate. I can't give you</p> <p>13 any more than that.</p> <p>14 Q. So you're refusing to answer that</p> <p>15 question? You're refusing to tell me whether</p> <p>16 Strategic Vision had another client, and that's</p> <p>17 why you couldn't do any more?</p> <p>18 A. We could have had another client. We</p> <p>19 did not – we chose not to take the other client</p> <p>20 because we had a full plate. We didn't know what</p> <p>21 this whole thing was all about. So we just</p> <p>22 didn't want to get into any more issues with a</p> <p>23 client that was as complicated.</p> <p>24 Q. At the time the research agreement was</p> <p>25 signed, January 6, 2018 –</p>	
<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. So then it's not a full plate?</p> <p>3 A. We were very busy doing many other</p> <p>4 things, and so we could not take on an additional</p> <p>5 client at the time, even though we wanted to.</p> <p>6 But we'd also been served with some lawsuit on</p> <p>7 the 23rd of February, which then, who knows.</p> <p>8 Q. So just to be clear. So the client</p> <p>9 that was turned away from Strategic Vision –</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. – because of your full plate –</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. – was after the February 23rd, 2018</p> <p>14 letter?</p> <p>15 A. No. As I said, it was either during</p> <p>16 the middle of February, to the beginning of</p> <p>17 March. I can't remember exactly what the date</p> <p>18 was.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay.</p> <p>20 A. Mike is the one that would know what</p> <p>21 the date was. I don't know the date. But we had</p> <p>22 hoped that the client would understand the</p> <p>23 circumstances.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you go back to that client after</p> <p>25 this agreement was terminated and say, we're</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 123</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. – was Strategic Vision servicing any</p> <p>3 other clients with investigatory research</p> <p>4 services?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. From the signing of the research</p> <p>7 agreement, until February 23rd, 2018, did</p> <p>8 Strategic Vision provide investigatory research</p> <p>9 services to any other client?</p> <p>10 A. Not in that time frame, no.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. After February 23rd, 2018</p> <p>12 through, let's say, the end of March 2018, did</p> <p>13 Strategic Vision provide investigatory research</p> <p>14 services to any other client?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 MR. GRENDI: How are people feeling</p> <p>17 about lunch and taking that break?</p> <p>18 MR. SCHMIDT: At one o'clock, do you</p> <p>19 want to do it?</p> <p>20 MR. GRENDI: Do you want to do</p> <p>21 one o'clock? I'm fine with that. Is that</p> <p>22 okay with you?</p> <p>23 MS. TESKE: Yes.</p> <p>24 MR. SCHMIDT: Is that good?</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: That's fine.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 125</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 MR. GRENDI: So another 15 minutes.</p> <p>2 Let's do Strategic Vision 9.</p> <p>3 (Wallop Exhibit 9, Research Agreement</p> <p>4 dated December 29, 2017, marked for</p> <p>5 identification.)</p> <p>6 Q. Ms. Wallop, do you recognize this</p> <p>7 document?</p> <p>8 A. I do.</p> <p>9 Q. That's your signature on the last page</p> <p>10 there?</p> <p>11 A. It is.</p> <p>12 Q. Were you physically present when this</p> <p>13 contract was executed?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And who else was there?</p> <p>16 A. Yvette Wang.</p> <p>17 Q. Anyone else?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 Q. Dr. Waller wasn't physically present?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. Was he on a conference call or</p> <p>22 telephoned in during the time that this agreement</p> <p>23 was signed?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. The agreement references the laws of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p> <p>1 as to how best to visualize, so to speak, the</p> <p>2 whole concept of this agreement, we used an</p> <p>3 example of like, it's like fish in a tank. So if</p> <p>4 you put the ten fish, or, as it turned out to be,</p> <p>5 15 fish in the tank, and one of the fish –</p> <p>6 forgive me – died, but we didn't want to use the</p> <p>7 word died, if one of the fish wasn't a useful</p> <p>8 fish on the information that we were trying to</p> <p>9 pull, we would take that fish out and put a new</p> <p>10 fish, meaning a new name, into the tank, and we</p> <p>11 would run the investigative background on that</p> <p>12 new fish, as well as the other nine fish.</p> <p>13 So, that's where the whole concept of</p> <p>14 the fish tank came from. He loved it. He</p> <p>15 couldn't wait to use it. It was a big deal to</p> <p>16 him. So, fine, we kept talking about fish. So,</p> <p>17 for whatever reason, that's why it ended up in</p> <p>18 this sort of contract agreement.</p> <p>19 I realize that it's not the usual kind</p> <p>20 of contract terminology that I would have used,</p> <p>21 but he loved it, and so we used it, and so he</p> <p>22 understood it, and that's why we moved forward</p> <p>23 with it</p> <p>24 Q. I just want to know where the concept</p> <p>25 came from. Did he start using the term fish or</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p>
<p>1 the state of Nevada in that second paragraph, do</p> <p>2 you see that?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Where did that come from?</p> <p>5 A. Because Strategic Vision is registered</p> <p>6 in the state of Nevada.</p> <p>7 Q. So you put that in there because that's</p> <p>8 where Strategic Vision is incorporated?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. And there's some, I'll call it lingo or</p> <p>11 jargon in this contract about fish, do you recall</p> <p>12 that?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Where did the term fish come from?</p> <p>15 A. Guo was having a hard time</p> <p>16 understanding how – how we would select the –</p> <p>17 the concept of investigation and the numbers, for</p> <p>18 instance, per month. The – the whole file that</p> <p>19 he showed us had – the original file, whatever</p> <p>20 exhibit that was, in fact, had at least 92 names</p> <p>21 in it, which was reduced down to 30 names, which</p> <p>22 was then reduced down to the ten names, but then</p> <p>23 they switched and wanted to have 15 names in the</p> <p>24 first month.</p> <p>25 So, in order to give him an explanation</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 127</p> <p>1 did you; kind of like you saw a fish tank and you</p> <p>2 said, well, it's just like if you took fish in</p> <p>3 and out of a tank?</p> <p>4 A. Well, we were talking in hypotheticals.</p> <p>5 He didn't understand how we would have to just</p> <p>6 take different names out of different sort of</p> <p>7 collection points –</p> <p>8 Q. I see.</p> <p>9 A. – so we just used a really simple</p> <p>10 analogy of a fish tank. And you put the ten fish</p> <p>11 in, as it turned out for the first month 15, and</p> <p>12 then they – you know, you pull one out if you</p> <p>13 found that it wasn't going anywhere; in other</p> <p>14 words, if it was a dead end. A lot of these</p> <p>15 Chinese names used fake names. That's a whole</p> <p>16 other thing we can get into.</p> <p>17 Q. Sure. And has Strategic Vision ever</p> <p>18 used this fish, or fish tank terminology –</p> <p>19 A. Never.</p> <p>20 Q. – in connection with –</p> <p>21 Just let me finish my question.</p> <p>22 A. Sorry.</p> <p>23 Q. – with any other client?</p> <p>24 A. Never.</p> <p>25 Q. I take it from your expression that you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 129</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 130	Page 132
<p>1 found it silly or ridiculous?</p> <p>2 A. I did, but it helped him understand the</p> <p>3 concept.</p> <p>4 Q. It says here that, "Any and all</p> <p>5 materials provided by the client, that's Eastern</p> <p>6 Profit, to the contractor, that's Strategic</p> <p>7 Vision, will be treated with absolute</p> <p>8 confidentiality and will not be shared by the</p> <p>9 contractor with any other entity."</p> <p>10 Do you see that in the third paragraph</p> <p>11 of this research agreement?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. What – how does that work with</p> <p>14 Strategic Vision in terms of sharing information</p> <p>15 with other entities?</p> <p>16 A. Well, we had to share it with the</p> <p>17 teams, in other words, for them to be able to do</p> <p>18 the research.</p> <p>19 Q. Does the contract discuss that at all?</p> <p>20 A. It's very clear. You can't have an</p> <p>21 agreement – you can't do the research unless you</p> <p>22 give it to the teams to do the research, right?</p> <p>23 Q. I just asked you if that was in the</p> <p>24 agreement?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>1 high quality original research and prepare</p> <p>2 reports on subjects chosen at the client's</p> <p>3 discretion."</p> <p>4 Do you see that in that following</p> <p>5 sentence?</p> <p>6 A. Correct, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. What original research did Strategic</p> <p>8 Vision do in connection with this contract?</p> <p>9 A. Based upon what we received, we then</p> <p>10 started diving into pulling information.</p> <p>11 Q. When you say we, are you talking about</p> <p>12 the entity Strategic Vision or other people, like</p> <p>13 teams?</p> <p>14 A. Teams, and Mike and myself.</p> <p>15 Q. Was there anyone else that did the</p> <p>16 research, other than those three entities that</p> <p>17 you just referred to, yourself, Mr. Waller, or</p> <p>18 Dr. Waller, and the teams?</p> <p>19 A. The groups in – the individual ones in</p> <p>20 both Europe and in the U.K.</p> <p>21 Q. Is that, you mean Fletcher –</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. – and the other entity that you</p> <p>24 referred to –</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>
<p>1 Q. Where?</p> <p>2 A. With any other entity. "Will not be</p> <p>3 shared by any other entity." It's not an empty,</p> <p>4 it was a team. An entity would be the Washington</p> <p>5 Post, or you, or a third or fourth party that has</p> <p>6 nothing to do with this research agreement.</p> <p>7 Q. So I just want to understand that. So</p> <p>8 then, the contractor referred to in the contract</p> <p>9 refers to more than just Strategic Vision?</p> <p>10 A. Our teams.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. You understand the teams that we had to</p> <p>13 use.</p> <p>14 Q. I'm just trying to understand what the</p> <p>15 contract says. Does the contract say anything</p> <p>16 about teams?</p> <p>17 A. Well, if you're in the business, you</p> <p>18 understand teams are teams, and you have to use a</p> <p>19 team with a – as a part of the contract. He</p> <p>20 knew perfectly well what that meant.</p> <p>21 Q. I just asked you whether the contract</p> <p>22 said it, that's all.</p> <p>23 A. I'm not a lawyer. It wasn't drawn up</p> <p>24 by a lawyer.</p> <p>25 Q. It says, "The contractor will conduct</p>	<p>1 Q. – I guess, in Switzerland?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. It says, "The contractor will produce</p> <p>4 complete research reports and provide all</p> <p>5 supporting data as indicated below." Do you see</p> <p>6 that, two or three sentences down?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. So was Strategic Vision going to</p> <p>9 produce all the reports or were the teams going</p> <p>10 to create some of them?</p> <p>11 A. The teams were going to develop them on</p> <p>12 the flash drives. We were not going to do any</p> <p>13 written reports.</p> <p>14 Q. Why wouldn't you do any – why wouldn't</p> <p>15 Strategic Vision do any written reports?</p> <p>16 A. Because we were trying to keep it as</p> <p>17 secure as possible.</p> <p>18 Q. But you understood that the other</p> <p>19 teams – strike that. Let me start over.</p> <p>20 Strategic Vision understood that its teams would</p> <p>21 create written reports?</p> <p>22 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. No?</p> <p>25 A. No, it never says anything here about a</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

	Page 134	Page 136
<p>1 written report.</p> <p>2 Q. No, I know, I understand that. I'm</p> <p>3 trying to understand whether Strategic Vision</p> <p>4 understood whether its teams or independent</p> <p>5 contractors would produce written reports?</p> <p>6 A. We would not produce written reports,</p> <p>7 or we had no intention of doing that. This</p> <p>8 was – these were face-to-face meetings,</p> <p>9 face-to-face information, USB flash drives.</p> <p>10 Q. Oh, I understand.</p> <p>11 A. It was –</p> <p>12 Q. Maybe we're having a semantic –</p> <p>13 A. It was Guo's – sorry. It was Guo's</p> <p>14 insistence on the security measure.</p> <p>15 Q. I want to clarify something here. Do</p> <p>16 you understand written to mean just like</p> <p>17 something written on a piece of paper as opposed</p> <p>18 to electronic?</p> <p>19 MR. SCHMIDT: That's how I understood</p> <p>20 it.</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 MR. SCHMIDT: That's how the question</p> <p>23 was. You went from flash drives to USBs –</p> <p>24 MR. GRENDI: No, hold on. Hold on.</p> <p>25 MR. SCHMIDT: – into written reports.</p>	<p>1 confused. I don't know what you're asking.</p> <p>2 MR. GRENDI: All right. I mean –</p> <p>3 MR. SCHMIDT: I think you got to back</p> <p>4 way up and start this over.</p> <p>5 MR. GRENDI: Well, we're getting – why</p> <p>6 don't we do lunch. We'll start over on</p> <p>7 this. It's no big deal.</p> <p>8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at</p> <p>9 12:57.</p> <p>10 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)</p> <p>11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record</p> <p>12 at 1:01.</p> <p>13 Q. How did Strategic Vision intend to</p> <p>14 deliver the reports described in this research</p> <p>15 agreement?</p> <p>16 A. Directly to the designated driver, the</p> <p>17 designated agent for Mr. Guo, who was either</p> <p>18 going to be Lianchao, then it became Yvette, and</p> <p>19 then it became Lianchao again. So we would give</p> <p>20 it to them or Guo directly on a USB key.</p> <p>21 Q. So there would be electronic documents</p> <p>22 on a USB?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. And would any of those documents be a</p> <p>25 report in narrative form?</p>	
	Page 135	Page 137
<p>1 So a written report is a written report. It</p> <p>2 has a standard connotation. So you might</p> <p>3 have to redo this.</p> <p>4 MR. GRENDI: Yeah, let's – let's break</p> <p>5 it out. I didn't get it. I always think of</p> <p>6 writing as both.</p> <p>7 Q. So, including electronic documents, did</p> <p>8 you understand that written materials would be</p> <p>9 produced?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. So you never – Strategic Vision never</p> <p>12 contemplated providing any written materials to</p> <p>13 Eastern Profit?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. So Strategic Vision understood</p> <p>16 everything would be conveyed orally to the</p> <p>17 client?</p> <p>18 A. Via flash drive.</p> <p>19 Q. So flash drive would be allowed.</p> <p>20 That's – I'm including a flash drive as a</p> <p>21 writing. In other words, if something is typed</p> <p>22 or handwritten on a piece of paper, that's a</p> <p>23 writing. Can we agree on that?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 MR. SCHMIDT: I'm actually seriously</p>	<p>1 A. I never saw them until later, until</p> <p>2 after all of this started. I never saw any of</p> <p>3 the documentation. Again, compartmentalizing.</p> <p>4 Q. Right. So Strategic Vision never</p> <p>5 reviewed any of the documents that were delivered</p> <p>6 to either Lianchao Han or Yvette Wang under this</p> <p>7 research agreement?</p> <p>8 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. Go ahead.</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. And I'll just ask about you personally.</p> <p>11 You personally didn't review any of the documents</p> <p>12 that were delivered to Lianchao Han or Yvette</p> <p>13 Wang under this research agreement?</p> <p>14 A. No, because of the timing and the</p> <p>15 logistics.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. What is financial forensic</p> <p>17 historical research?</p> <p>18 A. What do you mean?</p> <p>19 Q. Well, it's described here in – on</p> <p>20 Eastern 5, on the first page there?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Have you used that term before in –</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. – other research agreements?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. And are these kind of standard terms or 2 items that would be included in financial 3 forensic historical research?</p> <p>4 A. It would be, particularly if you're 5 looking at information you're trying to get in 6 the way of money laundering or cash purchases; 7 for instance, there were cash purchases of 8 houses, cash purchases by these fish. We were 9 tracking their individual financial spending 10 habits, how they could have a house, how they 11 could have a car, when they only had \$2,500 in a 12 credit card limit.</p> <p>13 I mean, there were multiple layers and 14 levels of investigation that go on into 15 financial, forensic accounting, or research in 16 this case.</p> <p>17 Q. This kind of list of different things 18 that could be researched, including statements, 19 capital sources, etc., do you see that list with 20 all those commas there?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Was that a list that you and Dr. Waller 23 put together in terms of Strategic Vision's 24 capabilities?</p> <p>25 A. What we would have been able to have</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 138</p> <p>1 to our detriment; we said, it was not legal to do 2 what he wanted to be done, so.</p> <p>3 Q. So in terms of the – you understood 4 that the client wanted everything in terms of 5 financial forensic historical research?</p> <p>6 A. That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. And then you kind of put together this 8 list here of everything you could think of that 9 you could access?</p> <p>10 A. We put together the list first.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. And then he kept saying he wanted more 13 and more and more and more.</p> <p>14 Q. Oh, so do you recall adding, you know, 15 different items to this A tab, forensic 16 historical research, to include more items that 17 he was demanding?</p> <p>18 A. No. He demanded it verbally.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay.</p> <p>20 A. I think it was around the 26th of 21 January, that, I do remember, where he was 22 insistent; I don't care what it takes, get it, 23 get it. We said, you have to take your time.</p> <p>24 Q. And it talks about on the next page, 25 Eastern 6, progress reports. It says,</p>
<p>1 researched – there are two ways of looking at 2 it. Stateside, what anybody can do that is into 3 this field.</p> <p>4 Q. Right.</p> <p>5 A. Versus what you can also do overseas, 6 and they're sort of two different rabbits here.</p> <p>7 Q. Does the agreement kind of break out 8 that difference in terms of U.S. versus foreign?</p> <p>9 A. Somewhere in here, I think it might 10 have.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. But just going back to this list 12 of different types of information on Eastern 5. 13 Do you recall you and Dr. Waller putting that 14 list together, or this –</p> <p>15 A. Yes, we did. We talked about it, and 16 he wrote – wrote it while we collaborated on it.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you remember any input from the 18 other side as to what the financial forensic 19 historical research should include?</p> <p>20 A. They wanted everything, everything we 21 could get our hands on.</p> <p>22 Q. I see.</p> <p>23 A. He was particularly – Guo was 24 particularly insistent that we dive and dive 25 harder, and dive faster, and dive harder, almost</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p> <p>1 "contractor will produce a progress report on" –</p> <p>2 A. Where is this?</p> <p>3 Q. It's the, I guess, the first full 4 paragraph on Eastern 6.</p> <p>5 A. Oh, okay.</p> <p>6 Q. What is a progress report?</p> <p>7 A. Verbal conversations that we had with 8 him.</p> <p>9 Q. What would be in a verbal progress 10 report?</p> <p>11 A. Telling him what we were doing and how 12 we were trying to get the teams set up and done 13 in the first month.</p> <p>14 Q. Has Strategic Vision bargained for 15 progress reports in other agreements with other 16 clients?</p> <p>17 A. I don't understand the question. Each 18 client is different and each agreement is 19 different, and so the terms are different.</p> <p>20 Q. So you've never – strike that. Has 21 Strategic Vision ever provided progress reports 22 to other clients in connection with investigatory 23 research?</p> <p>24 A. Usually we do it the same way, through 25 USB key or face-to-face.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

1 Q. Has the term progress report been used 2 by Strategic Vision in other contracts it has 3 with its clients? 4 A. It depends on the terms of the 5 contract. 6 Q. I'm asking if you recall? 7 A. I don't recall. 8 Q. So progress reports are not a standard 9 term that Strategic Vision uses in other research 10 agreements? 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. 12 A. Progress reports have different 13 definitions depending upon the security and 14 the – each individual client's situation. They 15 are not all the same. 16 Q. I understand. 17 A. So they're not all the same. 18 Q. Okay. 19 A. A progress report – if somebody wants 20 a progress report on how their cat is doing, we 21 can do a progress report on how the cat is, or 22 how a house is, or a real estate is. But this is 23 highly confidential and a secure confidential 24 compartmentalization of information that was 25 vital to him, to Guo.	Page 142	1 a progress report and a preliminary report? 2 A. I have no idea how to answer that, 3 except that I'm trying to explain to you that it 4 is, in fact, a face-to-face conversation. It is 5 not in writing. 6 Q. I understand that. 7 A. Okay. So what's the problem on the 8 question? I don't understand. 9 Q. I want to understand what the 10 difference is between them. 11 A. A written report and a verbal report? 12 Q. Not a written report, no. Let me ask 13 my question. It says, a weekly progress 14 report – 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. – and one preliminary report at the 17 end of the first month, or in the first month. 18 A. All right. 19 Q. And I want to know if, to Strategic 20 Vision, is there a difference between the 21 contents of a progress report and a preliminary 22 report. I'm talking about contents, not delivery 23 method. 24 A. Depending upon how much we've been able 25 to gather, the preliminary report is precisely	Page 144
1 Q. What about preliminary reports? 2 A. There is no terminology on here on 3 preliminary reports, other than face-to-face. 4 That's what we were relying on, was face-to-face. 5 Q. If you look at the next sentence, it 6 says – or, actually, the same sentence. It 7 says, "the contractor will produce a progress 8 report on this financial, forensic research each 9 week in the first month." Then it says, "one 10 preliminary report in the first month." 11 A. Which we did. We exceeded that. 12 Q. Let me ask you this. What does a 13 preliminary report include? 14 A. Verbal report, face-to-face, which is 15 what we were doing when we had the meetings with 16 Guo in his apartment. 17 Q. I think you're misunderstanding my 18 question. I'm trying to understand what the 19 contents of a preliminary report would be, as 20 compared to a progress report? 21 A. It would be a verbal report and 22 updating him on what the circumstances were and 23 what we were gathering and how we were gathering 24 it. That's a preliminary report. 25 Q. So there's really no difference between	Page 143	1 that; that's just the beginning, that's like the 2 first paragraph in a novel. The next 3 conversation, which is what happened, the next 4 conversation was an update on the material and 5 the information that we had already gathered. So 6 it was all still verbal, face-to-face. There is 7 no written report. 8 Q. And what about a historical research 9 report, one comprehensive historical research 10 report; what were the contents – 11 A. And that was – 12 Q. – whether it's conveyed orally or in 13 writing or electronically, what would the 14 contents of a comprehensive historical research 15 report be? 16 A. That would have been the findings that 17 would have been coming from our teams, or team in 18 this case, which would have been the USB or flash 19 drive. 20 Q. And what would typically be in a 21 comprehensive historical research report? What 22 kind of level of information would be there? 23 A. Since we were trying to get something 24 within ten days of the contract commencing, and 25 he was adamant about getting something	Page 145

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 immediately, and we had to move teams, and Mike</p> <p>2 had to go and see the teams two or three times in</p> <p>3 order to get – or meet with the team people two</p> <p>4 or three times in order to get the flash drives,</p> <p>5 it disrupted the process, and those teams were</p> <p>6 doing a great deal of diving, and they did not</p> <p>7 need to be disrupted.</p> <p>8 So when we had the opportunity of</p> <p>9 bringing information back, which is what Mike did</p> <p>10 to Yvette several times, and we – that was the</p> <p>11 content, that was the first set of contents</p> <p>12 within the first ten days of the contract.</p> <p>13 Q. Which delivery of the USB does</p> <p>14 Strategic Vision consider to be the comprehensive</p> <p>15 historical research report?</p> <p>16 A. Well, the beginning of it was the – at</p> <p>17 the tail end of January, I think, when Mike went</p> <p>18 back and forth to – twice, I think, to – to</p> <p>19 meet the team person.</p> <p>20 Q. So was that about on January 30, 2019?</p> <p>21 A. That's correct. Not '19. '18.</p> <p>22 Q. Oh, '18. Thank you. Appreciate that.</p> <p>23 Was that a meeting where Dr. Waller delivered,</p> <p>24 let's say, an 80-Gigabyte Flash Drive to Yvette</p> <p>25 Wang at the Tracks Bar?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 146</p> <p>1 activity with – like American Express or</p> <p>2 airlines. It depends. Names of carriers,</p> <p>3 manifests, geo location, which is easy enough</p> <p>4 to – to get into if you have somebody's</p> <p>5 telephone number. Major events. You can – you</p> <p>6 can – you can do a lot that is – that is</p> <p>7 accessed remotely, as it says here.</p> <p>8 Q. And the reports for current tracking</p> <p>9 research, is there any sort of meaningful</p> <p>10 distinction between current tracking research</p> <p>11 reports and financial, forensic, historical</p> <p>12 research reports?</p> <p>13 A. It all would have been delivered, had</p> <p>14 we had time to collect what we wanted to collect,</p> <p>15 within the first USB keys.</p> <p>16 Q. Did Strategic Vision deliver any</p> <p>17 current tracking research reports?</p> <p>18 A. I don't know what was on the reports.</p> <p>19 I think the answer is yes.</p> <p>20 Q. But you never reviewed the reports?</p> <p>21 A. I did not, but I understand that the</p> <p>22 answer is – in some cases, actually, with the</p> <p>23 Team 2 we did find stuff on tracking.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. We'll get to that. What about</p> <p>25 social media research; in terms of investigatory</p>	
<p>1 A. Well, at the train station.</p> <p>2 Q. The train station, sure.</p> <p>3 A. That's what I knew. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. But Strategic Vision had never even</p> <p>5 reviewed –</p> <p>6 A. I never saw it.</p> <p>7 Q. – that deliverable?</p> <p>8 A. Never saw it. How could I see it?</p> <p>9 Q. And just looking at the next item,</p> <p>10 "Current Tracking Research."</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. What kind of research is this?</p> <p>13 A. It's current tracking research.</p> <p>14 Q. What does it entail, though? It's like</p> <p>15 a – is this –</p> <p>16 A. It's tracking individuals. It's</p> <p>17 tracking individuals that were the first ten on</p> <p>18 the list, or the first 15 on the list that he</p> <p>19 wanted us to track.</p> <p>20 Q. Is this akin to what, like, a private</p> <p>21 investigator would do in terms of –</p> <p>22 A. Well, you know, you can look at</p> <p>23 their – their travel habits, you can look at</p> <p>24 their travel bills, you can probably maybe or</p> <p>25 maybe not get into some of their credit card</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 147</p> <p>1 research, what's the distinction between current</p> <p>2 tracking and social media?</p> <p>3 A. Social media is what's available online</p> <p>4 that you can dive into and do a little bit more</p> <p>5 in depth access into passwords and things like</p> <p>6 that, that people like that, that do that sort of</p> <p>7 thing understand how to get.</p> <p>8 Q. Is that hacking, password hacking?</p> <p>9 A. Overseas, it is known as hacking. We</p> <p>10 would not hack here.</p> <p>11 Q. But Strategic Vision would retain</p> <p>12 independent contractors or other entities to do</p> <p>13 hacking in other countries?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. It's legal.</p> <p>15 Q. Which countries is it legal in, if you</p> <p>16 know?</p> <p>17 A. I don't know all the countries.</p> <p>18 Q. What are some of them?</p> <p>19 A. Probably anywhere in Europe, probably</p> <p>20 Russia, Eastern Europe, God knows Asia.</p> <p>21 MR. SCHMIDT: Don't speculate. Just if</p> <p>22 you know.</p> <p>23 Q. Did Strategic Vision retain anyone to</p> <p>24 do this social media research in connection with</p> <p>25 this research agreement?</p>	<p>Page 149</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. It was all done with Team 1. Team 1</p> <p>2 was doing it.</p> <p>3 Q. So Team 1 was assigned the A, B and C</p> <p>4 described here on Eastern 5 and 6?</p> <p>5 A. They were assigned the entire menu.</p> <p>6 That was their job. Even though it was separated</p> <p>7 out, they knew exactly what their mandate was.</p> <p>8 Q. And the social media research reports,</p> <p>9 again, is there any distinction between how</p> <p>10 they're created and the other reports,</p> <p>11 conceptually?</p> <p>12 A. We didn't have time. We – what we</p> <p>13 were pulling in ten days was remarkable. Nobody</p> <p>14 can pull it in ten days. So you have a</p> <p>15 three-month contract that you're signed on to,</p> <p>16 and you believe that you've got three months to</p> <p>17 pull the entire set of information retrieval</p> <p>18 that's necessary, and you're given ten days,</p> <p>19 while somebody's squawking about, oh, my, you</p> <p>20 know, it – it's not all there.</p> <p>21 Well, it can't possibly be all there.</p> <p>22 Nobody can have it there in ten days. You can't</p> <p>23 build a team, collect the beginnings of all of</p> <p>24 these things, unless you want to be shut down</p> <p>25 immediately.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 150</p> <p>1 context?</p> <p>2 A. All the information we were mandated to</p> <p>3 investigate.</p> <p>4 Q. And why USB only; was that a Strategic</p> <p>5 Vision concept or Mr. Guo or someone else?</p> <p>6 A. It was Guo, as well as our own sense of</p> <p>7 security on this investigation. We were</p> <p>8 investigating, according to Guo, a number of the</p> <p>9 communist Chinese hierarchy leadership and their</p> <p>10 children in the United States, and it was –</p> <p>11 could have been life-threatening for all of us.</p> <p>12 So it was only on USB key, and, hence, the</p> <p>13 security issue.</p> <p>14 Q. Did Strategic Vision understand that</p> <p>15 all the fish in Exhibit 7 were living in the U.S.</p> <p>16 or had property in the U.S.?</p> <p>17 A. Some of – no. Some of them did and</p> <p>18 some of them didn't. I – you know, you'd have</p> <p>19 to go through them individually to see which ones</p> <p>20 have what.</p> <p>21 Q. And so is that why Strategic Vision</p> <p>22 needed a U.S. team, because there was</p> <p>23 U.S.-located fish?</p> <p>24 A. There was U.S.-located information,</p> <p>25 like fake passport numbers, fake Social Security</p>	
<p>1 Q. I just want to be clear, though. Did</p> <p>2 Strategic Vision deliver any social media</p> <p>3 research reports?</p> <p>4 A. I'm sure we did, somewhere.</p> <p>5 Q. Did you verbally deliver a social media</p> <p>6 research report to the client?</p> <p>7 A. I believe we did.</p> <p>8 Q. When would that have been?</p> <p>9 A. Sometime during – between December and</p> <p>10 January of 2017, '18. They were verbally,</p> <p>11 verbally. And we may have had some</p> <p>12 documentation. I can't remember.</p> <p>13 Q. And what about current tracking</p> <p>14 research, how was that conveyed to Ms. Wang or</p> <p>15 Lianchao Han?</p> <p>16 A. Mike did it with the USB key.</p> <p>17 Q. And that was on January 30th of 2018?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Any other time?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, there was another USB key earlier,</p> <p>21 I think.</p> <p>22 Q. It says on Eastern 7 that "all</p> <p>23 deliverables shall be by USB drive only."</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. What does deliverables mean in that</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 151</p> <p>1 numbers, fake names, Chinese, fake. A lot of</p> <p>2 fakes.</p> <p>3 Q. In the United States?</p> <p>4 A. In the United States. On the list that</p> <p>5 Guo gave us.</p> <p>6 Q. It says on Eastern 7 that, "the</p> <p>7 contractor guarantees that all information</p> <p>8 provided is genuine." Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. It's in the criteria section.</p> <p>11 A. Sure.</p> <p>12 Q. How does Strategic Vision guarantee</p> <p>13 that its information is genuine?</p> <p>14 A. Based upon the individuals from whom we</p> <p>15 were retrieving the information, providing of</p> <p>16 course we were given – not given fake names and</p> <p>17 fake passports and fake criteria to investigate.</p> <p>18 Q. I just want to understand Strategic</p> <p>19 Vision's process, though. How does it normally</p> <p>20 go about guaranteeing the genuineness of the</p> <p>21 information being provided to its clients?</p> <p>22 A. Because we trust our sources, and our</p> <p>23 sources do not make up nonsense.</p> <p>24 Q. Does Strategic Vision ever do any</p> <p>25 cross-checking or verification of the information</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 153</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 154	Page 156
<p>1 provided by its sources?</p> <p>2 A. That's part of the idea that we had</p> <p>3 with having Team 1 and Team 2.</p> <p>4 Q. That's what you're talking about with</p> <p>5 the – how to get them document?</p> <p>6 A. Yeah. It's cross-checking, it's making</p> <p>7 sure, double verifying the same fact. You have a</p> <p>8 birthday over here and you have a birthday over</p> <p>9 there. They should be the same birthday. If</p> <p>10 they're not, there's something that we need to</p> <p>11 look at on a secondary basis.</p> <p>12 If you have a passport number over</p> <p>13 here, a U.S. passport, a U.S. visa number over</p> <p>14 here, and it doesn't match what we have within</p> <p>15 the state department, there's an issue. So we</p> <p>16 have to then go down that rabbit hole.</p> <p>17 Q. And that's a synthesis or an analysis</p> <p>18 process that Strategic Vision typically does with</p> <p>19 the information it's getting from its sources?</p> <p>20 A. That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q. I see. And that's how Strategic Vision</p> <p>22 typically feels comfortable guaranteeing that all</p> <p>23 the information is genuine?</p> <p>24 A. That's correct.</p> <p>25 Q. Did Strategic Vision have an</p>	<p>1 your sort of date of birth, and we turn around</p> <p>2 and we find out really your name is Joe Schmidt,</p> <p>3 and you were born in a totally different place,</p> <p>4 then we have to then rejig the entire system that</p> <p>5 we're working with.</p> <p>6 That's why it was extremely – not</p> <p>7 just – it wasn't just frustrating, but it was an</p> <p>8 extremely complex situation that you couldn't</p> <p>9 just do like you're checking somebody's credit</p> <p>10 report or Better Business Bureau thing. You just</p> <p>11 couldn't.</p> <p>12 Q. Well, just going back to Wallop 7.</p> <p>13 A. Whatever that is.</p> <p>14 Q. You're saying that – that's the list</p> <p>15 of fish?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. You could take a look back at it if you</p> <p>18 want, but I don't think it's necessary.</p> <p>19 A. No. I sort of know these fish by now.</p> <p>20 Q. I was going to say, so how many of the</p> <p>21 fish were – was Strategic Vision provided with</p> <p>22 correct information for?</p> <p>23 A. Well, I can tell you, you can see some</p> <p>24 of my notes on here. Some of these – for</p> <p>25 instance, on page 3, you have Anita.</p>
<p>1 opportunity to verify that the information being</p> <p>2 provided was genuine in this instance?</p> <p>3 A. Yes and no.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. How does that work; which part</p> <p>5 is yes and which part is no?</p> <p>6 A. Okay. Of course. Yes, we could verify</p> <p>7 in many cases that the information was correct,</p> <p>8 and then, if we found that it was incorrect, we</p> <p>9 would have to, like, double-check it.</p> <p>10 Q. No, I'm asking about what happened in</p> <p>11 this instance, with this research agreement. Was</p> <p>12 Strategic Vision able to determine that the</p> <p>13 information being provided back to the client was</p> <p>14 genuine?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, but go ahead.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. How did Strategic Vision do that?</p> <p>19 A. Because we compared apples and oranges</p> <p>20 and made sure that they were one and the same, as</p> <p>21 far as information that we were turning over</p> <p>22 based on – you have to understand, if we're told</p> <p>23 your name is John Smith, and we're given</p> <p>24 information on these documents from Guo that your</p> <p>25 name is John Smith, with a photograph and all of</p>	<p>1 Q. Yes.</p> <p>2 A. Her real mother is Mingduan Yao. Her</p> <p>3 adoptive parents, because that's done a lot in</p> <p>4 this basket, are Frank Suen, and then – then</p> <p>5 the – the mother – sorry, the mother is</p> <p>6 Mingduan Yao, and then the father of the sister,</p> <p>7 Mingshan – Mingshan Yao. These are the real</p> <p>8 parents of this girl, okay? But, in certain</p> <p>9 documentation, it's showing that these people</p> <p>10 are, in fact, her mother and father (indicating).</p> <p>11 They're not. They're her adopt – adoptive</p> <p>12 parents.</p> <p>13 Then if you go to here, if you go on to</p> <p>14 page 4, and it shows 1990 as her, I guess, entry,</p> <p>15 because I don't have the note on here; 1990 is</p> <p>16 her – maybe her entry into the United States</p> <p>17 visa. The Social Security number, if I recall</p> <p>18 correctly, and this is only on recall –</p> <p>19 Q. Sure.</p> <p>20 A. – was, in fact – here we go, on page</p> <p>21 5, it shows it, had the same Social Security</p> <p>22 number as a woman named Eileen Rodriguez, at the</p> <p>23 same address where this woman Anita was living.</p> <p>24 So then again, this is just one tidbit</p> <p>25 of one fish, or one person that you have to start</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 158	Page 160
<p>1 tracking, and you have to understand where the 2 pieces fit, or why. 3 Q. And so just – 4 A. If you go – yeah. 5 Q. On that fifth page, those are your 6 notes about – 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. – Eileen Rodriguez? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And what does – 11 A. It's the same Social Security number. 12 Q. And what's the money down there? It 13 looks like it says 2,000 and – 14 A. No, no. It's 2 million. 2,089,000. 15 That, I believe, was the address where she was 16 living, and it showed, if I recall correctly, 17 again, I can't remember because I don't have it 18 all in front of me, but she had sort of a credit 19 limit of \$2,000 on a credit card, the only credit 20 card she had, and then the house that she was 21 living in somehow was bought in cash, but it 22 doesn't show who the owners were of that 23 residence. 24 And then you get all these – you must 25 have had four or five Social Security numbers</p>	<p>1 of whack, like numbers, passport numbers or visa 2 numbers, or this one has an Australian 3 nationality, some of the ones that we were doing 4 in the U.K. had totally different names, but then 5 they actually did match up if you dug deeper. 6 Q. Okay. So some of them had – you had 7 mentioned earlier had – were completely fake, 8 they weren't – 9 A. That's right. 10 Q. – real people? 11 A. That's right. 12 Q. How many of the 15 fish were, in 13 Strategic Vision's opinion or knowledge, fake? 14 A. I would have to talk to Mike about 15 that, but I think we – we came up with at least 16 five or six that were fake, or at least had a lot 17 of questions to be asked about them. The names 18 did not match up with the names on the state 19 department visas or on – within our channels. 20 Q. In other words, there obviously was a 21 person who looked like that fish in the – 22 A. That's right. 23 Q. – document? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. But that the names and information</p>
<p>1 that were the same Social Security numbers for 2 the same person. 3 Q. So just going back to my original 4 question, if you recall, how many of the 5 information about the – well, strike that. 6 A. There were a lot. There were at least 7 three or four. 8 Q. There were 15 fish, right? 9 MR. SCHMIDT: Let him finish the 10 question. 11 Q. Yeah, let me just finish the question. 12 Thanks. 13 There were 15 fish, correct? 14 A. Yes, initially. 15 Q. And how many of them had information 16 that, in Strategic Vision's knowledge, is false 17 or inaccurate? 18 A. I would say maybe six or seven. Maybe 19 more. 20 Q. And the remainder had, let's call it 21 accurate information? 22 A. I wouldn't call it accurate. It had to 23 be double-checked. 24 Q. Sure. 25 A. So we found little things that were out</p>	<p>1 being provided were for – 2 A. Were fake. 3 Q. Were fake? 4 A. If – if Guo was telling the truth 5 about saying he paid \$250 million for this 6 information, then he totally got, excuse me, 7 screwed. He got totally screwed. Because the 8 information in here, just from what we were able 9 to surmise, was rubbish, and that's real – the 10 real garbage. 11 Q. Okay. Let's go back to the research 12 agreement, that's Wallop 9. 13 A. Yes, let's go. 14 Q. And we'll – I do want to check on 15 lunch. I know it's 1:30 now. Let me just go see 16 about that. Let's go off the record for a 17 second. 18 A. Do you want to finish this? 19 Q. Well – 20 A. I'd rather finish this. 21 Q. Let's keep going, sure. I'm sure 22 they'll knock when the time comes. 23 A. I'm sure they will. 24 Q. Let's talk about irregular 25 circumstances. Do you see that paragraph?</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Did you and Mr. Waller draft this</p> <p>3 section?</p> <p>4 A. Dr. Waller, yes, we did.</p> <p>5 Q. And what was the intent or purpose</p> <p>6 behind drafting this section?</p> <p>7 A. I wouldn't call it intent. That's –</p> <p>8 that's truly not fair. It was a protective</p> <p>9 element for life. You can't always say that it's</p> <p>10 going to be 100 percent of everything every</p> <p>11 second of every day. You cannot. It's not</p> <p>12 there, it's not gonna happen.</p> <p>13 So irregular circumstances by us, and</p> <p>14 including Guo, said that both parties understand</p> <p>15 that occasional unforeseen challenges may arise</p> <p>16 that will slow or block comprehensive research,</p> <p>17 and that there may be periods in which</p> <p>18 information is irregular, unavailable or</p> <p>19 incomplete.</p> <p>20 Perfect reference are some of these</p> <p>21 names in here. The contractor will endeavor to</p> <p>22 make all research and reports as complete as</p> <p>23 possible in a timely scheduled manner. Which</p> <p>24 does not mean ten days from the beginning of the</p> <p>25 contract.</p>	<p>Page 162</p> <p>1 unavailable for a time; is that fair to say?</p> <p>2 A. Which Guo understood completely. At</p> <p>3 least he said he did.</p> <p>4 Q. When did he tell you that?</p> <p>5 A. Several times. I think it was probably</p> <p>6 the middle of January when we met him, and then</p> <p>7 on the 26th, even though he was upset we didn't</p> <p>8 have everything by Chinese New Year, or some kind</p> <p>9 of new criteria.</p> <p>10 Q. Did you understand that the research</p> <p>11 was needed in a very tight schedule because of</p> <p>12 the Chinese New Year?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. When did you come to understand that?</p> <p>15 A. Later, when we realized that that</p> <p>16 seemed to be his issue.</p> <p>17 Q. When did you first talk about getting</p> <p>18 the information by Chinese New Year with Mr. Guo</p> <p>19 or Yvette Wang –</p> <p>20 A. Never.</p> <p>21 Q. – or Lianchao?</p> <p>22 A. Never. Not until much later, I mean,</p> <p>23 after the fact.</p> <p>24 Q. No, that's what I'm trying to find out</p> <p>25 when –</p>
<p>1 Q. Has Strategic Vision ever used a clause</p> <p>2 that's substantially the same or similar to this</p> <p>3 irregular circumstances clause in other</p> <p>4 agreements?</p> <p>5 A. I'm sure there have been ones that have</p> <p>6 been similar to it. I mean, it's not unusual.</p> <p>7 This is – this is the form that is taken.</p> <p>8 Q. In terms of Strategic Vision's</p> <p>9 experience in this field, was the research</p> <p>10 bargained for in this research agreement, did it</p> <p>11 encounter irregular circumstances or problems</p> <p>12 from the outset?</p> <p>13 A. I would say when we started getting</p> <p>14 into it, we found that there were irregularities.</p> <p>15 That's not to say that we couldn't continue</p> <p>16 digging to find the answer. But we certainly</p> <p>17 found irregularities when we were talking to Team</p> <p>18 2 in Texas about these people.</p> <p>19 Q. Right. So just in terms of, any</p> <p>20 project has some problems, no investigatory</p> <p>21 research project just goes off without a hitch,</p> <p>22 correct?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. So there's always some issues that</p> <p>25 either slow down the research or make information</p>	<p>Page 163</p> <p>1 A. Oh.</p> <p>2 Q. When that was.</p> <p>3 A. After the fact, so sometime in the</p> <p>4 early part of February, I guess. I think maybe</p> <p>5 Lianchao sort of said that to us.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. But prior to the contract, there</p> <p>7 was no discussion about –</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. – Chinese New Year or anything like</p> <p>10 that?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. If irregular circumstances arise, does</p> <p>13 the client have to pay for not receiving any</p> <p>14 research?</p> <p>15 A. Of course. It's the risk we both take.</p> <p>16 Q. And has that occurred in the past with</p> <p>17 Strategic Vision's clients?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. So even though Strategic Vision is</p> <p>20 unable to provide, let's just say, any research</p> <p>21 because of an irregular circumstance, the client</p> <p>22 still has to pay the full price of the reports?</p> <p>23 A. It's our time that it takes to do what</p> <p>24 we're doing. We wouldn't find it out, would we,</p> <p>25 unless we had done the investigation. So it's</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

1 our time. 2 Q. But if the compensation is monthly, and 3 time-based, essentially, is there any period 4 where irregular circumstances would result in a 5 pause of the client's obligation to make payment? 6 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. It's been 7 answered, but go ahead. 8 A. I'd like to ask any law firm. Give me 9 a break. 10 Q. Well, I'm asking you the question, 11 though, so, please. 12 A. Well, you can ask the question, but I'm 13 telling you, it's just like a law firm, we 14 operate in the same way. 15 MR. SCHMIDT: That's the answer. You 16 got your answer. 17 MR. GRENDI: I'm gonna just clean it 18 up. Thank you. 19 Q. So, in your mind, or in Strategic 20 Vision's understanding, it's compensated for the 21 time it spends trying to do research, not based 22 upon what's actually delivered? 23 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. What's the cost of a report under this	Page 166 1 it is. 2 Q. Yeah, Eastern 9 is the Bates number. 3 A. Okay, yes. 4 Q. Do you see where it says, "It is 5 understood that client may direct other entities 6 to pay the contractor"? It's in the – 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. What was the purpose of this clause? 9 A. Well, it was very simple, but, 10 apparently, Mr. Guo didn't understand how 11 important it was. 12 Q. Well, why was this clause inserted into 13 the agreement; what was the meaning of it? 14 A. Because we had told him that, and they 15 had told us that it was coming through a William 16 Wu in London from their account somewhere else in 17 the U.K. or Europe, and we had told them 18 explicitly that it should not come from Hong Kong 19 or an Asian account; and, guess what, it did, and 20 that was because of the mainland Chinese 21 intelligence services then finding out who Guo 22 and his people in Hong Kong were paying for this 23 kind of – for a contract, let's just put it that 24 way. 25 Q. So was the purpose of this clause to	Page 168
1 research agreement? 2 A. Well, you have it here. It's listed. 3 It's complex, depending upon the menu that he 4 chose to work with. 5 Q. Are you looking on page – well, 6 Eastern 8? 7 MR. SCHMIDT: There's a knocking on the 8 door. 9 THE WITNESS: Saved by your knock. 10 MR. GRENDI: Let me see if that's it. 11 We'll go off. I think it's about time. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 13 1:42. 14 (Whereupon a luncheon recess was 15 taken.) 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record 17 at 2:30. 18 Q. Good afternoon. Ms. Wallop, you still 19 understand that you're under oath? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. On this Exhibit Number 9, on page 22 Eastern 9. 23 A. Just a second. 24 Q. I think it's right in front of you. 25 A. Oh, okay. Number 9, page 9, whatever	Page 167 1 prevent the Chinese communist party from finding 2 out about this relationship? 3 A. Yes, for our safety and the safety of 4 our – our contractors or our teams. 5 Q. Was it ever contemplated that the money 6 would come to an entity other than Strategic 7 Vision, to protect Strategic Vision's identity? 8 A. Yes. It was supposed to come from a 9 U.K. account to our account, from – from him, 10 from Guo, through his money manager in the U.K., 11 as we understood it. 12 Q. When was that discussed? 13 A. It was discussed when – just before we 14 agreed to the contract, to the terms of the 15 contract, or the agreement. We shouldn't call it 16 a contract, as it's an agreement. 17 Q. And how did that issue come up; did you 18 bring it up or was it – 19 A. Mike and I both raised it. 20 Q. Okay. And what was just the substance 21 of that discussion? 22 A. The security fact that it was dangerous 23 for all of us to be connected with him based upon 24 the investigation that we would be doing, and if 25 that investigation of the mainland Chinese	Page 169

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 hierarchy and their families was disclosed as a 2 result of the funding that he was doing to us 3 directly.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you ever consider, on the recipient 5 side, using another entity to receive the money, 6 other than Strategic Vision?</p> <p>7 A. No. The agreement was with Strategic 8 Vision. We had no idea who Eastern was.</p> <p>9 Q. Let me ask you about the next sentence 10 there. It says, "all client payments must be 11 received by the contractor by wire transfer 12 within five business days of invoice," do you see 13 that?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. Somewhere.</p> <p>15 Q. It's the last sentence in that 16 paragraph.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Did Strategic Vision issue any invoices 19 to Eastern?</p> <p>20 A. We were – no.</p> <p>21 Q. So there was never a time when 22 Strategic Vision sent an invoice document saying 23 you owe this money to us, to Strategic – or to 24 Eastern Profit, excuse me?</p> <p>25 A. That's when Lianchao was involved, and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 170</p> <p>1 Q. Was that on January 6th, the day it was 2 signed?</p> <p>3 A. I think so.</p> <p>4 Q. And how did that come up?</p> <p>5 A. Yvette said that was who was going to 6 be signing it.</p> <p>7 Q. What did you say in response to that, 8 if anything?</p> <p>9 A. I asked her, I said, who's Eastern 10 Profit? She said, Mr. Guo's company, or some 11 such thing.</p> <p>12 Q. Then you checked where Eastern Profit 13 Corporation Limited was incorporated?</p> <p>14 A. If I recall, when we were in the 15 federal court, you didn't know where –</p> <p>16 Q. I'm asking you a question.</p> <p>17 A. No, I'm –</p> <p>18 MR. SCHMIDT: Just answer –</p> <p>19 A. I'm trying to answer it. I don't know 20 because I couldn't find anything; when we went to 21 sort of look it up, there wasn't anything that we 22 could find in this country.</p> <p>23 Q. What I'm asking is, did you look up 24 Eastern Profit Corporation Limited on January 6, 25 2018, did you try to figure out where it was from</p>
<p>1 he explicitly told Guo that he did owe the 2 amount. We never got paid for January, we never 3 got paid for February. We had the agreement, 4 which outlined the terms. We gave Guo the first 5 two weeks, essentially, free on our own ticket. 6 So from the 16th to the 26th was ten days that we 7 were into the contract. So if you've taken it 8 from the 16th of January to the 16th of February, 9 that's when an invoice technically should have 10 gone out to him. But we believed we were still 11 pulling information, and we wanted to be able to 12 have as much as possible for him, and, in the 13 meantime, he pulled his stunt with the lawsuit on 14 the 23rd. And I was out of the country, so I 15 didn't know anything about it.</p> <p>16 Q. So Strategic Vision never sent Eastern 17 an invoice prior to February 23rd, 2018?</p> <p>18 A. We did not, but we should have. In 19 hindsight, we thought that we were – we thought 20 that we were being honest.</p> <p>21 Q. When was the first time Eastern Profit 22 Corporation was included in this contract, in a 23 draft of it I should say?</p> <p>24 A. It was never, until this particular 25 document, to my knowledge.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 171</p> <p>1 then?</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you know it was domiciled in China?</p> <p>4 A. I had no idea. They certainly didn't 5 reveal that to us, as they should have.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you ask them where it was 7 domiciled?</p> <p>8 A. Yvette didn't know.</p> <p>9 Q. I'm asking if you asked?</p> <p>10 A. I asked Yvette. She didn't know.</p> <p>11 Q. When was that?</p> <p>12 A. At the signing. I said, what is this 13 Eastern Profit Corporation?</p> <p>14 Q. You asked, what is this Eastern Profit 15 Corporation?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. And what did she say?</p> <p>18 A. She said, I don't know, it's something 19 that Mr. Guo has his own – it's his own company.</p> <p>20 Q. And was there any followup to that or 21 was that the end –</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. – of the discussion?</p> <p>24 A. That was the end of the discussion.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

	Page 174	Page 176
<p>1 A. It was supposed to be funded. The 2 entire contract was supposed to be funded. 3 Apparently, it wasn't. 4 Q. Let's go back to Exhibit 7. I think 5 previously you had mentioned that you saw this 6 Exhibit 7 when Mr. Guo put it on a table at a 7 meeting, is that right? 8 A. I believe this is correct. 9 Q. And when was the next time you saw this 10 information? 11 A. When we actually printed it off from 12 the USB key. After three different attempts of 13 corrupted USB keys from Yvette, we finally were 14 able to print it off ourselves onto a virgin 15 computer. 16 Q. Okay. When did – when was the first 17 time you saw this information after the coffee 18 table viewing? 19 A. When we printed it off after we got the 20 corrupted USB keys from Yvette. 21 Q. What date was that? 22 MR. SCHMIDT: What date? 23 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I guess it was about 24 the – oh, God, about the – oh, the 8th, the 8th 25 of January it would have been. It would have</p>	<p>1 to Strategic Vision? 2 A. None, other than a friend who kindly 3 was trying to see if there was something the 4 matter with the flash drives, which clearly there 5 were. So then I came to New York on Monday to 6 meet her, that is Yvette, at the Pierre, in the 7 lobby. I brought another computer. She brought 8 three flash drives. One worked, and that was 9 this, this one; in other words, this file. The 10 other two were corrupted. 11 I kept them, kept all of the flash 12 drives. I took the one that was good, I brought 13 it back to Washington and put it into a virgin 14 computer, and then we printed this thing off. A 15 virgin computer, for the benefit of the court, is 16 one that has no connection to the internet and/or 17 a printer that has any connection to an internet. 18 So it's like a dumb computer. 19 Q. Does Strategic Vision have any kind of 20 confidentiality arrangement with Richard Shewell? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Is Richard Shewell a member of the team 23 or otherwise – 24 A. No. 25 Q. Let me just finish the question for the</p>	
<p>1 been Monday, because I had to come up to New York 2 to get it. 3 Q. So you didn't see the information in 4 Exhibit 7 on January 6, 2018 when it was – the 5 contract was signed? 6 A. No. 7 Q. You didn't view it? 8 A. I – it wouldn't open, that was the 9 problem. That's why I had to come to New York. 10 On the 6th, she gave us three keys, three USB 11 keys. Two would not open. The third one would 12 not open on my computer, and so that's when I 13 took it to my neighbor and he was kind enough to 14 put it into his computer, just to see if anything 15 would open. All it was was complete corrupted 16 file, just nothing but Chinese characters all 17 over the place. It had no – nothing like this. 18 So we both started pulling all of the wires out 19 of his computers and his hard drives and – yeah, 20 and yanked the flash drive out and everything 21 else. It was a nightmare. 22 Q. And who's your neighbor? 23 A. You have the letter. His name is 24 Richard Shewell, S-h-e-w-e-l-l. 25 Q. And What's Mr. Shewell's relationship</p>	<p>1 record. Is Richard Shewell part of Strategic 2 Vision's team or teams that provide investigatory 3 research? 4 A. No. 5 Q. So once you've accessed this 6 information on January 8th, what did Strategic 7 Vision do next? 8 A. On January 8th? 9 Q. Yes. Now that you have the list of 10 fish. 11 A. So now that we've printed off this 12 file, then Mike came and we sat down and we 13 started talking about how we were going to – 14 where we could – which certain things we could 15 put together and enter into our channels for 16 information. And then we – then he got in touch 17 with Team 1, that had been sort of sitting on 18 hold, and then – then there were meetings with 19 Team 1 leader, and we began. 20 Q. So just this initial process with 21 Dr. Waller, were you parsing to see who was going 22 to do what in terms of the investigation, is that 23 fair? 24 A. Somewhat, yes. It's a very complex 25 investigation. It takes the U.S. side as well as</p>	Page 177

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 178	Page 180
<p>1 international side. We had to divide up the 2 issues.</p> <p>3 Q. Were there aspects of the research that 4 he was going to handle and aspects that you were 5 going to handle, or Strategic Vision was going to 6 handle?</p> <p>7 A. I would say so, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And what portion of the research was 9 assigned or delegated to Strategic Vision, or you 10 personally?</p> <p>11 A. The U.S. side, where we felt that we 12 could pull certain pieces of information legally 13 from U.S. channels, so we had to go through and 14 see who supposedly had a U.S. passport, U.S. 15 visas, or who had, you know, illegitimate 16 children born in the United States. It was a – 17 it was big. It was a big issue.</p> <p>18 Q. And what portion – I take it Mr. 19 Waller was going to do the international portion?</p> <p>20 A. No, Dr. Waller.</p> <p>21 Q. I'm sorry. I was calling him Mr. 22 Waller the whole last deposition, so correct me, 23 please, feel free. Dr. Waller.</p> <p>24 What was Dr. Waller assigned to in 25 terms of this division?</p>	<p>1 Q. Of course not. Right.</p> <p>2 A. I want the court to be – have a very 3 clear reading of what is being asked.</p> <p>4 Q. Me, too.</p> <p>5 A. Good.</p> <p>6 Q. And so then how did you – were you 7 managing the U.S.-based process personally?</p> <p>8 A. Yes. Um-hum.</p> <p>9 Q. So you've got the information on 10 January 8th. What did you do in terms of that 11 process? What was –</p> <p>12 A. Mike and I, as I said, divided up what 13 needed to be done. I got in touch with my 14 channels, he got in touch with his channels.</p> <p>15 Q. Just without even saying who your 16 channels are or what they do, did you get in 17 touch with several people; how many people did 18 you get in touch with?</p> <p>19 A. I have no idea. There were a number of 20 people.</p> <p>21 Q. And did you receive valuable 22 information from those people?</p> <p>23 A. Some, I did and some was – was fake 24 information. You have to understand that we were 25 given fake names and fake information to either</p>
<p>1 A. He was assigned to work with Team 1 to 2 help pull the information with Team 1, because 3 there were a number of things that they had to 4 get into, but they could only do it from an 5 overseas location.</p> <p>6 Q. I see. So none of the U.S.-based 7 investigatory research was handled by Team 1?</p> <p>8 A. No. Well, no. It was all done – it 9 was done through the U.S. side.</p> <p>10 Q. And that was not Team 1?</p> <p>11 A. No, it's never been Team 1.</p> <p>12 Q. Got it. I just want to be clear about 13 it. The way you're saying no could be 14 interpreted multiple ways, so I just want to be 15 super clear.</p> <p>16 A. Not really.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 MR. SCHMIDT: Just –</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: I know. It's silly.</p> <p>20 Q. You could just – it's not. It's a –</p> <p>21 you have to understand, ma'am, it's a record that 22 we're trying to keep clear for the court, and I 23 just don't want there to be ambiguity.</p> <p>24 A. I wouldn't want any ambiguity for the 25 court.</p>	<p>1 send us down rabbit holes for nothing, a waste of 2 time, or we could find legitimately that there 3 were some people that we could actually piece 4 together some of the tracking. But their names 5 had been changed. They kept changing their 6 names.</p> <p>7 Q. So when did you – when did you first 8 find out that, in your understanding, some of the 9 names were fake; when did that happen?</p> <p>10 A. I would say probably within the 11 first – probably within the first ten days. And 12 we discussed that with Lianchao and we discussed 13 it with – with Guo.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. How was – was there a meeting 15 with Lianchao –</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. – and Guo where that was discussed?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Where was that?</p> <p>20 A. In New York, at his apartment. We 21 never met Guo outside of his apartment. He never 22 left his apartment.</p> <p>23 Q. And when did that meeting occur?</p> <p>24 A. I guess it was, as I said, within the 25 ten days from the 8th, 9th, which was like a</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Monday or a Tuesday, to about the 15th or so of</p> <p>2 the month, 16th, something like that.</p> <p>3 Q. Was Ms. Wang there?</p> <p>4 A. Where?</p> <p>5 Q. At that meeting that you've just</p> <p>6 described.</p> <p>7 A. Who knows. He kept saying he didn't</p> <p>8 trust her.</p> <p>9 Q. I'm asking whether you recall her being</p> <p>10 present?</p> <p>11 A. No, I don't remember, because sometimes</p> <p>12 she was in there and sometimes Lianchao was</p> <p>13 there, so I don't remember. He said he didn't</p> <p>14 trust her, so a lot of times he sent her out.</p> <p>15 Q. In other words, she might have been in</p> <p>16 the area, in the building that you were meeting</p> <p>17 in, but he would say, leave the room?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And you would have the meeting without</p> <p>20 her?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, that happened.</p> <p>22 Q. How many times did that –</p> <p>23 A. On several occasions.</p> <p>24 Q. And were you and Dr. Waller</p> <p>25 coordinating and checking in on the research</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 182</p> <p>1 A. That tended to be the case. I mean, he</p> <p>2 might say, I've landed, or he might say, I just</p> <p>3 finished my meeting, or, I'm on the way back.</p> <p>4 That's about the limit of it.</p> <p>5 Q. And would those be Signal messages or</p> <p>6 some other communication means?</p> <p>7 A. Usually on Signal.</p> <p>8 Q. Did you keep your Signal messages with</p> <p>9 Dr. Waller?</p> <p>10 A. I turned over everything I had to –</p> <p>11 whatever is there to Joe.</p> <p>12 Q. Right. I'm asking –</p> <p>13 A. To the law firm.</p> <p>14 Q. I'm asking if you – did you delete</p> <p>15 Signal messages you had with –</p> <p>16 A. Some I have.</p> <p>17 Q. – Dr. Waller?</p> <p>18 A. I always do. Because some I – I don't</p> <p>19 keep Sig – all of my Signal messages. That</p> <p>20 means for everybody, not just for Guo.</p> <p>21 Q. I understand. And why is it your</p> <p>22 practice to delete messages like that?</p> <p>23 A. Because that's what it's set up for.</p> <p>24 That's what Signal does.</p> <p>25 Q. Oh, there's like an automatic</p>	
<p>1 after this January 8th start date?</p> <p>2 A. Oh, yeah. I mean, obviously, I</p> <p>3 couldn't – I could only do from my side what I</p> <p>4 could do on retrievals. What he was doing with</p> <p>5 Team 1 was – was totally different because it</p> <p>6 was overseas, and so, therefore, he was</p> <p>7 coordinating with the person on the overseas</p> <p>8 retrieval, and I was not a party to that.</p> <p>9 Q. Right. How did you check in with one</p> <p>10 another? Would you meet in –</p> <p>11 A. Daily.</p> <p>12 Q. – D.C.?</p> <p>13 A. No, no, no, he would come to my home.</p> <p>14 We never did anything on the telephone.</p> <p>15 Q. So after – well, starting on</p> <p>16 January 8th, you and Dr. Waller were meeting</p> <p>17 almost daily to handle this investigation?</p> <p>18 A. I would say so, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. But of course you couldn't communicate</p> <p>20 with him when he was flying to Europe or things</p> <p>21 of that nature, correct?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Because you wouldn't. Because, even</p> <p>24 though you could communicate with him, for</p> <p>25 security reasons, you would not do that?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 183</p> <p>1 destruction policy?</p> <p>2 A. There's an automatic destruction thing,</p> <p>3 It's 30 minutes or 30 whatever. An hour.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. That's one of the features of</p> <p>5 the application?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Guo or Lianchao or</p> <p>8 Yvette Wang how they got the information in</p> <p>9 Exhibit 7?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, they told me.</p> <p>11 Q. What did they tell you?</p> <p>12 A. Guo said, this is the file, he slammed</p> <p>13 down on the coffee table like that in front of me</p> <p>14 in his apartment, in his sun room, and said, this</p> <p>15 is what we need to investigate, these are the</p> <p>16 people we need to look into, and, here, you can</p> <p>17 look through the names. And I said, wow. He</p> <p>18 said, I paid \$250 million for this. I said,</p> <p>19 really.</p> <p>20 Q. I take it you didn't believe that</p> <p>21 price?</p> <p>22 A. I found that extraordinary, given just</p> <p>23 even the preliminary stuff that was on it.</p> <p>24 Q. And did he explain to you how the</p> <p>25 information was obtained by him, other than</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 185</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 obviously paying for it, what means were 2 employed?</p> <p>3 A. He bribed people to get it, bribed 4 people to take photographs of passports, I guess.</p> <p>5 I don't know.</p> <p>6 Q. Did he tell you that or is that your 7 assumption?</p> <p>8 A. He actually told me that. I mean, he 9 said that in the meeting. He said, yeah, I had 10 to bribe people to take pictures of passports.</p> <p>11 Otherwise, I don't know where the other 12 information came from.</p> <p>13 Q. Let's go to the next document. 14 (Wallop Exhibit 10, Signal messages, 15 Bates stamped Eastern-0000201, marked for 16 identification.)</p> <p>17 Q. This has been marked Wallop 10. Do you 18 recognize this Signal thread?</p> <p>19 A. Well, it looks like it's from me, and 20 probably to Yvette.</p> <p>21 Q. Did you ever exchange Signal messages 22 with Lianchao Han?</p> <p>23 A. I'm sure I have. It may or may not 24 have had anything to do with this, the contract.</p> <p>25 Q. So you've worked with Mr. Han on other</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 186</p> <p>1 Q. Did you discuss any other terms at that 2 point, or was that just the focus, the number of 3 fish, during the first month?</p> <p>4 A. It was the number of fish for the first 5 month.</p> <p>6 Q. I'm asking from your memory –</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. – do you recall discussing any other 9 terms of the agreement?</p> <p>10 A. No, we didn't change that term. She 11 knew that, in the second month, it would be ten 12 fish.</p> <p>13 Q. And did you redraft or edit the 14 agreement based upon this discussion?</p> <p>15 A. Not that I recall, no.</p> <p>16 Q. Well, you did put in that there would 17 be 15 fish during the first month instead of 18 the –</p> <p>19 A. Yes, because we'd already – when was 20 this? This was 12/29.</p> <p>21 Q. 28.</p> <p>22 A. 12/28. And I can't even remember on 23 the contract whether it's – I don't know if we 24 even defined whether it was 15 in the first month 25 or not, but we went ahead and agreed to the 15;</p>
<p>1 matters or –</p> <p>2 A. Well, we're pro-democracy, and he 3 represents a pro-democracy group in Washington; 4 and so, yes, there are issues that are going on 5 about the mainland that had nothing to do with 6 Guo.</p> <p>7 Q. Going to Eastern 203.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you see where it says, "yes let's 10 discuss now"?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And below that there's like a little 13 phone symbol, do you see that?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you recall speaking to Ms. Wang 16 about this agreement on or about December 28th?</p> <p>17 A. That would have been correct, because 18 that's when they sort of were changing the terms 19 of the contract from ten fish to 15 fish, and 20 that's what the 15 refers to in her...</p> <p>21 Q. And you had a phone conversation about 22 that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, it's right here. And then I put 24 it in – or she put it in there, and then you'll 25 see the rest of it.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 187</p> <p>1 so, gave her five fish, basically.</p> <p>2 Q. Did you ever give her a copy of the 3 agreement for her to make edits?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. When was that?</p> <p>6 A. Because when we talked about it, she 7 was talking to Guo all the time on the telephone, 8 and we made edits. I remember when she was at 9 the house earlier that – maybe during this time 10 frame, the 29th, or 28th or something. "We are 11 looking at your changes and have made a combined 12 document based on our conversation yesterday and 13 our mutual agreement." This was on the 29th.</p> <p>14 So we made it at my house, and then we 15 agreed to it, and then I went and printed it off 16 from my printer.</p> <p>17 Q. Right. What I'm asking is, did you – 18 you physically gave her a –</p> <p>19 A. Yeah.</p> <p>20 Q. – a paper copy?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, we both did. I mean, we both had 22 the same piece of paper that we were scratching 23 up and redoing.</p> <p>24 Q. I wasn't sure of that, that's why I'm 25 asking. I don't know that.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 So she left with a copy of the draft</p> <p>2 agreement on or about –</p> <p>3 A. I believe so –</p> <p>4 Q. – December 29th?</p> <p>5 A. – yes. It says here, "most easy</p> <p>6 edits, no worries."</p> <p>7 Q. And that was your comment, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Why did you think they were mostly easy</p> <p>10 edits?</p> <p>11 A. Well, because she had – she had made</p> <p>12 the suggestion on the edits, so I made the</p> <p>13 agreement to go ahead and make the little</p> <p>14 changes, whatever they were.</p> <p>15 Q. And did you meet with Ms. Wang again on</p> <p>16 or about December 30th?</p> <p>17 A. Honestly, I can't remember the 30th. I</p> <p>18 do remember the – I guess it says – see, here</p> <p>19 it's the 5th. I think it was the 5th that I met</p> <p>20 her next.</p> <p>21 Q. Let me ask you this. Do you recall a</p> <p>22 meeting with Ms. Wang where you thought that she</p> <p>23 was proposing major and unreasonable changes?</p> <p>24 A. Somewhere in that earlier part of that</p> <p>25 conversation, and that's when we made the changes</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 190</p> <p>1 A. No. It was Mandarin, so he was just</p> <p>2 speaking to his employee, I guess.</p> <p>3 Q. But you – sitting here today, you're</p> <p>4 not certain that it was him?</p> <p>5 A. I could hear his voice, so it sounded</p> <p>6 like him.</p> <p>7 Q. Turning to Eastern 206.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you see this message talking about</p> <p>10 "unfortunately they could not stop the process</p> <p>11 technically. My Boss said he had already</p> <p>12 contacted you about this fund...we were</p> <p>13 discussing last week, as I advised you that our</p> <p>14 people were ready to send you the deposit." Do</p> <p>15 you see that?</p> <p>16 A. I do. I was very confused by that.</p> <p>17 Q. There's a PDF that was attached to this</p> <p>18 document, do you see that?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you have any recollection as to what</p> <p>21 was sent to you on or about January 2nd</p> <p>22 concerning this deposit?</p> <p>23 A. This was, I believe, the copy of the</p> <p>24 DBS wire. I'm not sure, but I think it was.</p> <p>25 Q. And what was your response to receiving</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 192</p>
<p>1 in the edits. She then consulted with Guo. Guo</p> <p>2 agreed to them, there might have been something</p> <p>3 he disagreed with, and then he disagreed with</p> <p>4 her, and then we went back and re-did the edit</p> <p>5 back to sort of what the original language must</p> <p>6 have been in the final copy that she signed, and</p> <p>7 he agreed to, on the telephone.</p> <p>8 Q. Was that on January 6th, on the actual</p> <p>9 date of the signing?</p> <p>10 A. No, it was a little bit earlier. I</p> <p>11 think it goes back here to wherever we were</p> <p>12 talking about mostly easy edits.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Was Mr. Guo on the phone when</p> <p>14 you and Ms. Wang signed the agreement?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, I think he was. I think he was.</p> <p>16 Because she sat on the sofa with me, and that's</p> <p>17 where she was talking to somebody, so I – in</p> <p>18 Mandarin, so I presume that's who it was.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. So you didn't know who she was</p> <p>20 talking to at that point, but –</p> <p>21 A. No, but she – it was clear to me that</p> <p>22 it was Guo. She just sort of hung up, she said,</p> <p>23 okay, fine, we all agree, everything is fine.</p> <p>24 Q. But he wasn't on speakerphone, so you</p> <p>25 didn't hear his voice?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 191</p> <p>1 the wire? Because, correct me if I'm wrong, but</p> <p>2 the contract had not been signed as of</p> <p>3 January 2nd, 2018, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Not by the 2nd, but we had agreed to</p> <p>5 everything on the terms, even though she had to</p> <p>6 come back from New York to sign the document; we</p> <p>7 had agreed by telephone.</p> <p>8 Q. Were you surprised to receive the money</p> <p>9 at that time?</p> <p>10 A. Not entirely. But we – we – it came</p> <p>11 from a source that we didn't know who it was. It</p> <p>12 came from ACA Capital or something. We didn't</p> <p>13 know who that was.</p> <p>14 Q. So how did you find out about that?</p> <p>15 Did this message prompt you to check your account</p> <p>16 or was it that you checked your account and said,</p> <p>17 why is there a million dollars there? What was</p> <p>18 the process?</p> <p>19 A. It was very simple. I got this</p> <p>20 message –</p> <p>21 Q. I see.</p> <p>22 A. – I checked the account. Something</p> <p>23 from ACA was there. Said, my boss, meaning Guo,</p> <p>24 said he had already contacted us about this fund.</p> <p>25 We never got any contact from Guo. Never. Never</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 193</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 received a phone call, never received a text,</p> <p>2 nothing. So I don't know what she's talking</p> <p>3 about here.</p> <p>4 "Our contract won't be changed if there</p> <p>5 is a chance to work together. Otherwise please</p> <p>6 kindly return fund." These people were like</p> <p>7 ping-pong balls, okay? You're just trying to do</p> <p>8 what they're asking you to do, you get everything</p> <p>9 in order to do it, and then they fool around with</p> <p>10 this nonsense.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you consider sending the wire back</p> <p>12 because you didn't have a signed contract or –</p> <p>13 A. No, because we already had agreed to</p> <p>14 this verbally. Both Guo and herself had agreed</p> <p>15 to it. And so she then comes down on the 5th,</p> <p>16 and signs the thing on the 6th.</p> <p>17 Q. And so, going back to the 6th. Were</p> <p>18 there any changes made to the agreement at that</p> <p>19 time?</p> <p>20 A. No, none. The only change was that I</p> <p>21 accepted the 15 fish instead of the ten, I mean,</p> <p>22 verbally. It was a verbal, honorable thing to</p> <p>23 do. And then here's my thing saying right what I</p> <p>24 said on whatever it is, 208, as discussed with</p> <p>25 the 15 fish.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 194</p> <p>1 the 5th and she had the bad flash drives, okay?</p> <p>2 That's when I went to the next door neighbor,</p> <p>3 just to stick it in to see if it was alive or</p> <p>4 not. He didn't see anything, because there was</p> <p>5 nothing to see, and he couldn't have seen</p> <p>6 anything even if he had. So that's exactly what</p> <p>7 happened.</p> <p>8 Q. Turning to Eastern 211. You wrote,</p> <p>9 "the agreement for 3 months is correct." Do you</p> <p>10 see that at the top of the page?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. What did you mean by that?</p> <p>13 A. Because the contract was for three</p> <p>14 months, was for 90 days. January, we have</p> <p>15 allowed 15 fish. Ten fish each for February and</p> <p>16 March; for some reason, that's sort of cut off.</p> <p>17 Oh, here it is, March. "We will determine the</p> <p>18 subsequent monthly costs obviously by the next</p> <p>19 set of numbers of fish in the tank."</p> <p>20 Q. So what would happen after 90 days?</p> <p>21 A. We were all going to regroup and figure</p> <p>22 out how much – how many of the fish had – were</p> <p>23 useful information for him and how many fish had</p> <p>24 been tossed out, and then he was going to be</p> <p>25 adding more fish. He said up to 4,000 fish,</p>	
<p>1 Q. Did you tell Yvette that they shouldn't</p> <p>2 have sent the wire from where they had?</p> <p>3 A. Well, I – later on I did. Lianchao</p> <p>4 told her, like, what were you thinking?</p> <p>5 Q. So you had a conversation with Lianchao</p> <p>6 about the wire –</p> <p>7 A. Later.</p> <p>8 Q. – being done properly?</p> <p>9 A. Later, later. Like, two weeks later.</p> <p>10 Q. And you understood that Lianchao had</p> <p>11 conveyed that to –</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. – Ms. Wang?</p> <p>14 A. Well, to Guo. He played those two back</p> <p>15 and forth. He played Yvette against Lianchao,</p> <p>16 Lianchao against Yvette.</p> <p>17 Q. Turning to Eastern 208. It says, "We</p> <p>18 hope you will have the docs as discussed for the</p> <p>19 15 fish with you"?</p> <p>20 A. That's right.</p> <p>21 Q. And so what was – was that the – you</p> <p>22 already understood what that was, that was –</p> <p>23 A. That was this.</p> <p>24 Q. – Exhibit 7?</p> <p>25 A. Yes. And that's when she arrived on</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 195</p> <p>1 total.</p> <p>2 Q. Would it have been possible to do</p> <p>3 investigations on 4,000 fish?</p> <p>4 A. Not all at once, and he knew that. He</p> <p>5 agreed that would be ridiculous. It was supposed</p> <p>6 to be a three-year contract.</p> <p>7 Q. But it was a – you're saying it was a</p> <p>8 three-month contract?</p> <p>9 A. It was three months, to be done for a</p> <p>10 year, if you look at the contract itself, and</p> <p>11 then, after that time, it was a three-year</p> <p>12 contract. If you recall, on the last page of the</p> <p>13 agreement, "duration of this contract shall be</p> <p>14 enforced for three years from the date of</p> <p>15 signing." That's what we had initially planned</p> <p>16 on. We certainly had initially planned on the</p> <p>17 first three months.</p> <p>18 Q. On Eastern 213, do you see where it</p> <p>19 says, "can you please send the contract here? I</p> <p>20 get the right person to do. Thank you"?</p> <p>21 A. That was weird.</p> <p>22 Q. What did you understand that request to</p> <p>23 mean?</p> <p>24 A. Well, we certainly weren't going to</p> <p>25 send it through any kind of email thing. So she</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 197</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 198	Page 200
<p>1 had to sign it – she had to come – she signed 2 it on the 6th. So she says, "please send the 3 contract here I get the right person to do." I 4 don't know what that meant. "There is of course 5 no impasse here. I work with several people, M 6 is one of them saying for this project he is not 7 only boss." Well, that was news to us. 8 Q. I'm just asking about why you didn't 9 send the contract when it was requested there? 10 A. Because we still didn't have – oh, 11 dear lord. We still didn't have the flash 12 drives. This was the 5th. We didn't get the 13 proper flash drives with the folder until the 14 8th, Monday the 8th. So what could we do, except 15 take – except have her sign the contract. They 16 had already sent the money, we all had agreed to 17 the terms. She needed to sign the contract. 18 Then I went to New York to get a flash drive 19 that, God willing, would work, out of the three. 20 And we tried to explain to them that 21 their systems were corrupted. If she was 22 downloading, or he was downloading the stuff from 23 his own computer, he was getting – he was being 24 hacked into by the Chinese himself. Because you 25 can't make this stuff up on the – on the</p>	<p>1 it." 2 A. Correct. And New York was equally 3 adamant about it. That was Guo. 4 Q. I was going to say, who's New York? 5 A. That was Guo. 6 Q. And L is Lianchao? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And who's M? 9 A. Michael, Mike, Dr. Waller. 10 Q. Turning to Eastern 19. You wrote, 11 "Thank you. I will look forward to seeing you 12 tomorrow here. You can make whatever minor 13 changes here on my laptop and then print off two 14 copies"?</p> <p>15 A. Correct. That meant print off two 16 copies of the agreement. 17 Q. Right. What were the changes or issues 18 that you were thinking of at that time? 19 A. Whatever changes we made that were – 20 she would – I mean, whatever was made was made, 21 and we agreed to in the document. I don't 22 remember. They were minor. 23 Q. You said, "we've already lost a week"?</p> <p>24 A. That's right. 25 Q. What did you mean by that?</p>
<p>1 corrupted files. 2 Q. But before January 6th, you weren't 3 aware of any corruption or hacking issues, were 4 you? 5 A. Yes, absolutely. 6 Q. How were you supposedly aware of that? 7 A. Well, because we had a corrupt – when 8 we were even sitting there, I think at one point 9 Guo had a USB key and he was putting it into his 10 own computer, and it was acting up, and he took 11 it out and he said, I can't – I can't do the 12 file here. 13 Q. When was that? 14 A. It was before – this must have been 15 sometime in mid-Jan – mid-December, whenever we 16 were up there meeting with him, he had an issue 17 with the computer. And Mike told him, he said, 18 you know, you got – you got issues here that 19 have nothing to do with us. 20 Q. You wrote, "As you know, the agreement 21 can only be reviewed and cannot be sent by email 22 for the purpose of absolute security"?</p> <p>23 A. Correct. 24 Q. It says, "Other than New York, L, M and 25 myself and you, we are the only ones privy to</p>	<p>1 A. If we didn't have this, we couldn't 2 start. If we didn't have the entire file, we 3 couldn't start, could we? Because we had no 4 information to go on. 5 Q. Did you understand that time was an 6 important factor? 7 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. 8 A. Of course it was an important factor. 9 Q. Why was that? 10 A. Because we were prepared to go, but, 11 due to their corrupt files, we couldn't start 12 until we got the full document that was not 13 corrupted. 14 Q. Right. But this is January 5th, right, 15 this email message or Signal message? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And so I'm just asking, you hadn't 18 seen – or you hadn't received the files that had 19 any alleged issues with it in terms of – 20 A. Yes. She came on the 5th, she put the 21 files in, they didn't work, they were corrupted. 22 I then had to get on the train and come up here 23 on the Monday morning, the 8th, okay, to get the 24 USB file that was clean. Out of the three, there 25 was only one that was clean.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. I thought –</p> <p>2 A. So we couldn't start.</p> <p>3 Q. – the agreement was signed on</p> <p>4 January 6th, though?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, but we couldn't start until we had</p> <p>6 clean files. She thought she had clean files,</p> <p>7 and she didn't have them on the 5th and 6th, did</p> <p>8 she?</p> <p>9 Q. I don't understand how you thought you</p> <p>10 lost a week, if you hadn't even signed an</p> <p>11 agreement yet?</p> <p>12 A. Because the funds had been sent, right,</p> <p>13 on the 29th, and let's call it the 2nd that we</p> <p>14 had received them, and, in fact, they didn't</p> <p>15 really get into our account until the 4th because</p> <p>16 of the holiday weekend and so forth. And then</p> <p>17 Citibank called to say, are – is this your –</p> <p>18 are these – you expecting this? I said yes. So</p> <p>19 they were fine. But they were not available –</p> <p>20 there's something known as a federal reserve,</p> <p>21 that stops large payments. Anything over, like,</p> <p>22 \$300,000 gets flagged. So we had to verify that</p> <p>23 this was a contract.</p> <p>24 Q. Let's go to Eastern 223. Do you</p> <p>25 remember Ms. Wang sending you a Signal message</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 202</p> <p>1 Q. The financial, forensic research,</p> <p>2 current tracking and social media?</p> <p>3 A. There were not reports, other than</p> <p>4 flash drive information, which we've already</p> <p>5 answered that.</p> <p>6 Q. Of course we have, yes, but they are</p> <p>7 referred to as reports in the agreement, so</p> <p>8 that's why I'm using that term.</p> <p>9 A. They're flash drives.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay.</p> <p>11 A. They're not written.</p> <p>12 Q. And the teams that are already</p> <p>13 dispatched, how many teams were dispatched as of</p> <p>14 January 9th?</p> <p>15 A. Well, One was in the process of</p> <p>16 being – Number One was being in the process of</p> <p>17 being dispatched because it was an overseas</p> <p>18 person that was – that Mike had to coordinate</p> <p>19 with, and we had to get – we had to get numerous</p> <p>20 computers and different kinds of phones and</p> <p>21 burner phones and everything. It's a huge</p> <p>22 operation.</p> <p>23 Q. Were you involved at all in the</p> <p>24 logistics of that operation?</p> <p>25 A. No. Mike was.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 204</p>
<p>1 asking to accommodate two small fish?</p> <p>2 A. Two more small fish. So it would have</p> <p>3 made 17 fish, okay? No. The answer was</p> <p>4 absolutely no.</p> <p>5 Q. Well, let's just go one at a time.</p> <p>6 MR. SCHMIDT: Do you remember receiving</p> <p>7 the message or –</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>9 MR. SCHMIDT: – or –</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>11 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And what was your response?</p> <p>13 A. No. Absolutely no.</p> <p>14 Q. And why did you have that firm</p> <p>15 response?</p> <p>16 A. Because we've already given you five</p> <p>17 extra fish, if you will read my response.</p> <p>18 Q. They did have to pay for those 15 fish,</p> <p>19 though, right?</p> <p>20 A. Not really.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay.</p> <p>22 A. They didn't. They were five free fish.</p> <p>23 Q. And they were entitled to all three</p> <p>24 reports on those 15 fish?</p> <p>25 A. What reports?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 203</p> <p>1 Q. So he was involved in procuring the</p> <p>2 phones and computers and things of that nature –</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. – for Team 1?</p> <p>5 A. Team 1 did it.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. What other teams were dispatched</p> <p>7 as of January 9th, other than Team 1?</p> <p>8 A. That was the only "team team" outside</p> <p>9 of the United States. I was collecting or I was</p> <p>10 getting ready to begin to collect information</p> <p>11 from my own channels, which was not labeled as a</p> <p>12 team.</p> <p>13 Q. Right. I'm just trying to understand</p> <p>14 your message on Eastern 224. At the end it says,</p> <p>15 "Teams are already dispatched and beginning their</p> <p>16 trip"?</p> <p>17 A. That meant Mike and the person that was</p> <p>18 hiring the people to manage this particular</p> <p>19 account. It wasn't just one person with one</p> <p>20 computer. There were at least ten.</p> <p>21 Q. Ten individuals?</p> <p>22 A. Ten individuals.</p> <p>23 Q. On Team 1?</p> <p>24 A. On Team 1, at least.</p> <p>25 Q. And how did you know how many members</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 205</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 of Team 1 there were?</p> <p>2 A. Because I was told that by Mike.</p> <p>3 That's all I know. I don't know who they were, I</p> <p>4 don't know their names. I don't know anything</p> <p>5 about it. We compartmentalized it.</p> <p>6 Q. Right. So you don't have any – you</p> <p>7 played no role in assembling Team 1 or managing</p> <p>8 its actions?</p> <p>9 A. My expertise, young man, is –</p> <p>10 Q. I'm not that young, but go on.</p> <p>11 A. – is 45 years of working in</p> <p>12 specialized areas, and I understand how to</p> <p>13 assemble the right people to do, God knows, the</p> <p>14 right job. And Mike was one of the people who</p> <p>15 did dispatch and organize Team 1.</p> <p>16 Q. Right. So you weren't involved with</p> <p>17 managing or assembling –</p> <p>18 A. Not on a –</p> <p>19 Q. – Team 1?</p> <p>20 A. – day-to-day because we were</p> <p>21 compartmentalizing it.</p> <p>22 Q. That's fine. You can – I'm just</p> <p>23 asking for an answer.</p> <p>24 A. I'm giving you one.</p> <p>25 Q. Thank you.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 206</p> <p>1 understand. What was it between the 8th and the</p> <p>2 16th that caused a delay?</p> <p>3 A. Well, we didn't have the equipment to</p> <p>4 begin to do what we said we wanted to be able to</p> <p>5 do because of all of these weirdo delays with the</p> <p>6 flash drives.</p> <p>7 Q. In other words –</p> <p>8 A. They were corrupted flash drives,</p> <p>9 right?</p> <p>10 Q. In other words, you didn't have the</p> <p>11 equipment to do the research on, let's just say,</p> <p>12 January 1, you had to go and buy it –</p> <p>13 A. That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q. – after the agreement was signed?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct. And why would that be?</p> <p>16 Q. I'll be asking the questions.</p> <p>17 A. I know –</p> <p>18 MR. SCHMIDT: Don't ask questions.</p> <p>19 A. – but, I mean, this is absurd.</p> <p>20 MR. GRENDI: Why don't we take a little</p> <p>21 break.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think we need a</p> <p>23 little break.</p> <p>24 MR. SCHMIDT: That's fine.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: You just don't get it.</p>
<p>1 A. You're welcome.</p> <p>2 Q. What was the issue that – if we go to</p> <p>3 Eastern 227, that caused a delay of eight days?</p> <p>4 A. Okay, you have to take a calendar out</p> <p>5 and look at the calendar for January. It's easy</p> <p>6 to see. The 8th, Monday the 8th was when we</p> <p>7 finally got a decent copy of this, right</p> <p>8 (indicating)?</p> <p>9 Q. Exhibit 7?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, Exhibit 7.</p> <p>11 Q. Sure.</p> <p>12 A. That week, Mike and the person from</p> <p>13 Team 1 were coordinating how they were going to</p> <p>14 get the – the equipment together. They had to</p> <p>15 drive to three different countries to get the</p> <p>16 information – I mean, to get the equipment, so</p> <p>17 they wouldn't be tracked.</p> <p>18 The IP numbers and everything else</p> <p>19 would not be tracked. These would be, quote,</p> <p>20 technically, virgin computers, virgin phones,</p> <p>21 these would be burner phones. All of these</p> <p>22 communications had to be coordinated. So from</p> <p>23 the 8th of January to the 16th, I believe, makes</p> <p>24 eight days.</p> <p>25 Q. And that's what I'm trying to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 207</p> <p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at</p> <p>2 3:25.</p> <p>3 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)</p> <p>4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record</p> <p>5 at 3:32.</p> <p>6 Q. Still on Wallop 10, Bates number</p> <p>7 Eastern 227. Do you see where you wrote, "We</p> <p>8 have some new exotic fish options to discuss</p> <p>9 too"?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. What did you mean by that?</p> <p>12 A. I think Mike and I had come up with</p> <p>13 some information that would have been interesting</p> <p>14 for Guo.</p> <p>15 Q. Why did you describe it as a fish</p> <p>16 option? What does that –</p> <p>17 A. I think exotic was the key word there,</p> <p>18 because it was outside of the parameter.</p> <p>19 Q. What do you mean by parameter? I just</p> <p>20 want to understand –</p> <p>21 A. Outside of the – the 15 fish. It was</p> <p>22 additional information that we thought he might</p> <p>23 find useful. It had nothing to do with the 15</p> <p>24 fish.</p> <p>25 Q. What was that information?</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. I can't remember right now. I'm not 2 being – trying to be avoiding you. I just don't 3 remember.</p> <p>4 Q. That's okay.</p> <p>5 A. But he was happy with it, whatever it 6 was.</p> <p>7 Q. Oh, so you did describe it to him?</p> <p>8 A. Oh, yeah, I told him. I just – Mike 9 might remember what it was.</p> <p>10 Q. And it says here on the following page, 11 228, "We expect to have new fishing info for the 12 captain later next week"?</p> <p>13 A. Yes. That would have been – that 14 would have been the additional information over 15 and above the 15.</p> <p>16 Q. Oh, that's a reference to the exotic 17 fish option?</p> <p>18 A. Yeah. It's in the same text.</p> <p>19 Q. There's a reference below to crispy 20 duck dumpling spinach?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you recall having a meal of that 23 nature with Mr. Guo?</p> <p>24 A. I do.</p> <p>25 Q. When was that?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 210</p> <p>1 ten fish, we had ten people.</p> <p>2 Q. Was each team member assigned – each 3 of the ten individuals on Team 1 assigned one 4 fish?</p> <p>5 A. I believe that was the case, but I do 6 not know because, again, I wasn't a party to it.</p> <p>7 But that makes sense, doesn't it?</p> <p>8 Q. Were there any other problems, other 9 than just the – the large volume of 15 fish as 10 opposed to ten?</p> <p>11 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, but go ahead.</p> <p>12 A. The initial change at the last minute, 13 asking for 15 instead of ten, sort of gummed up 14 the works. So, yes, and he actually understood 15 that when we finally got to talk to him about it.</p> <p>16 Q. I mean, at this time, did you 17 understand that the client wanted the information 18 on a very prompt basis?</p> <p>19 A. No. There was no deadline given to us.</p> <p>20 MR. SCHMIDT: You answered.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.</p> <p>22 Q. Let's go to Eastern 234. This is a 23 message on January 17, 2018. You said, "Please 24 inform New York re above, we expect to have a 25 fairly full net." Do you see that comment?</p>
<p>1 A. In December or early January.</p> <p>2 Q. That was one of the first meetings?</p> <p>3 A. Yeah. So it must have been December.</p> <p>4 I just can't eat much, and sometimes they have 5 massive amounts.</p> <p>6 Q. You were just trying to kind of stave 7 off the embarrassment of having to turn down all 8 the stuff he was trying to give?</p> <p>9 A. Well, yes and yes. He – he was not 10 trying to give. They often have lots and lots 11 and lots and lots of food at Chinese lunches, and 12 he's often – he was often trying to give you 13 more food, and I can't eat that much. So, I just 14 can't digest anything more than a little bit at a 15 time.</p> <p>16 Q. And turning to Eastern 230. Do you see 17 where you wrote, "no. We expect to have results 18 the following week due to the problems about 19 putting multiple fish in late." Do you see that?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. What were the problems due to putting 22 multiple fish in late?</p> <p>23 A. Well, when you add 33 percent more to 24 the – to the equation, it – it – it bogs down 25 the ten research people we had working. We had</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 211</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. What did you mean by a fairly full net?</p> <p>3 A. We were told that we had some good, 4 useful information from Team 1, but then that was 5 told to Mike.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you have any reason to think there 7 would be a fairly full net based on the 8 information your contacts were bringing in?</p> <p>9 A. I didn't know. I just – I just 10 presumed that the – I guess the word presumed is 11 the wrong word, but I believed that if they had 12 new information, that it was going to be useful, 13 and that he would be pleased with that.</p> <p>14 Q. So had you spoken to Dr. Waller at or 15 around this time about the progress of Team 1?</p> <p>16 A. I never saw – you have to understand, 17 I never saw any of these USB keys or flash 18 drives, or the – the data that was within them; 19 again, compartmentalizing.</p> <p>20 Q. I understand that. What I was asking 21 was, did you talk to Dr. Waller about –</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. – Team One's progress?</p> <p>24 A. No, no.</p> <p>25 Q. So this –</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. Not in depth.</p> <p>2 Q. This comment about, we expect to have a</p> <p>3 fairly full net, was just your assumption?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And at that time, you didn't know if</p> <p>6 Team 1 was finding any information or good</p> <p>7 information, or anything of that nature?</p> <p>8 A. We were told that they were finding</p> <p>9 information.</p> <p>10 Q. So, again, how did you get that</p> <p>11 information if you didn't get it from Dr. Waller?</p> <p>12 A. He told me, but I've said to you that I</p> <p>13 only spoke a little bit to Michael. I did not</p> <p>14 know all of the details of that. He said that he</p> <p>15 believed he had some good information that was</p> <p>16 going to make New York, New York, as we called</p> <p>17 him, happy.</p> <p>18 Q. I got it. Going to Eastern 235. At</p> <p>19 the bottom of the page you wrote, "We have to</p> <p>20 finish shopping and we'll find a very nice</p> <p>21 present for." That's all it has there.</p> <p>22 What did you mean by finish shopping,</p> <p>23 if you recall?</p> <p>24 A. Well, we - we're - let's see. This</p> <p>25 was ten days into the contract, essentially. We</p>	<p>Page 214</p> <p>1 meeting, if you recall?</p> <p>2 A. We had some information, and I can't</p> <p>3 remember if Mike had gotten - I don't think he'd</p> <p>4 gotten the flash drive at that point, that</p> <p>5 particular flash drive, but we were giving him a</p> <p>6 verbal update on certain people within the -</p> <p>7 within the file, Exhibit 7.</p> <p>8 Q. And you said before that you were</p> <p>9 working around the clock?</p> <p>10 A. Yes. They were.</p> <p>11 Q. But you weren't. You mean the team was</p> <p>12 or - I just want to be precise here.</p> <p>13 A. Well, I'm human. I don't work 24/7.</p> <p>14 Q. I didn't mean that, obviously. But you</p> <p>15 said you'd been working weekends on this; is that</p> <p>16 fair to say?</p> <p>17 A. All of us were working, often.</p> <p>18 Whenever we found a lead, we'd go after it.</p> <p>19 Q. And is that just standard procedure for</p> <p>20 -</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. - and engagement of this nature?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 MR. SCHMIDT: Just let him finish the</p> <p>25 question.</p>
<p>1 were working through weekends, so we were trying</p> <p>2 to retrieve information, according to Mike, that</p> <p>3 was - that we felt that was going to be very</p> <p>4 useful for him. Again, I did not know what those</p> <p>5 specifics were.</p> <p>6 Q. But the reference to shopping is the</p> <p>7 collection of information?</p> <p>8 A. The collection, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And a present would be, what, useful</p> <p>10 information?</p> <p>11 A. Useful information.</p> <p>12 Q. And you said, "we'll be there on the</p> <p>13 25th." Was that for a meeting that you were</p> <p>14 planning with Mr. Guo and Lianchao and Yvette?</p> <p>15 A. Yeah, it was the 25th or the 26th. I</p> <p>16 thought it was the 26th.</p> <p>17 Q. If you turn to Eastern 238.</p> <p>18 A. Yeah.</p> <p>19 Q. You see it says, "okay we'll do lunch</p> <p>20 for the 26th"?</p> <p>21 A. Right.</p> <p>22 Q. And is that your recollection, that the</p> <p>23 meeting was -</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And what - what happened at that</p>	<p>Page 215</p> <p>1 MR. GRENDI: That's okay.</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And does that schedule ever let up</p> <p>4 during an engagement or is it just typical for</p> <p>5 the beginning of an investigatory research</p> <p>6 project?</p> <p>7 A. Well, something that was this intense,</p> <p>8 where you had an increase - mentally, you'd</p> <p>9 already sort of planned out in the contract for</p> <p>10 ten. So you get a 33 percent increase. So you</p> <p>11 have to sort of shift the - the process, adjust</p> <p>12 the process so that you can continue to keep</p> <p>13 going in.</p> <p>14 Again, I have to tell you, repeatedly,</p> <p>15 how many names were fake, how many - how many</p> <p>16 bits of information and addresses were fake, how</p> <p>17 many rabbit holes these guys had to go down to -</p> <p>18 to prove that there were not - the information</p> <p>19 that Guo had been given for \$250 million, a lot</p> <p>20 of it was rubbish.</p> <p>21 Q. Just going back to that meeting on the</p> <p>22 26th of January. Was any information presented</p> <p>23 to the client?</p> <p>24 A. I think Mike did, yes, verbally.</p> <p>25 Verbally. We sat there and listened.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. Did you personally make any 2 presentation about the research at that 3 January 26th meeting?</p> <p>4 A. I wouldn't call it a presentation. We 5 had an open discussion about the – the content 6 and the fact that we'd only been in it ten days, 7 and the complications arising from expecting – 8 or Guo expecting to have a full – or a flash 9 drive in ten days was unreasonable.</p> <p>10 Q. So was it at that time when it occurred 11 to you that there was some immediacy to this 12 project?</p> <p>13 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.</p> <p>14 A. Not more so than usual. He never 15 mentioned any drop dead date or anything like 16 that.</p> <p>17 Q. But did you get the impression at that 18 January 26th meeting that Mr. Guo wanted the 19 information as soon as possible?</p> <p>20 A. He always wanted it as soon as 21 possible.</p> <p>22 Q. From the very beginning?</p> <p>23 A. From the very beginning.</p> <p>24 Q. And is that part of the reason why you 25 and your team were working around the clock or on</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 218</p> <p>1 Q. So why was it that you and Dr. Waller 2 were apologizing?</p> <p>3 A. Actually, Mike was the one who was 4 apologizing, and he was, I think – I spent time 5 in Asia. You – you – you don't allow your 6 Asian friends to lose face. And so it was really 7 important for Mike and for me not to have him 8 lose face or be embarrassed by the fact that he 9 didn't have all the information, for whatever 10 reason, that he needed to have at that lunch, and 11 that's when – that was on the 26th, the lunch, 12 when we discussed the delay.</p> <p>13 Q. Did you or Dr. Waller say to Mr. Guo, 14 what's the big hurry here? What's the rush?</p> <p>15 A. I don't recall that.</p> <p>16 Q. You don't recall saying that?</p> <p>17 A. No, I don't recall either one of us 18 asking him. He never told us why.</p> <p>19 Q. But you never asked?</p> <p>20 A. Well, the client was the one who should 21 have told us if there was a reason that we needed 22 to be – to have something by X date.</p> <p>23 Q. And so going back to this apology. 24 What was offered, if anything, as an apology to 25 the present client?</p>
<p>1 weekends?</p> <p>2 A. Yes. We wanted to make sure that the 3 client was happy and that we could find what we 4 needed to retrieve.</p> <p>5 Q. Was there any kind of internal 6 miscommunication at Strategic Vision that delayed 7 the progress of the investigation?</p> <p>8 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.</p> <p>9 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>10 Q. You don't recall any problems or errors 11 that were made by Strategic Vision's team that 12 delayed the progress of the research?</p> <p>13 A. To my knowledge, we never made any 14 errors. We were going out of our way not to make 15 errors, based on the fact that we had fake 16 information on a number of the files that we've 17 been given.</p> <p>18 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Guo or Yvette 19 Wang or Lianchao Han that there was an internal 20 miscommunication that delayed the start of the 21 research?</p> <p>22 A. Not – not to my recollection. I mean, 23 we apologized that we hadn't been able to 24 retrieve it as quickly as he would have liked it, 25 but ten days is asking a lot.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 219</p> <p>1 A. To Guo, Mike just said, I'm really very 2 sorry, it is not our standard procedure to ever 3 have an unhappy client. We certainly will do 4 everything we can to make sure that he – that 5 you, you know, Miles, are happy with what we're 6 going to continue trying to retrieve.</p> <p>7 And then Michael, again, explained to 8 him the layers of how these things are found. 9 You can't – you can't bring up legitimate 10 information if you have been given fake names, 11 fake addresses, fake family relationships. It's 12 like looking into a dark closet and there's 13 nothing there, because you can't identify 14 anything if you have no legitimate names to go 15 on.</p> <p>16 The Chinese deliberately will change 17 the names of their daughters, cousins, uncles, 18 brothers; there's no Ancestry.com that you can go 19 to to figure it out.</p> <p>20 Q. And so, was it at this meeting that you 21 conveyed to Mr. Guo and Lianchao and – well, I'm 22 sorry, who was present at this meeting?</p> <p>23 A. I think Lianchao was there.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay.</p> <p>25 A. No, no, no, I take it back. I take it</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 back. On the 26th, Yvette was there. Yvette was 2 there, Mike and I were there, and – and Guo was 3 there.</p> <p>4 Q. So was – was it at this January 26th 5 meeting that you conveyed for the first time 6 that, hey, some of these names that you gave us, 7 they're fake people?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. When was that?</p> <p>10 A. We had already told Lianchao and Yvette 11 that there were some fake names and fake leads in 12 here.</p> <p>13 Q. And when was that?</p> <p>14 A. Whenever we saw them. And, you know, 15 since Lianchao lives in Washington, I was able to 16 tell him face-to-face, not on the telephone; we 17 had very limited, you know, whatever, Signal 18 messages or anything like that, so it was always 19 face-to-face.</p> <p>20 Q. And did Strategic Vision offer to give 21 ten days of –</p> <p>22 A. We did.</p> <p>23 Q. – free service at that time?</p> <p>24 A. And we did.</p> <p>25 Q. And was that just a client relations</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 222</p> <p>1 you were there? 2 A. Guo, and probably Lian – Lianchao, I 3 think, might have been there at that point. 4 Q. Was Yvette there? 5 A. I think that was one of the times he 6 sent her out of the room. She was there, but he 7 sent her out. 8 Q. What was the takeaway from the 9 January 26, 2018 report; what was going to happen 10 next? 11 A. We were good. And he said fine, just 12 keep digging. I don't care what you have to do. 13 Go into every file you can find. Be aggressive. 14 Don't hold back. 15 Mike explained to him that, you know, 16 there were certain parameters about what you 17 could go into legally, and that we were not 18 willing to do anything illegal, and we never 19 have, we were not going to start with him. 20 So, at the end of it, I had brought a 21 little present to give to Guo, my little personal 22 collection of small antique Buddhas, and I gave 23 it to – I was giving it to him in the beginning, 24 the morning. I said, this is something that I've 25 had for a long time, it means a lot to me, I'd</p>
<p>1 offer –</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. – it wasn't because there was any kind 4 of problem?</p> <p>5 A. I wouldn't call it a problem. The 6 problem ended up here (indicating). This was the 7 problem, with the initial Exhibit 7. That was 8 the problem that – that stymied us, plus their 9 asking for the additional five fish, which we 10 agreed to do to help them out.</p> <p>11 Q. So Strategic Vision didn't see anything 12 wrong with how it was conducting itself. There 13 was a delay because of what you just said, some 14 of the names were fake?</p> <p>15 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Was this discussion on January 26, 2018 18 the first oral report presented to Mr. Guo and 19 Yvette?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. What was the previous?</p> <p>22 A. Somewhere around the 16th, or something 23 like that, the 15th, 16th. I don't have a 24 calendar, so I don't know.</p> <p>25 Q. And who did you make that report to, if</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 223</p> <p>1 like you to have it. He refused to accept it. 2 And then he turned around to Mike and 3 gave Mike huge bear hugs, and then he gave me 4 close to a bear hug, but not quite; he gave Mike 5 a much bigger bear hug, slapped him on his back, 6 and said, you're my friend, I know we're going to 7 get this done, and everything is going to be 8 fine, and we just have to keep working on it, and 9 so forth. So everything was fine when we left. 10 Q. Did you understand that Mr. Guo wanted 11 information right away after that meeting? 12 A. He never – he always wanted 13 information right away, but he never had a 14 deadline, like, I have to have it by the 5th of 15 March or the 3rd of April, or whatever. We 16 always felt pressured to get the information to 17 him. 18 Q. So you don't recall Dr. Waller flying 19 off to Europe right after that meeting? 20 A. Of course I do. He went to get another 21 USB, another flash drive. 22 Q. And why did he do that at that time? 23 A. Because the client wanted to have the 24 latest, you know, chapter, I suppose. 25 Q. So did Mr. Guo ask for a flash drive at</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 that January 26th meeting?</p> <p>2 A. He asked – he said to Mike, I want you</p> <p>3 to go get whatever it is, go get it and bring it</p> <p>4 back. Mike did that twice, at least.</p> <p>5 Q. And Mike did, in fact, fly off to</p> <p>6 Europe –</p> <p>7 A. Yep.</p> <p>8 Q. – right after that meeting?</p> <p>9 A. Yep. Pretty much.</p> <p>10 MR. GRENDI: Let's go to 11.</p> <p>11 (Wallop Exhibit 11, Background Report</p> <p>12 on Qing Yao, marked for identification.)</p> <p>13 Q. Ms. Wallop, do you recognize this</p> <p>14 document?</p> <p>15 A. Actually, I do not. I have never seen</p> <p>16 it until we did Yvette's, whatever it was,</p> <p>17 deposition the other day. I've never seen it.</p> <p>18 Q. Have you ever seen a report like it –</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. – a background report like this?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you have any idea where this</p> <p>23 document came from?</p> <p>24 A. I think it came from Mike and Team 1.</p> <p>25 That was my understanding.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 226</p> <p>1 Yvette at the train station, as I understand it.</p> <p>2 And then there was another guy from Guo's office</p> <p>3 or something, who's yet to be identified. But I</p> <p>4 don't know who he was.</p> <p>5 Q. Did there ever come a point in time</p> <p>6 when you talked to Dr. Waller about Mr. Guo or</p> <p>7 Yvette being disappointed with the 80-gigabyte</p> <p>8 flash drive, or USB drive?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. You never discussed it?</p> <p>11 A. I never knew about it, because nobody</p> <p>12 told me what was on the drive, so I didn't know</p> <p>13 what was on the drive. I think Mike had hoped</p> <p>14 that there would probably be more on the drive,</p> <p>15 but that's all I knew based upon what he told me.</p> <p>16 Q. When did you get the idea that Mr. Guo</p> <p>17 was disappointed with the research?</p> <p>18 A. We never had any inkling until the</p> <p>19 lawsuit was filed.</p> <p>20 MR. GRENDI: Let's do 12.</p> <p>21 (Wallop Exhibit 12, Signal message</p> <p>22 thread, marked for identification.)</p> <p>23 A. I might correct that, because my memory</p> <p>24 is bad. We did know from Lianchao that he was</p> <p>25 not happy.</p>
<p>1 Q. But the first time you saw it was at</p> <p>2 Ms. Wang's deposition?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you know if Dr. Waller delivered an</p> <p>5 80-gigabyte flash drive to Yvette Wang on or</p> <p>6 about January 30th?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, I do know.</p> <p>8 Q. How do you know about that?</p> <p>9 A. He told me.</p> <p>10 Q. And after that flash drive was</p> <p>11 delivered, what happened?</p> <p>12 A. I don't know. I know that he delivered</p> <p>13 it to her in the train station. He had literally</p> <p>14 just come back from Ireland, having – having</p> <p>15 flown 24 hours round trip, I think. And he – he</p> <p>16 also didn't know exactly what was on it. So I</p> <p>17 don't know what was on it because I never saw it.</p> <p>18 Q. What was the response to the</p> <p>19 80-gigabyte flash drive from Mr. Guo?</p> <p>20 A. I don't know.</p> <p>21 Q. You never talked to him about it?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you ever talk to Dr. Waller about</p> <p>24 the response to the 80-gigabyte flash drive?</p> <p>25 A. He – he didn't meet Guo. He met</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 227</p> <p>1 Q. When did –</p> <p>2 A. I would say somewhere in February,</p> <p>3 early to mid-February. I said, well, that's</p> <p>4 silly, because we're – we're knocking our brains</p> <p>5 out.</p> <p>6 Q. Just turn to Eastern 225. Or I'm</p> <p>7 sorry, 255 of this document.</p> <p>8 And is Pyratz a code name that</p> <p>9 Dr. Waller would go by?</p> <p>10 A. Yeah, that's Mike's signal.</p> <p>11 Q. And do you see this message from Yvette</p> <p>12 Waller on Eastern 255?</p> <p>13 A. You mean Yvette Wang.</p> <p>14 Q. Oh, jeez. Thank you. Yvette Wang, of</p> <p>15 course. Sorry.</p> <p>16 A. I don't know. I never saw this. This</p> <p>17 is the first time I've seen it. But he's very</p> <p>18 good in explaining stuff.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you see Dr. Waller's response on</p> <p>20 Eastern 257?</p> <p>21 A. Yes. He was explaining whatever.</p> <p>22 Q. And so you and Dr. Waller never had any</p> <p>23 discussions about this Signal exchange –</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. – between Dr. Waller and Yvette Wang?</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 230</p> <p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Let's go to Eastern 259. Do you see</p> <p>3 where Dr. Waller wrote, "our understanding was</p> <p>4 that the first 90 days would be for starting up</p> <p>5 and developing the data"?</p> <p>6 A. I see that.</p> <p>7 Q. Was that Strategic Vision's</p> <p>8 understanding as well or just Dr. Waller's?</p> <p>9 A. No, it was our mutual understanding</p> <p>10 between Guo and myself and Lianchao and Mike.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you see where it says, he wrote, "We</p> <p>12 did not understand that he expected actual data</p> <p>13 in the first days or weeks"?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Again, you never talked to Dr. Waller</p> <p>16 about this exchange after –</p> <p>17 A. Not about –</p> <p>18 Q. – it happened on the –</p> <p>19 A. – this exchange, no.</p> <p>20 Q. Did you ever discuss the substance of</p> <p>21 this exchange, or something akin to it, about the</p> <p>22 expectations of the client?</p> <p>23 A. Perhaps later that – when we were</p> <p>24 talking about what – what we had been able to</p> <p>25 retrieve so far, and how we had – let me just</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 232</p> <p>1 Q. Right.</p> <p>2 A. Yvette was taken off the case in, as we</p> <p>3 understand it, beginning the 1st of February.</p> <p>4 And then she – she sent us an email saying she</p> <p>5 was no longer in it, that only to – to</p> <p>6 communicate with Lianchao.</p> <p>7 Q. Did Strategic Vision adjust its</p> <p>8 research approach based upon this request for</p> <p>9 more immediate results?</p> <p>10 A. No. In fact, we actually increased the</p> <p>11 pressure on Team 1, and then went and had a</p> <p>12 long – several meetings with potential Team 2,</p> <p>13 and that's another side of it.</p> <p>14 Q. Is that a company that goes by the</p> <p>15 acronym ASOG?</p> <p>16 A. Yes. In Dallas. Outside of Dallas.</p> <p>17 Q. And why was it that ASOG was contacted</p> <p>18 in connection with this research agreement?</p> <p>19 A. Because we had the option of being able</p> <p>20 to bring in whatever teams we felt were going to</p> <p>21 be additionally viable, and also on the domestic</p> <p>22 side of some of the things that we were bumping</p> <p>23 into, or Mike and his team were bumping into on</p> <p>24 the international side, which were not pretty.</p> <p>25 So, we were given the names of the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 231</p> <p>1 read this. How Mike had explained very</p> <p>2 patiently, very calmly, very slowly, whether it</p> <p>3 was with – or through Lianchao or through</p> <p>4 Yvette, how the process works.</p> <p>5 So, if Guo wanted to speed up and get</p> <p>6 everything really fast, then all the trap doors,</p> <p>7 all the doors that we had been able to open</p> <p>8 quietly, would be slammed shut. If Guo would</p> <p>9 just be patient and let us get into where we</p> <p>10 needed to go quietly, he was going to get an</p> <p>11 awful lot of information back.</p> <p>12 The irony is, that had he just relaxed</p> <p>13 and stayed on top of this, that is Guo, he would</p> <p>14 have had a huge amount of information three</p> <p>15 months a year in. Huge. We can't fix somebody's</p> <p>16 perception of how this is done. He was very</p> <p>17 impatient.</p> <p>18 Q. When did you understand that Mr. Guo</p> <p>19 was getting impatient?</p> <p>20 A. I guess around – well, certainly on</p> <p>21 the 26th, when we sort of had our lunch with him,</p> <p>22 and then – and then I think possibly through</p> <p>23 Lianchao; because, as I told you, we never had</p> <p>24 any direct contact with – with Guo. It was</p> <p>25 always through Lianchao or Yvette.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 233</p> <p>1 fellows who had been with NSA, DIA, whatever,</p> <p>2 in – in – in Dallas, and we went and met with</p> <p>3 them, and they told us – they looked at – we</p> <p>4 only gave them like a couple of names, we never</p> <p>5 gave them the whole file.</p> <p>6 And they looked at it, and then we went</p> <p>7 back about a week later maybe, it might even have</p> <p>8 been ten days later, a week later, and they were</p> <p>9 totally freaked out. They said, you can't touch</p> <p>10 any of these people or any of these names. We</p> <p>11 said, what are you talking about?</p> <p>12 That's when they said, these are all</p> <p>13 RP. We will all go to jail if you start fooling</p> <p>14 around in their files.</p> <p>15 Q. So when did you first meet with ASOG,</p> <p>16 that meeting in Dallas you just described?</p> <p>17 A. Yeah, it would have been the beginning</p> <p>18 of February, I should think. Again, Mike has the</p> <p>19 date.</p> <p>20 Q. Is there any reason a second team</p> <p>21 wasn't assembled at the outset of the agreement?</p> <p>22 A. We did – because of the element of</p> <p>23 retrieval we had to do outside of the United</p> <p>24 States, because he wanted it so fast and so</p> <p>25 quickly and intensely, that that was the fastest,</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 quickest way of getting into certain files had</p> <p>2 they not all – had some of them not been fake,</p> <p>3 then we would have had no – we wouldn't have</p> <p>4 gone to the second – second dimension.</p> <p>5 Q. And the decision to go with the second</p> <p>6 team, was that Strategic Vision's –</p> <p>7 A. Mine –</p> <p>8 Q. – decision or Dr. Waller's?</p> <p>9 A. Mine and Mike's, yeah.</p> <p>10 Q. Jointly?</p> <p>11 A. Jointly.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay.</p> <p>13 A. We both went down twice.</p> <p>14 Q. And you said RP. What does RP mean?</p> <p>15 A. Restricted persons.</p> <p>16 Q. And in your career in this</p> <p>17 investigatory field, have you encountered</p> <p>18 restricted persons before or –</p> <p>19 A. Yes and no. It's had different</p> <p>20 acronyms. Sometimes it's PP, protected persons.</p> <p>21 Sometimes it's RP. But it means that it is</p> <p>22 either under a watch list by the U.S. Government</p> <p>23 or it is a – or, let's just say a certain agency</p> <p>24 has tagged these individuals and is watching them</p> <p>25 themselves. So we cannot enter into those files</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 234</p> <p>1 them the whole file. We only gave them like, I</p> <p>2 don't know, maybe four or five names.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. But what I'm just trying to</p> <p>4 understand is –</p> <p>5 A. And I –</p> <p>6 Q. – and I know this sounds like a basic</p> <p>7 question –</p> <p>8 A. Right.</p> <p>9 Q. – and I apologize. But are all people</p> <p>10 restricted persons in intelligence files or</p> <p>11 government files?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So there are certain people –</p> <p>14 A. No, no, no, no, no. These were tagged.</p> <p>15 Q. Specially tagged?</p> <p>16 A. These were tagged. And I – again, you</p> <p>17 would have to ask Mike. I don't know if it was</p> <p>18 the whole file that was tagged or if it was just</p> <p>19 four or five names they ran through the system.</p> <p>20 Q. I see.</p> <p>21 A. But they were all tagged; flagged,</p> <p>22 tagged, whatever you want to call it.</p> <p>23 Q. So when did you convey to the client</p> <p>24 that there was this restricted persons</p> <p>25 designation on some of the fish?</p>
<p>1 at all in the U.S.</p> <p>2 Q. And these files you're talking about,</p> <p>3 are these files government files or what kind of</p> <p>4 files are they?</p> <p>5 A. Your Exhibit 7, these names, all of</p> <p>6 these names. We can't – we don't know, because</p> <p>7 we certainly were not peeking into those</p> <p>8 government files.</p> <p>9 Q. Oh, they're government files you're</p> <p>10 talking about?</p> <p>11 A. Yes. These are U.S. intelligence</p> <p>12 files.</p> <p>13 Q. I see. And sometimes those files are</p> <p>14 accessible, if they're not records protected –</p> <p>15 or, I'm sorry, restricted persons?</p> <p>16 A. It just depends on the jurisdiction of</p> <p>17 where you're looking into the file. We would</p> <p>18 never do anything that would be anti-U.S. law.</p> <p>19 And he was asking us to continue doing that, Guo</p> <p>20 was.</p> <p>21 Q. But just in terms of these people who</p> <p>22 you – ASOG told you were restricted persons.</p> <p>23 There are some people, obviously, that are not</p> <p>24 restricted persons, is that fair to say?</p> <p>25 A. I have no idea. Because we didn't give</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 235</p> <p>1 A. We did that through Lianchao.</p> <p>2 Q. And when was that?</p> <p>3 A. Sometime in the middle of February, I</p> <p>4 think, by the time we had gotten – we had been</p> <p>5 down to – to Dallas.</p> <p>6 Q. And so you went to Dallas with</p> <p>7 Dr. Waller?</p> <p>8 A. Twice. Twice we went to see him.</p> <p>9 Twice. Or see them twice. The irony was, we</p> <p>10 then saw this group at a function in Washington,</p> <p>11 at an intel or security defense function several</p> <p>12 months later, and they said to us – they said to</p> <p>13 us, well, it's really weird, because they already</p> <p>14 had figured out it was Guo that was the client.</p> <p>15 We never told them who the client was.</p> <p>16 So they told – they – they said, well, they</p> <p>17 figured out it was Guo, and they said about a</p> <p>18 week or so after we had been down there the</p> <p>19 second time, that Guo had people go down to talk</p> <p>20 to them.</p> <p>21 And we never told anybody who they</p> <p>22 were. We didn't tell Lianchao who they were. We</p> <p>23 didn't tell anyone. This was just between Mike</p> <p>24 and me. So that was very weird.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you think that was just a</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 238</p> <p>1 coincidence or is that –</p> <p>2 A. I would find it an extraordinary</p> <p>3 coincidence. These guys are so deep-sixed that,</p> <p>4 just even to physically find them is like</p> <p>5 difficult.</p> <p>6 Q. So deep-sixed, you mean they're</p> <p>7 inaccessible or –</p> <p>8 A. Inaccessible.</p> <p>9 Q. – they keep a low profile?</p> <p>10 A. Yeah. They had a very low profile and</p> <p>11 they had a very low profile location.</p> <p>12 Q. And how is it that you knew about them,</p> <p>13 ASOG?</p> <p>14 A. Through Mike and one of his people.</p> <p>15 Q. And so, did there come a time when ASOG</p> <p>16 said, well, we can't do any research on this</p> <p>17 because of this –</p> <p>18 A. That's right.</p> <p>19 Q. – records protected status?</p> <p>20 A. Yes. That's right.</p> <p>21 Q. I understand you're eager to move</p> <p>22 forward, but, just for the court reporter, just</p> <p>23 please wait for me to ask the question.</p> <p>24 Could Strategic Vision still perform</p> <p>25 some research, though, even though some of the</p>	<p style="text-align: left;">Page 240</p> <p>1 their new address, or some sort of preliminary</p> <p>2 stuff that was being brought up, but if you were</p> <p>3 getting into deeper stuff, you couldn't touch it.</p> <p>4 You shouldn't touch it. And I'm sure he conveyed</p> <p>5 that to the – to the Team 1 leader.</p> <p>6 Q. So it's your understanding – Strategic</p> <p>7 Vision's understanding that Team One's work was</p> <p>8 curtailed because of the discovery that certain</p> <p>9 fish were restricted persons or records</p> <p>10 protected?</p> <p>11 A. That's correct.</p> <p>12 Q. And that was on or about January 30th,</p> <p>13 or whereabouts?</p> <p>14 A. No, no, no, no, no, no, no. This was</p> <p>15 way into the middle, the 15th to the 20th,</p> <p>16 something in there, of February.</p> <p>17 Q. That's when ASOG conveyed to you</p> <p>18 that –</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. – you were – okay.</p> <p>21 So let's just get a clear record then.</p> <p>22 When did ASOG tell you that certain people</p> <p>23 were – certain fish were records protected?</p> <p>24 A. At some point in Feb – in the middle</p> <p>25 of February 2018.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 239</p> <p>1 individuals were designated as records protected,</p> <p>2 or restricted persons, I'm sorry?</p> <p>3 A. We did not know at that point, and by</p> <p>4 that time we got some kind of service for a</p> <p>5 lawsuit. And our teams, we had to let our teams</p> <p>6 overseas know on the 23rd of February that they</p> <p>7 had to stop.</p> <p>8 Q. I just want to ask this, though. Could</p> <p>9 Team 1 still do its job, even though ASOG found</p> <p>10 that certain individuals were, as you described,</p> <p>11 records protected?</p> <p>12 A. That's – that's a question I'd have to</p> <p>13 leave for a lawyer in the – in the IC,</p> <p>14 intelligence community, to answer. We wouldn't</p> <p>15 want to do anything that would be illegal.</p> <p>16 Q. So you didn't direct Team 1 to stop its</p> <p>17 work when ASOG gave its report to you that people</p> <p>18 were records protected?</p> <p>19 A. We did. Mike did. He did talk to</p> <p>20 them. And even though the – he told them to</p> <p>21 stop doing anything that looked like it was</p> <p>22 peeking into something that they shouldn't be</p> <p>23 looking into.</p> <p>24 It's one thing to peek into somebody's</p> <p>25 license, driver's license number, or their –</p>	<p style="text-align: left;">Page 241</p> <p>1 Q. And then is it your understanding that</p> <p>2 Mike, very shortly thereafter, conveyed this</p> <p>3 information to Team 1?</p> <p>4 A. That's correct.</p> <p>5 MR. GRENDI: Let's do Exhibit 13.</p> <p>6 (Wallop Exhibit 13, Letter dated</p> <p>7 February 23, 2018, marked for</p> <p>8 identification.)</p> <p>9 Q. Do you recognize this document,</p> <p>10 Ms. Wallop?</p> <p>11 A. Actually, I never saw the letter. I</p> <p>12 gather it was delivered to – it says here it was</p> <p>13 delivered to – by hand delivery and electronic</p> <p>14 mail to me, but I was out of the country, and</p> <p>15 they had, in fact, sent it to the Nevada address.</p> <p>16 Q. The Nevada address, is that Strategic</p> <p>17 Vision's?</p> <p>18 A. Strategic Vision's Nevada agent</p> <p>19 address, yeah.</p> <p>20 Q. Does Strategic Vision have an office in</p> <p>21 Nevada?</p> <p>22 A. We have an agent.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you have a physical –</p> <p>24 A. Yes, it's an address.</p> <p>25 Q. – location that you can –</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 A. Yes. It's on all the documents</p> <p>2 somewhere.</p> <p>3 Q. I'm asking if Strategic Vision has like</p> <p>4 an office with –</p> <p>5 A. No. It's an agent. It's an LLC.</p> <p>6 That's where they set them up. Like Wyoming.</p> <p>7 Q. And what's in Wyoming, I'm sorry?</p> <p>8 A. LLCs. There are a lot of LLCs and</p> <p>9 corporate trusts and so forth set up in Wyoming,</p> <p>10 as there are in Nevada.</p> <p>11 Q. Those are your corporate trusts and</p> <p>12 LLCs?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. I just want to clear it up.</p> <p>15 You just mean it's a popular state for</p> <p>16 incorporation?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>19 So when did you first see this letter?</p> <p>20 A. Oh, when I probably returned from the</p> <p>21 Middle East; I think it was probably, I don't</p> <p>22 know, the first or second week of March.</p> <p>23 Q. Were you surprised by the letter?</p> <p>24 A. I thought it was idiotic, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Why did you think it was idiotic?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 242</p> <p>1 A. I personally did not, no.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know if Dr. Waller did that</p> <p>3 or –</p> <p>4 A. Well, he did on the 30th, obviously.</p> <p>5 Q. Right. I'm talking about after the</p> <p>6 30th.</p> <p>7 A. Okay. Well, I don't know. I don't</p> <p>8 know.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. It says, "Eastern agreed to</p> <p>10 delay the start of the contract by ten days from</p> <p>11 January 6th to January 16th." Do you see that on</p> <p>12 the first page, Eastern 198?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Is that the ten-day grace period or</p> <p>15 accommodation that you were talking about –</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. – regarding the January –</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. – 26, 2018 meeting?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>22 Did Strategic Vision attempt to contact</p> <p>23 Mr. Guo or Lianchao or Ms. Wang after receipt of</p> <p>24 this letter?</p> <p>25 A. Well, Yvette had been taken off the</p>	
<p>1 A. Because we heard nothing from them. We</p> <p>2 were continuing to do the work. And it was</p> <p>3 silly.</p> <p>4 Q. What work was Strategic Vision doing</p> <p>5 after January 30th that –</p> <p>6 A. All of February. Or up until the 23rd</p> <p>7 of February, to be precise.</p> <p>8 Q. And were there any meetings with</p> <p>9 Lianchao Han and Mr. Guo after January 30, 2018?</p> <p>10 A. Not with us, no.</p> <p>11 Q. With whom, then?</p> <p>12 A. With Mike and myself, no. With</p> <p>13 Lianchao and Guo, possibly. I don't know.</p> <p>14 Q. Let me ask this then. Did you or</p> <p>15 Dr. Waller meet with Lianchao after January 30,</p> <p>16 2018 concerning this contract?</p> <p>17 A. That's a good question. I doubt it,</p> <p>18 because I didn't know that there was any issue</p> <p>19 other than, you know, we were doing our best and</p> <p>20 pedaling fast.</p> <p>21 Q. So Strategic Vision didn't deliver any</p> <p>22 information to Lianchao, or certainly Yvette,</p> <p>23 after January 30, 2018?</p> <p>24 A. We could have. I'd have to ask Mike.</p> <p>25 Q. You didn't do it personally?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 243</p> <p>1 case. She was forbidden, apparently, to have</p> <p>2 anything to do with it. So the only two people</p> <p>3 that would have been contactable would have been</p> <p>4 Lianchao and I'm sure that – again, I'm not sure</p> <p>5 of the dates, but I'm sure – and Lianchao</p> <p>6 travels, too, so I'm not sure where he was in</p> <p>7 February, but I'm sure that both Mike and I must</p> <p>8 have had some conversation with him in February,</p> <p>9 after this.</p> <p>10 Q. But you don't remember that, sitting</p> <p>11 here today, what that conversation was like?</p> <p>12 A. No. Well, I mean, we were very</p> <p>13 surprised and very unhappy, and we'd been working</p> <p>14 hard to – to do what Guo wanted, so...</p> <p>15 Q. And did Lianchao say anything back to</p> <p>16 you, or what was discussed?</p> <p>17 A. I think he said that, you know, Guo</p> <p>18 gets upset all the time about a lot of things,</p> <p>19 and so maybe we – he could smooth it over and</p> <p>20 calm him down and so forth. And then we just, I</p> <p>21 think, hoped that that would happen, and it</p> <p>22 didn't. So then the – this thing was done, so</p> <p>23 we just stopped.</p> <p>24 Q. You mean this lawsuit?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 245</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. When did you instruct Mike, or anyone 2 else involved with the Strategic Vision team, to 3 just stop work on this project?</p> <p>4 A. After the 23rd of February.</p> <p>5 Q. You don't remember the exact date?</p> <p>6 A. No. We had things in the hopper that 7 were being produced, but they – we bought them, 8 so we had to pay for them, so when we got the 9 information, then we could produce it, but...</p> <p>10 Q. And did Dr. Waller fly to Europe to 11 tell the leader of Team 1 to stop work, or how 12 did that happen?</p> <p>13 A. I'm not sure. You would have to ask 14 him how he did that. He may have met with him 15 overseas.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. In connection with splitting the 17 profits from this engagement with Dr. Waller, do 18 you owe him money, or does Strategic Vision owe 19 him money I should say?</p> <p>20 A. First of all, there weren't profits.</p> <p>21 Q. Earlier we discussed your arrangement 22 with Dr. Waller to split the proceeds of this 23 engagement, correct?</p> <p>24 A. That's correct.</p> <p>25 Q. And has that splitting occurred, is</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 246</p> <p>1 stamped SVUS000040 and SVUS000041, marked 2 for identification.)</p> <p>3 Q. Just take a moment to take a look at 4 these two documents, SVUS40 and 41.</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you recognize these documents?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>8 Q. What are they?</p> <p>9 A. I think these were done by the – I 10 can't remember if these were done by the ASOG 11 guys. I think they were done by the ASOG guys, 12 because of the socials. And they were – Xi 13 Ping – Xi Jinping, who is the premier of China, 14 and then his number 2, the next vice president of 15 the communist party in China.</p> <p>16 And this is a – this is a – sort of a 17 geo – geologic – I mean, how would I put it? 18 It's sort of a graph of the connections between 19 certain families that were in the original 20 document that we were investigating. So this was 21 like a – not a flow chart; this was like a 22 genealogical – I don't know what you want to 23 call it – chart.</p> <p>24 Q. A family tree, is that what it is?</p> <p>25 A. It's sort of a family tree, yeah. But</p>
<p>1 what I should ask?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, because our time was valuable for 3 those two months, plus the people that we had 4 already contracted to pay for the research and so 5 forth. We expected to have a three-month 6 contract at the very least, which were the terms 7 of the agreement, so...</p> <p>8 Q. And what I'm asking is, did you at some 9 point send Dr. Waller a wire or write him a check 10 for his half of this engagement?</p> <p>11 A. I already answered yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And that was – was that \$250,000 that 13 you referred to earlier?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. More or less. Plus expenses, 15 travel expenses and other expenses.</p> <p>16 Q. And there was another wire for about 17 \$300,000 for Team 1, right?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, at least.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. So as far as you and Dr. Waller 20 are concerned, there's nothing left to split, 21 that's already been –</p> <p>22 A. Oh, that baby – that train's long left 23 the station.</p> <p>24 MR. GRENDI: Let's go to 14.</p> <p>25 (Wallop Exhibit 14, Document Bates</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 247</p> <p>1 it shows the relationships of many of these 2 people that were in our original document from 3 Guo.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you give this document to the 5 client?</p> <p>6 A. To the client?</p> <p>7 Q. Yeah, did you deliver this family tree?</p> <p>8 A. This is when we were told they were 9 RPs.</p> <p>10 Q. So you got this information on or about 11 February 15th?</p> <p>12 A. Yes. Again, I would have to – yes.</p> <p>13 Q. But you didn't give it to Lianchao?</p> <p>14 A. We never gave it to him. We told 15 Lianchao.</p> <p>16 Q. What this family tree or –</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. – chart includes?</p> <p>19 A. We told them that they were all RPs, 20 that the names that we had were RPs that we had 21 given in. And, again, Mike would remember how 22 many of these we gave.</p> <p>23 Q. And just turning to the second page –</p> <p>24 A. Okay.</p> <p>25 Q. – SVUS41?</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 250</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Was this ever given to Lianchao Han?</p> <p>3 A. I believe it – it could – we may have</p> <p>4 shown it to him, but, because of the security</p> <p>5 concerns, given the RP status, we didn't probably</p> <p>6 physically give it to him. But we told him about</p> <p>7 it, and we told him that there were these – all</p> <p>8 of these names at the top had – were using</p> <p>9 duplicate Social Security numbers.</p> <p>10 Q. I'm just a little confused here, so</p> <p>11 please help me out. But how did ASOG get this</p> <p>12 information if the individuals were records</p> <p>13 protected?</p> <p>14 A. Because they had – oh, my goodness.</p> <p>15 They had access to – they work within the IC,</p> <p>16 they work within the intelligence community, they</p> <p>17 were DIA, NSA, D – other –</p> <p>18 Q. DOJ?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. I was just trying.</p> <p>21 A. So they had access, and they had</p> <p>22 incredible backgrounds and CVs. So they had</p> <p>23 access into pulling up these names. And the more</p> <p>24 the – the more they – they could do the</p> <p>25 preliminary stuff, but the more they started to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 252</p> <p>1 Q. Ms. Wallop, have you ever seen what's</p> <p>2 been marked as Wallop 15, Bates number SVUS260?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. When did you see this?</p> <p>5 A. When we were billed for the wire for</p> <p>6 the first month of Team 1.</p> <p>7 Q. And so, was this document given to</p> <p>8 Dr. Waller when –</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. – he was abroad?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And he brought it home?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Was there any negotiation of the prices</p> <p>15 quoted or –</p> <p>16 A. I'm sure Mike did, but this was</p> <p>17 dangerous stuff, so we did – we did – we agreed</p> <p>18 to whatever the number is that I can't read at</p> <p>19 the bottom here.</p> <p>20 Q. Yeah, it's a little bit of a fuzzy –</p> <p>21 A. Bad, bad.</p> <p>22 Q. – photocopy there at the end, right?</p> <p>23 A. It was either 267 or 278 or something.</p> <p>24 Q. You're talking about the total direct</p> <p>25 cost?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 251</p> <p>1 dig into the individuals, they found out that</p> <p>2 they were RPs.</p> <p>3 Q. Oh, so it wasn't immediately apparent</p> <p>4 to ASOG –</p> <p>5 A. It wasn't –</p> <p>6 Q. – that they were RPs?</p> <p>7 A. It wasn't right away, no.</p> <p>8 Q. Ah, I see. So they were able to find</p> <p>9 some information?</p> <p>10 A. They found this information that they</p> <p>11 shared with us, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. But at some point in their</p> <p>13 investigation, they realized, oops, those are</p> <p>14 RPs, we can't look anymore?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And ASOG did give some</p> <p>17 information to Strategic Vision about these –</p> <p>18 the information that they did find?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. I see.</p> <p>21 MR. GRENDI: Let's go to 15.</p> <p>22 (Wallop Exhibit 15, Document entitled</p> <p>23 "Line-Item Budget 1 Month Projected Cost</p> <p>24 Analysis" Bates stamped SVUS260, marked for</p> <p>25 identification.)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 253</p> <p>1 A. Yeah, um-hum, and I can't read that,</p> <p>2 quite honestly.</p> <p>3 Q. Did Team 1 ever return any of the funds</p> <p>4 that were sent to it –</p> <p>5 A. Why?</p> <p>6 Q. – for this engagement?</p> <p>7 A. Why on earth could – would they?</p> <p>8 MR. SCHMIDT: Just answer the question.</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Is it fair to say there aren't any</p> <p>11 refunds in this business?</p> <p>12 A. Certainly not.</p> <p>13 MR. SCHMIDT: You're learning that the</p> <p>14 hard way.</p> <p>15 Q. I meant in connection with working with</p> <p>16 secret teams like Team 1; is that fair to say?</p> <p>17 A. That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Fair enough. And does Strategic Vision</p> <p>19 have bank records reflecting sending funds to</p> <p>20 Team 1?</p> <p>21 A. I'm sure we have a copy of a wire.</p> <p>22 (*r) MR. GRENDI: We're going to call for</p> <p>23 the production of that. I'll follow up.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: That's fine.</p> <p>25 MR. GRENDI: Go to 16.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 254	Page 256
<p>1 (Wallop Exhibit 16, Invoice from Allied 2 Special Operations Group, Bates stamped 3 SVUS000262, marked for identification.) 4 MR. SCHMIDT: After this document, why 5 don't we take a two-minute break? 6 MR. GRENDI: Yeah, sure. 7 Q. So do you recognize this document, this 8 invoice from Allied Special Operations Group? 9 A. Correct, ASOG. 10 Q. And why was the invoice reduced from 11 over \$100,000 to \$5,000? 12 A. Because they couldn't touch the – they 13 couldn't go into the files that they had – that 14 they had with the names; they could have gone to 15 prison, all right? So they refunded us – or 16 refunded is the wrong word. I wish they had 17 refunded, but, no, they sent us a bill – in 18 other words, so that you can see what this sort 19 of thing actually cost, this is what these things 20 cost, and this was only for a few names, and this 21 is only for one week. So they – you know, we 22 bit and screamed and hollered, and so they ended 23 up sending us the – the bill for the 5,000. 24 5,412.50. 25 Q. So, originally, ASOG was trying to get</p>	<p>1 screamed and hollered, and that's why – 2 A. Well, yeah, because normally we 3 understand – look, again, a little bit in the IC 4 is that – that, when you get into certain 5 records' protected arenas you cannot, you cannot 6 invade that space. 7 So while they were sniffing around the 8 edges of each one of these files, we said, you 9 know, between us, we can't touch it, so there 10 should be no bill. So then that's when they sent 11 the \$5,000 bill. 12 Q. Because they had spent some time trying 13 to do this? 14 A. They'd spent a week. And the time – 15 the timing thing is there, the time – whatever 16 it is. Our rate, hours worked. And those are 17 the initials of the people that worked there. 18 MR. GRENDI: We can take that break 19 now, if you like. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 21 4:41. 22 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record 24 at 4:48. 25 MR. GRENDI: Let's do Exhibit 17 here.</p>
<p>1 \$105,000 from – 2 A. It was 111,000. 3 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Did I miss – I thought 4 I – no, that's fair. Yeah, 111. 5 A. \$111,700. That's what these people 6 charge. Not these people, but the industry 7 charges. 8 Q. And Strategic Vision kind of fought 9 back on that and said, well, you didn't really do 10 the work, so? 11 A. No, we did not do that. 12 Q. How did you negotiate with them over 13 the total amount owed? 14 A. We explained to – I mean, they 15 explained to us that they would have gone ahead 16 and done it and billed us for the 111,000, 17 without question. Until they bumped into the 18 RP. When they bumped into the RP, they said, 19 we can't do it. You know, as American citizens, 20 neither can you. So we didn't. 21 Q. And because of that, they – ASOG 22 determined that they should reduce the invoice to 23 just \$5,000? 24 A. They did. 25 Q. I'm just confused, because you said you</p>	<p>1 This will be Wallop 17. 2 (Wallop Exhibit 17, Document Bates 3 stamped SVUS000272 to SVUS000277, marked for 4 identification.) 5 Q. Do you recognize this document, 6 Ms. Wallop? 7 A. I do. 8 Q. What is this? 9 A. This was an investigation background on 10 a couple of people that are on the list. 11 Q. Are they one of the 15 fish or are they 12 tertiary or – 13 A. That's a good question. I honestly 14 can't remember. But they were of great interest 15 to – I think they were part of the 15 fish. 16 They were a great interest to Guo. 17 Q. And just going back to ASOG. Has 18 Strategic Vision ever worked with ASOG before? 19 A. I haven't, but I've worked on the IC 20 with a couple of people that have been involved 21 with ASOG. 22 Q. And IC, does that mean intelligence 23 community? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And how did you work with members of</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 258	Page 260
<p>1 ASOG in the IC?</p> <p>2 A. They were not ASOG then.</p> <p>3 Q. Right.</p> <p>4 A. They were in the IC when I worked with them.</p> <p>6 Q. And I take it you were in the intelligence community as well?</p> <p>8 A. Let's just say I've been helpful.</p> <p>9 Q. And certain members of ASOG were in the intelligence community when you were being helpful?</p> <p>12 A. I believe that's true, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Does that have anything to do with Strategic Vision or is that just you personally, before you started working at Strategic Vision?</p> <p>16 A. My whole life, beyond the age of 21.</p> <p>17 Q. And why did you task Fletcher with – well, let me ask you this. Strike all that.</p> <p>19 Did you or Dr. Waller get in touch with Fletcher?</p> <p>21 A. Because they were two very curious people.</p> <p>23 Q. No, I'm saying did – was it you or Dr. –</p> <p>25 A. I did.</p>	<p>1 our gathering technique to farm out between Mike and myself who had the reach within a certain dimension. These guys were in the U.K., so my relationships within the IC within the U.K. are strong, so I went and had the conversation with several people about some of these people, including these two characters (indicating); and they carry English passports, British passports.</p> <p>9 Q. Let's just look at 18, because I believe this is the – no, not 18, not this one.</p> <p>11 MR. GRENDI: This will be Wallop 18.</p> <p>12 (Wallop Exhibit 18, Document entitled "Subject Chart," Bates SVUS000278, marked for identification.)</p> <p>15 Q. Do you recognize this document?</p> <p>16 A. I do.</p> <p>17 Q. And what is this?</p> <p>18 A. This has to do with, on our list number, number 72 and 73 on Exhibit 7.</p> <p>20 Q. I see. So these are – those are individuals identified on SVUS242 and 243?</p> <p>22 A. No, 73. Page 73.</p> <p>23 Q. I know. I'm talking about the Bates number, if you don't mind. I just want to be clear.</p>
<p>1 Q. You did?</p> <p>2 A. Yes. That's my contact.</p> <p>3 Q. And Strategic Vision paid this invoice to Fletcher?</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. When did Strategic Vision first request this research from Fletcher?</p> <p>8 A. It would have been probably in February; I'm not sure exactly, but probably at the beginning of February of 2018.</p> <p>11 Q. And was this in response to a specific request from the client or was it just an idea that Strategic Vision had in terms of getting more research?</p> <p>15 A. That's an odd question.</p> <p>16 Q. Let me ask it again then.</p> <p>17 A. That's an odd question.</p> <p>18 Q. Well, let me ask it again.</p> <p>19 A. You have a whole folder that we've been dispatched to –</p> <p>21 MR. SCHMIDT: He's going to ask it again. Let him ask it –</p> <p>23 A. I know, for Heaven's sakes, come on. Don't waste everybody's time.</p> <p>25 So it was in the folder, it was part of</p>	<p>1 A. Okay, they're Bates number 244 and 243, correct. Sorry.</p> <p>3 Q. I think you mean 242?</p> <p>4 A. 244 and 243, that's what I mean.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay.</p> <p>6 A. I take it back. I stand corrected.</p> <p>7 242 and 243, sorry.</p> <p>8 Q. That's okay.</p> <p>9 A. You can't recognize some of these passport pictures.</p> <p>11 Q. So is this the report that Fletcher put together based upon the invoice we were just looking at?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. And it says it's – it's dated March 21, 2018?</p> <p>17 A. Um-hum, that's right.</p> <p>18 Q. Is that when Strategic Vision received this report?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, probably.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay.</p> <p>22 A. But I had been there the month – a month earlier to – it takes – it takes some time to get this stuff.</p> <p>25 Q. So you had traveled to the United</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Kingdom –</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. – in February of 2018 to request this</p> <p>4 report?</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. And it came back around March 2018, or</p> <p>7 March 21, 2018?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. And did Strategic Vision deliver this</p> <p>10 report to the client?</p> <p>11 A. Well, we didn't get it until March</p> <p>12 something, right? So we were in the middle of a</p> <p>13 sort of a lawsuit; so, no, we did not deliver it.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you edit that report at all after</p> <p>15 receiving it, or provide any input to it?</p> <p>16 A. Never touched it. Only paid it.</p> <p>17 Q. Did you ever review it?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, of course I read it.</p> <p>19 MR. GRENDI: 19.</p> <p>20 (Wallop Exhibit 19, Strategic Vision's</p> <p>21 Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's</p> <p>22 First Set of Interrogatories, marked for</p> <p>23 identification.)</p> <p>24 Q. Ms. Wallop, do you recognize this</p> <p>25 document?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 262</p> <p>1 says, "The trusted Chinese/English translators</p> <p>2 that Strategic Vision identified in connection</p> <p>3 with its contract with Eastern are Lianchao Han</p> <p>4 and Yvette Wang a/k/a Yanping Wang."</p> <p>5 Were those the only translators that</p> <p>6 Strategic Vision worked with in connection with</p> <p>7 this –</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. – contract?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. So Strategic Vision did research in</p> <p>12 Chinese on other individuals without other</p> <p>13 translators?</p> <p>14 A. No. We had Team 1, as we have</p> <p>15 explained. Team 1 had translators that were not</p> <p>16 mainland Chinese. They were translators in the</p> <p>17 Chinese language, but were of another</p> <p>18 nationality.</p> <p>19 Q. I see.</p> <p>20 A. And that was explicit because Guo did</p> <p>21 not want any Chinese-speaking entities, other</p> <p>22 than Lianchao and Yvette, I guess, working on any</p> <p>23 of this.</p> <p>24 Q. Right. But what I'm driving at here</p> <p>25 is, there were translators on Team 1, correct?</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And what is this?</p> <p>3 A. Well, it looks like our general</p> <p>4 objections, that is Strategic Vision's general</p> <p>5 objections to Eastern Profit and Guo.</p> <p>6 Q. You understand that these are</p> <p>7 interrogatories?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And looking at interrogatory</p> <p>10 number 6?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Strike that.</p> <p>13 Did you aid in the preparation of these</p> <p>14 interrogatory responses?</p> <p>15 A. Well, they're my lawyers, so they asked</p> <p>16 me questions, so I answered –</p> <p>17 Q. Don't say what you said. But you did</p> <p>18 provide them with information? I just don't want</p> <p>19 you to reveal attorney/client communications.</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And you provided them with information?</p> <p>22 A. Yes. I signed it.</p> <p>23 MR. SCHMIDT: You verified it.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Going to interrogatory number 6. It</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 263</p> <p>1 A. Yes. We had to get them through their</p> <p>2 sources, probably about two or three weeks into</p> <p>3 the thing, because they were coming up with stuff</p> <p>4 that they didn't know what it was, and we were –</p> <p>5 sorry – we were turning over information. But</p> <p>6 we had to be able to dissect the information –</p> <p>7 Q. Sure.</p> <p>8 A. – and interpret the information so</p> <p>9 that we could turn it over. Not just a bunch of</p> <p>10 gobbledegook.</p> <p>11 Q. In other words, in order to do research</p> <p>12 on Chinese documents, you kind of need to read –</p> <p>13 be able to read –</p> <p>14 A. Yes. That's right.</p> <p>15 Q. – in Chinese or Mandarin?</p> <p>16 A. That's right.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So Strategic Vision did use</p> <p>18 translators other than Lianchao Han and Yvette</p> <p>19 Wang in connection with this agreement?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, but I have no idea who they were.</p> <p>21 Q. Fair enough.</p> <p>22 MR. SCHMIDT: Just for clarity. I'm</p> <p>23 not sure what paragraph 60 days, sitting</p> <p>24 here today, of the amended answer and</p> <p>25 counterclaim.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 MR. GRENDI: Fair enough.</p> <p>2 Q. Let's do interrogatory number 8. It</p> <p>3 says here, "Because of Mr. Guo's erratic behavior</p> <p>4 and tortious interference, and because the</p> <p>5 engagement had just begun, Strategic Vision was</p> <p>6 unable to prepare any detailed reports in the</p> <p>7 short time before Eastern suddenly terminated the</p> <p>8 contract without notice and commenced this</p> <p>9 action." Do you see that response?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. What was the erratic behavior you're</p> <p>12 talking about in that response?</p> <p>13 A. He's a very erratic, emotional, often</p> <p>14 almost to the degree of being bipolar in his</p> <p>15 responses to many conversations that we had in</p> <p>16 his – in his home. And he was like a squash</p> <p>17 ball; he was like bing, bing, bing, and you</p> <p>18 couldn't keep – you couldn't keep him focused on</p> <p>19 any subject for very long.</p> <p>20 Q. And how did that prevent Strategic</p> <p>21 Vision from preparing detailed reports?</p> <p>22 A. Because we were – we were explaining</p> <p>23 to him the process of how you get the deeper</p> <p>24 volumes of information, versus his trying to just</p> <p>25 get a quick fix. And he – it was hard for him</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 266</p> <p>1 informed completely all along the way, whenever</p> <p>2 we met with him.</p> <p>3 Q. I'm just doing interrogatory number 12,</p> <p>4 page 7 there.</p> <p>5 MR. SCHMIDT: 12?</p> <p>6 MR. GRENDI: Interrogatory number 12,</p> <p>7 yeah.</p> <p>8 Q. Actually, let's do 11. I apologize.</p> <p>9 Who are the computer experts and authorities in</p> <p>10 three countries who provided warnings to</p> <p>11 Strategic Vision concerning computer issues or</p> <p>12 problems with Mr. Guo's –</p> <p>13 A. Well, you could start with The United</p> <p>14 States, one of the countries.</p> <p>15 Q. I don't know if I understand that</p> <p>16 response. I was asking about computer experts.</p> <p>17 You're saying authorities in The United States?</p> <p>18 A. Hello. I don't know what to say. I</p> <p>19 mean, I don't understand the question.</p> <p>20 MR. SCHMIDT: Would it help if you look</p> <p>21 at paragraph 67? Do you have that?</p> <p>22 MR. GRENDI: I don't have it in front</p> <p>23 of me. Actually, I do have it. I mean,</p> <p>24 we're going to mark this as an exhibit, but</p> <p>25 I'm happy to show it to the witness now, to</p>
<p>1 to actually listen and understand how the process</p> <p>2 actually works. He was very erratic.</p> <p>3 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.</p> <p>4 A. He was very erratic.</p> <p>5 Q. Sitting here today, do you know who</p> <p>6 prepared any of the reports that were delivered</p> <p>7 to, or purportedly delivered to Eastern?</p> <p>8 A. There were no reports. You keep</p> <p>9 hammering on reports. There were USB keys, flash</p> <p>10 drives that were – that was our process, which</p> <p>11 he insisted on equally. We had no written</p> <p>12 reports; that he could put the thumb drive into</p> <p>13 his computer and read whatever it was, okay?</p> <p>14 Then he would take it out, presumably, God</p> <p>15 forbid, I hope never downloaded it, take it out</p> <p>16 and put it in his safe. That was the process.</p> <p>17 Q. And of all of the USBs that were</p> <p>18 delivered, who did those deliveries? I just want</p> <p>19 to understand.</p> <p>20 A. Mike.</p> <p>21 Q. So Mike – Mike Waller delivered how</p> <p>22 many USB reports?</p> <p>23 A. I believe there were at least two.</p> <p>24 There could have been three. And we had many</p> <p>25 face-to-face conversations with Guo. So he was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 267</p> <p>1 deal with it that way.</p> <p>2 A. 67.</p> <p>3 Q. So I guess the question is, can you</p> <p>4 identify the computer experts and authorities in</p> <p>5 three countries that you referred to in paragraph</p> <p>6 67?</p> <p>7 A. I can tell you that ASOG was one of</p> <p>8 them –</p> <p>9 Q. Okay.</p> <p>10 A. – in Texas, and the others were in –</p> <p>11 were overseas and part of Team 1. They found</p> <p>12 duplicate attempts to, and not at the same time,</p> <p>13 because Team 1 was already working in this case,</p> <p>14 long before Team 2 ever knew of it.</p> <p>15 So Team 1 was the one that alerted us</p> <p>16 to Guo's failings in – in putting a number of</p> <p>17 things himself online, like Anita. He used the</p> <p>18 same photograph of Anita in one of his – in one</p> <p>19 of his – this thing here (indicating), in one of</p> <p>20 his blogs.</p> <p>21 Q. And I'm just, for the record, what</p> <p>22 document are you referring to? It's obviously</p> <p>23 Exhibit 7, but what page?</p> <p>24 A. It's page – page 4 in doc – in</p> <p>25 Exhibit 7.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 Q. Okay. And you don't know the 2 identities of any of the members of Team 1? 3 A. No, none of them. 4 Q. And interrogatory number 12, just below 5 that. 6 A. Well, that happened both with – with 7 Texas and with the overseas. 8 Q. Okay. So the ASOG team, why did they 9 quit? 10 A. Because it was illegal for them to look 11 into records of RPs. That's why we had one bill, 12 and then we had the little bill. 13 Q. And so the – the ASOG folks didn't 14 quit because they were concerned about security, 15 they were quitting because they couldn't do – 16 legally do the research, is that fair to say? 17 A. Well, there's a lot of potential danger 18 in going to prison, so they decided that, really, 19 they were not going to risk anything that would 20 be – and it says alarming breach of Guo's 21 security, because they also saw some of this 22 stuff that Guo had on his own blog that looked 23 like it was out of our own file. 24 Q. So did you understand that ASOG quit 25 for both of those reasons, because –</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 270</p> <p>1 contractor which performed research for Strategic 2 Vision pursuant to the contract." Do you see 3 that? 4 A. I do. 5 Q. And is it fair to say that there's two 6 teams identified in the response? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And that's Team 1, which we've been 9 talking about was the team that was headed up by 10 Dr. Waller? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And ASOG, which is – we've been 13 talking about in the last few questions? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. But Strategic Vision did include some 16 other contractors in the research, correct? 17 A. Yes, with individual – how should I 18 put it – individual names, not the whole file. 19 Q. Is there any reason you didn't include 20 Fletcher? 21 A. I forgot, actually. I found it in the 22 file. 23 Q. Is there anyone else that you forgot 24 about? 25 A. There is one other in Switzerland, but</p>
<p>1 A. You'd have to ask Mike. Mike had a 2 better handle on that than I did. 3 Q. How did you find out that the ASOG team 4 had quit, did Mike tell you about that? 5 A. Mike told me. 6 Q. You didn't directly communicate with – 7 A. No. 8 Q. – ASOG about that? 9 A. Uh-uh. 10 MR. GRENDI: We're up to 20. 11 (Wallop Exhibit 20, Strategic Vision's 12 Supplemental and Amended Response and 13 Objections to Plaintiff's First Set of 14 Interrogatories, marked for identification.) 15 Q. Ms. Wallop, do you recognize what's 16 been marked as Wallop 20? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Did you contribute to the answers 19 provided in this document? 20 A. I did. 21 Q. And you swore to their – or verified 22 those answers? 23 A. I did. 24 Q. So, looking at interrogatory number 7, 25 the interrogatory asked for, "each independent</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 271</p> <p>1 it was not – I'll have to see what I can find in 2 the way of any kind of paperwork. I'll have 3 to – I'll have to look for it, but I didn't find 4 it going through in the – in the files that I 5 have. 6 (*r) MR. GRENDI: We'll call for the 7 production of documents concerning the 8 entity in Switzerland. 9 Q. Do you know the name of that entity? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Was that an entity that was contracted 12 through – 13 A. It was a dead end. It was a dead end, 14 but I had to – I had to pay for the time that it 15 took to find nothing. 16 Q. And that was an entity that was 17 contacted through your channels? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And you did have to pay them money? 20 A. I believe so. It wasn't – it wasn't 21 very much compared to what the normal charges 22 are. 23 Q. Interrogatory 10, response refers to a 24 John Doe 1, do you see that? 25 A. Yes.</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

	Page 274	Page 276
1 Q. And why is it that John Doe 1 was not 2 disclosed?	1 Q. And just going to paragraph 54? 2 A. Yes.	
3 A. Well, we did disclose it. It is 4 disclosed.	3 Q. When did Mr. Guo allegedly promise that 4 he would adequately fund Eastern?	
5 MR. SCHMIDT: Today, earlier today she 6 told you.	5 A. From the very beginning, the first – 6 excuse me – the first day that we met. It was 7 not as – with Eastern, it was personally.	
7 A. Richard Shewell.	8 Q. And just please explain to me what he 9 said or –	
8 Q. Oh, okay. That's helpful. Thank you.	10 A. He said, I have millions and millions 11 of dollars. I need to fund this, and I will do 12 it from my personal, I guess, wealth.	
9 Is Richard Shewell a cyber security 10 expert? I thought he was just your neighbor?	13 Q. Then it says "adequately fund Eastern." 14 Where did Eastern come from in those 15 conversations?	
11 A. Neighbor. But he spends a lot of time 12 in cyber security.	16 A. I have no idea where the Eastern part 17 came from, because we never knew about Eastern 18 until it turned up in the – in the agreement.	
13 Q. And where does he work?	19 Q. So there was never a time when Mr. Guo 20 promised that he would adequately fund Eastern?	
14 A. He works – he's an independent 15 contractor.	21 A. He didn't adequately say Eastern, per 22 se. If he's the contractor, if he's the person 23 we're contracting with, and he decides he's going 24 to use a shell company to fund us, and he tells 25 us that that funding will be there, we are then	
16 Q. Had he ever provided cyber security 17 advice or services to Strategic Vision before, 18 ever, put it that way?		
19 A. Never charged for it, no.		
20 Q. Okay. How did you – has Strategic 21 Vision ever brought work to Mr. Shewell? I'm 22 sorry, how do you say his name?		
23 A. Shewell.		
24 Q. Shewell.		
25 A. I'm not sure. Would you repeat the		
	Page 275	Page 277
1 question?	1 led to believe that that funding exists; and so, 2 therefore, we didn't know whether it was Eastern 3 or Mickey Mouse Club or whatever.	
2 Q. Sure.	4 Q. Did Mr. Guo ever say to you that he 5 would adequately fund the Eastern Profit 6 Corporation?	
3 A. It's odd.	7 A. Yes, he did. But he didn't say Eastern 8 Profit. He said, this project.	
4 Q. Has Strategic Vision ever utilized 5 Mr. Shewell for any service?	9 Q. So he said, I'll fund this project?	
6 A. No.	10 A. Yes, he did, numerous times.	
7 Q. Have you personally consulted 8 Mr. Shewell for your own computer issues or 9 things like that?	11 Q. But he did not say that he would 12 adequately fund Eastern?	
10 A. Yes.	13 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection, she's answered 14 already.	
11 Q. So that's your experience with 12 Mr. Shewell, it's just on a personal basis –	15 MR. GRENDI: That's okay.	
13 A. Yes.	16 You can answer it.	
14 Q. – not involving Strategic Vision?	17 A. Never heard of Eastern, as I said 18 before, until we got into the agreement.	
15 A. Correct.	19 Q. Paragraph 60?	
16 MR. GRENDI: Let's do Document 21.	20 A. 60?	
17 (Wallop Exhibit 21, Amended Answer and 18 Counterclaims, marked for identification.)	21 Q. 60, 6-0.	
19 Q. Ms. Wallop, do you recognize what's 20 been marked as Wallop 21?	22 A. Okay.	
21 A. Yes, of course, I've seen it.	23 Q. It says, "immediately upon entering 24 into the agreement, Strategic Vision commenced 25 its work under the agreement by and among other	
22 Q. And what is this document?		
23 A. Amended answer and counterclaims to –		
24 between Eastern Profit, Strategic Vision and 25 Miles Kwok, known as Guo Wengui.		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Page 278	Page 280
<p>1 activities recruiting, vetting, engaging and 2 marshaling the initial efforts of the various 3 investigators and analysts in The United States, 4 Europe and the Middle East." Do you see that?</p> <p>5 A. I do.</p> <p>6 Q. Did Eastern ever engage any analysts in 7 the Middle East?</p> <p>8 A. Eastern?</p> <p>9 Q. Oh, I'm sorry, Strategic Vision. Did 10 Strategic Vision ever engage any analysts in the 11 Middle East?</p> <p>12 A. It could have, and I wouldn't know. It 13 could have been done through Team 1.</p> <p>14 Q. Wasn't Team 1 located in Europe?</p> <p>15 A. They were, but they could have – they 16 all have links.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this then. So is 18 it your understanding that Team 1 could farm out 19 its responsibilities to other teams to help it 20 get information?</p> <p>21 A. It was all part of the team.</p> <p>22 Q. Right. What I'm asking is, did Team 1 23 have subteams?</p> <p>24 A. No. They would have had teams that – 25 well, if you call them subteams, they weren't</p>	<p>1 Q. And what vetting was done to select 2 the – well, what vetting was done to select Team 3 1?</p> <p>4 A. Experience.</p> <p>5 Q. So just Dr. Waller's experience with 6 Team 1?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Let's look at paragraph 62. Paragraph 9 62 says that, "Mr. Guo provided to Strategic 10 Vision a list of 92 potential subjects with no 11 prioritization." Do you see that in the middle 12 of the paragraph there?</p> <p>13 A. I do.</p> <p>14 Q. And what list was that that had just 92 15 non-prioritized names?</p> <p>16 A. Exhibit 7.</p> <p>17 Q. So you didn't understand that there was 18 any priority to the 15 names that are in very 19 large font with numbers next to them?</p> <p>20 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. Go ahead.</p> <p>21 A. No, I would not – I would not agree 22 with that. We numbered them as to priority.</p> <p>23 Q. Well, you received the document with 24 the numbers next to – let's just say, if you 25 look at the first page?</p>
<p>1 They were part of the original team. And if they 2 used people in the Middle East or Europe or 3 wherever – you know, the dark web has no 4 geographical location, so it could have been 5 anywhere that they were – they were challenging 6 each other to find what they needed to find.</p> <p>7 That's how it works.</p> <p>8 Q. But you understood Team 1 was located 9 in Europe, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And Team 2 wasn't – well, Team 2 was 12 ASOG, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And Team 2 is located in The United 15 States?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And ASOG wasn't contacted until what 18 time?</p> <p>19 A. I answered that before.</p> <p>20 Q. Was that about February, middle –</p> <p>21 A. The beginning of February, and then 22 beginning to the 5th – I don't know, 5th of 23 February. I have to go back and look.</p> <p>24 Q. And what was the recruiting process?</p> <p>25 A. Mike and I were using our channels.</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. It says Anita Suen –</p> <p>3 A. Yeah.</p> <p>4 Q. – and it has a big 1 next to it?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. It also has the types of reports, does 7 it not?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you not understand that that meant 10 that Anita Suen would be one of the fish?</p> <p>11 A. She was the first fish.</p> <p>12 Q. Right.</p> <p>13 A. She was the most important fish for 14 him.</p> <p>15 Q. Right.</p> <p>16 A. So the first, more or less, 15 in here 17 were the first – were the first 15 fish that he 18 was talking about.</p> <p>19 Q. And there happens to be exactly 15 20 names with a number next to it and the number of 21 reports that were requested, and the types of 22 reports?</p> <p>23 A. Pretty much, yes. You'd have to count 24 the names of the fish, yeah. If you can see – 25 so you can't go by the page number, in other</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 words. You have to go by the subject. See, 2 like, here is the second fish (indicating).</p> <p>3 Q. Right.</p> <p>4 A. So the second fish is on page 11.</p> <p>5 Q. Right.</p> <p>6 A. Okay. So you – you'd have to go – so 7 these 15 fish are in here at least – at least 15 8 fish in here.</p> <p>9 Q. And you understood that those were 10 the – or Strategic Vision understood that those 11 were the 15 fish that the research was supposed 12 to start on, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you still have the virgin laptop 16 that's described in paragraph 63?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. And do you just have a ton of these 19 virgin laptops lying around, because of your – 20 Strategic Vision's work?</p> <p>21 A. On this specific issue, we had two 22 domestic ones, ones here, and then a battery of 23 ones overseas.</p> <p>24 Q. Could Strategic Vision get those 25 laptops if they request – it requested them from</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 282</p> <p>1 Q. Who did you understand was doing that 2 surveillance, you mean the building security or 3 the –</p> <p>4 A. Oh, any number of people could easily 5 do the – the security. Mike's face is very 6 recognizable, people who knew who Mike was; 7 anybody in the Chinese communist party would have 8 made us, so...</p> <p>9 Q. I see. But Strategic Vision did meet 10 with Mr. Guo repeatedly after the contract was 11 signed?</p> <p>12 A. We tried not to.</p> <p>13 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.</p> <p>15 MR. SCHMIDT: It's okay.</p> <p>16 A. We tried not to. We explained to him 17 after about maybe the fourth time that we just 18 couldn't do that anymore, it was just really 19 dangerous for him and dangerous for us.</p> <p>20 Q. Just so we're clear. The last time you 21 personally met with Mr. Guo was – was that 22 January 30th?</p> <p>23 A. That was the 26th. No, it was the 26th 24 of January, because the 30th was when Mike met 25 with Yvette at Union Station.</p>
<p>1 Team 1?</p> <p>2 A. Never. They've been destroyed. They 3 were destroyed on purpose, because we would 4 destroy them every week so that there was no 5 tracing to the IP number.</p> <p>6 Q. So it's Strategic Vision's practice to 7 regularly destroy these laptops for security 8 purposes?</p> <p>9 A. Those particular ones, yes. We did not 10 destroy the two that we had.</p> <p>11 Q. Paragraph 66, it says, "at the time the 12 agreement was negotiated with Mr. Guo, Strategic 13 Vision and Mr. Guo expressly agreed that they 14 would not meet in person again." Do you see 15 that?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Was that maintained or followed?</p> <p>18 A. He kept insisting on wanting to meet 19 us, and we kept trying to explain to him that 20 every time we went in and out, we were being 21 photographed. We didn't like that. We did not 22 want to be identified with his programs.</p> <p>23 Q. And, by photographed, do you mean going 24 in and out of his apartment building?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 283</p> <p>1 Q. Got it.</p> <p>2 A. Or Penn Station.</p> <p>3 Q. When did Mr. Guo summon you to his 4 yacht in Florida?</p> <p>5 A. That must have been sometime – it 6 might have been at the 26th meeting, January 26, 7 2017 – 2018.</p> <p>8 Q. But I take it that yacht meeting never 9 happened?</p> <p>10 A. No. We refused to go. It was not 11 safe.</p> <p>12 Q. Let's go to paragraph 68. It says 13 "Strategic Vision learned that most of the 14 individuals so identified by Eastern have been 15 designated by the U.S. Department of State under 16 the Obama administration as records protected 17 persons, meaning that information concerning 18 their status and activities was not subject to 19 disclosure under any circumstance." Do you see 20 that?</p> <p>21 A. That's correct.</p> <p>22 Q. And did that in any way hinder Team 23 One's efforts, the records protected persons 24 designation?</p> <p>25 A. Actually, the curious thing is here, we</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 did not alert Eastern, we alerted Guo and we</p> <p>2 alerted Lianchao, and – and I believe that</p> <p>3 Yvette was alerted – no, I don't think – Yvette</p> <p>4 may not have been alerted because that was – by</p> <p>5 that time, it was in February.</p> <p>6 Q. It says most of the individuals. Is</p> <p>7 that most of the fish?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Is it fair to say that ASOG only looked</p> <p>10 into five individuals on the list?</p> <p>11 A. I don't know how many they looked into.</p> <p>12 Again, you would have to ask Mike. They could</p> <p>13 have looked into all of them. I honestly don't</p> <p>14 remember.</p> <p>15 Q. Let's look at paragraph 70.</p> <p>16 A. 70?</p> <p>17 Q. 7-0, yeah.</p> <p>18 A. Okay.</p> <p>19 Q. It says, "Strategic Vision verbally</p> <p>20 reported to Mr. Guo and Eastern that Strategic</p> <p>21 Vision could not within the limits of U.S. law</p> <p>22 obtain the information sought by Eastern on its</p> <p>23 initial list of subjects and that Strategic</p> <p>24 Vision's work would be refocused upon others on</p> <p>25 Eastern's list."</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 286</p> <p>1 you'll be in bigger trouble than we will.</p> <p>2 They'll send you back to China.</p> <p>3 Q. Going to paragraph 71. It says:</p> <p>4 "In the face of Eastern's insistence,</p> <p>5 however, Strategic Vision hand-delivered its raw</p> <p>6 data to Mr. Guo and Eastern on January 26, 2018,</p> <p>7 with the caveat that it would be of no use to</p> <p>8 Eastern until Strategic Vision had an opportunity</p> <p>9 to analyze it and produce a formal report"?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, that's correct. And formal report</p> <p>11 would have meant, not just sort of a – a file</p> <p>12 that had been encrypted, but it also needed to</p> <p>13 have Chinese translation, as I understood it, and</p> <p>14 also needed to have – and also needed – there</p> <p>15 are certain lines of code.</p> <p>16 Look, I'm not a code expert, but there</p> <p>17 are lines of code that people have to go through</p> <p>18 and actually sort of translate into a language,</p> <p>19 and he kept – and it takes time to actually</p> <p>20 translate that code. You can't just stick it</p> <p>21 into a machine and expect it to happen.</p> <p>22 So we gave him the raw code, I believe,</p> <p>23 on both the 26th and the 31st, or the 31st of</p> <p>24 January, those two different USB keys.</p> <p>25 Q. Then it says, "until Strategic Vision</p>	
<p>1 Do you remember when you told</p> <p>2 Mr. Guo –</p> <p>3 A. That must have –</p> <p>4 Q. – this information?</p> <p>5 A. That must have been through Lianchao.</p> <p>6 And you keep using the word Eastern. It would</p> <p>7 have been –</p> <p>8 Q. I was just reading the complaint.</p> <p>9 A. Yeah, but it's – it's not Eastern.</p> <p>10 It's Guo. And I think it would have been – that</p> <p>11 would have been at the – that would have been at</p> <p>12 the – at the January 26th lunch.</p> <p>13 Q. It says, "As a result, Mr. Guo became</p> <p>14 enraged"?</p> <p>15 A. Yes. He was – I thought he was going</p> <p>16 to jump on the table.</p> <p>17 Q. "And irrationally insisted that</p> <p>18 Strategic Vision immediately deliver its work</p> <p>19 product"?</p> <p>20 A. That's correct. And continue to dive</p> <p>21 into illegal areas. And we said, we can't do</p> <p>22 that. That's when Mike apologized and said,</p> <p>23 we're really sorry, but, you know, there are</p> <p>24 certain things we can do, and we'll get, but</p> <p>25 there are other things we can't do, and we –</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 287</p> <p>1 had an opportunity to analyze it and produce a</p> <p>2 formal report." How would that work?</p> <p>3 A. Well, we needed to be able to take that</p> <p>4 USB key back to the Team 1 to have them go</p> <p>5 through and – and configure however it was</p> <p>6 supposed to be done. And that was not – that</p> <p>7 was not my area, that was Mike's area, and Mike</p> <p>8 can explain that really succinctly to you.</p> <p>9 Q. Paragraph 74. There's a reference to a</p> <p>10 wire reversal?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. How did that attempted wire reversal</p> <p>13 come to your attention?</p> <p>14 A. That was wild. I got a call, like,</p> <p>15 about the – I have to see, I think it was around</p> <p>16 the 12th or the 16th or something like that of</p> <p>17 January 2018, and – from Citibank wire</p> <p>18 department saying that there'd been a request to</p> <p>19 have 499,000 and some change reversed back to the</p> <p>20 sender. And I said, well, what are you talking</p> <p>21 about? She said, well, we've gotten a request.</p> <p>22 So then I called one of my private</p> <p>23 bankers at Citibank and I said, can this be done?</p> <p>24 I've never heard of such a thing. He said,</p> <p>25 absolutely not, it can never be done. Once the</p>	Page 289

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 money lands in the account, it's yours. I said,</p> <p>2 it's not mine. I wish. It belongs to the</p> <p>3 company, and so, therefore, we've already started</p> <p>4 wiring stuff out. So that was going to be a huge</p> <p>5 mess. And we got absolutely zero notification</p> <p>6 from Guo or Eastern, or whatever they're calling</p> <p>7 themselves.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you know if that attempted wire</p> <p>9 reversal was initiated before or after the</p> <p>10 research agreement was signed?</p> <p>11 A. I have no idea. I think it was after.</p> <p>12 Q. But sitting here today, you don't</p> <p>13 know –</p> <p>14 A. It was after. I think it was after.</p> <p>15 Q. Why do you think it was after?</p> <p>16 A. Because it was the following week –</p> <p>17 we'd signed the thing on the 6th, and then I</p> <p>18 think it was the following week that Citibank</p> <p>19 called me. So, as I said, it was probably like</p> <p>20 the 12th or something like that, I – I don't</p> <p>21 remember. I've got some note somewhere that says</p> <p>22 when they called.</p> <p>23 Q. I just have a few more questions, and</p> <p>24 then I'll turn it over to Ms. Teske.</p> <p>25 Is Strategic Vision a licensed private</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 290</p> <p>1 not registered?</p> <p>2 A. Both.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And are you a licensed real</p> <p>4 estate broker in the state of Virginia?</p> <p>5 A. I have been, in the District of</p> <p>6 Columbia, but I no longer maintain the license,</p> <p>7 but I have been.</p> <p>8 Q. When did you cease to have the license?</p> <p>9 A. Oh, gosh. I got it for commercial real</p> <p>10 estate reasons, so that I could sort of wade</p> <p>11 through a lease, business office leases; so I</p> <p>12 would say back in the '80s.</p> <p>13 Q. And you never had a license in Virginia</p> <p>14 to be a real estate agent or broker?</p> <p>15 A. No, but I – if you're trying to get to</p> <p>16 the Evermay thing, that was a private transaction</p> <p>17 that would have taken place.</p> <p>18 MR. SCHMIDT: Just answer the question.</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 MR. GRENDI: Go ahead, Ms. Teske. I'm</p> <p>21 all set for now. Thank you very much for</p> <p>22 answering my questions today.</p> <p>23 EXAMINATION BY</p> <p>24 MS. TESKE:</p> <p>25 Q. Okay, Ms. Wallop, are you ready to</p>
<p>1 investigator in any state?</p> <p>2 A. Never been. Never. We never portrayed</p> <p>3 ourselves as that.</p> <p>4 Q. And are you a licensed – personally, a</p> <p>5 licensed private investigator in any state?</p> <p>6 A. I never portrayed myself as that.</p> <p>7 Q. And what about Dr. Waller, do you know</p> <p>8 if he has a license for being a private</p> <p>9 investigator?</p> <p>10 A. We never portrayed ourselves as that,</p> <p>11 or himself as that.</p> <p>12 Q. Has Strategic Vision ever registered as</p> <p>13 a foreign agent under the Foreign Agent</p> <p>14 Registration Act?</p> <p>15 A. No, not recently, no.</p> <p>16 Q. Has it previously?</p> <p>17 A. No. I think other – other – other</p> <p>18 company names in the past, yes, but not – not</p> <p>19 Strategic Vision.</p> <p>20 Q. What about you personally, have you</p> <p>21 ever registered as a foreign agent?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. And do you know if Dr. Waller has?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. You don't know, or you know that he is</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 291</p> <p>1 continue?</p> <p>2 A. I am. Not for long, but I will.</p> <p>3 MR. SCHMIDT: You don't need a break</p> <p>4 right now?</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: No. I just need to tell</p> <p>6 somebody where I am.</p> <p>7 MS. TESKE: And on the record, without</p> <p>8 waiving any entitlement to render or issue a</p> <p>9 notice of deposition, Ms. Wallop, I'm going</p> <p>10 to do my best to wrap it up here today.</p> <p>11 MR. SCHMIDT: I would suggest that,</p> <p>12 because we're going to probably oppose any</p> <p>13 such notice. But go ahead.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Go ahead.</p> <p>15 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Wallop. I'm Erin</p> <p>16 Teske. I represent Mr. Kwok in this action. I</p> <p>17 just have a few follow-up questions. I'll do my</p> <p>18 best not to be redundant. If you don't</p> <p>19 understand any question I'm asking, please feel</p> <p>20 free to let me know and I'll try to rephrase for</p> <p>21 you.</p> <p>22 I want to go back to your background a</p> <p>23 little bit. You had said, I believe – and,</p> <p>24 again, I'm trying to remember from earlier today,</p> <p>25 so if I mischaracterize your testimony or your</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 beliefs at any point, please, again, tell me so</p> <p>2 that that can be clear for the record.</p> <p>3 I think you had said that, for</p> <p>4 Strategic, you have – about 15 to 20 clients</p> <p>5 have engaged Strategic to do investigative</p> <p>6 research for them, is that accurate?</p> <p>7 A. No, I did not say that. What I said</p> <p>8 was that I have had clients that have engaged us</p> <p>9 to do specific advisory work. It's not</p> <p>10 investigative work. That's very different.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And I think, in connection with</p> <p>12 that advisory work and those engagements, you</p> <p>13 said that you tend to have written contracts with</p> <p>14 those clients?</p> <p>15 A. Generally, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Have any of those contracts been with</p> <p>17 corporations?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 Q. Prior to your experience at – prior to</p> <p>20 your experience at Strategic, were you in the</p> <p>21 business of providing services for individuals or</p> <p>22 corporations?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And how were you in that business?</p> <p>25 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. But go ahead.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 294</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And were any of those clients</p> <p>3 corporations?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. In any of those contracts, did you ever</p> <p>6 obtain a personal guarantee?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. You've said that you met with Mr. Guo</p> <p>9 in person on three occasions. I believe we've</p> <p>10 identified – just let me finish my question,</p> <p>11 because I can see already you're skeptical as to</p> <p>12 my question already.</p> <p>13 But you've identified three occasions</p> <p>14 that you've met with him in person prior to the</p> <p>15 signing of the contract. Is that – are there</p> <p>16 any other occasions on which you met with Mr. Guo</p> <p>17 face-to-face prior to the signing of the</p> <p>18 contract?</p> <p>19 A. I don't believe so. They were always</p> <p>20 in his apartment, and they were always there.</p> <p>21 Then at one point he actually went downstairs,</p> <p>22 and we had lunch at Cipriani at a little table,</p> <p>23 so I don't know if you'd call that outside of the</p> <p>24 apartment, but that was still in the</p> <p>25 Sherry-Netherlands.</p>	
<p>1 A. I really don't know how to answer that.</p> <p>2 I worked with a group in London called Bell</p> <p>3 Pottinger, and then I also, prior to that, I had</p> <p>4 another firm of my own called Corporate</p> <p>5 Consulting, and – so that was many, many years</p> <p>6 ago.</p> <p>7 Q. And in connection with your work at</p> <p>8 Bell Pottinger, were you responsible for</p> <p>9 negotiating or entering into contracts, service</p> <p>10 contracts?</p> <p>11 A. I wouldn't call it service. They're</p> <p>12 client contracts. It would be like a law firm</p> <p>13 having a client come in and say, I'd like to have</p> <p>14 you represent us.</p> <p>15 Q. And were you responsible for</p> <p>16 negotiating and entering into those types of</p> <p>17 contracts?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, in some cases, um-hum.</p> <p>19 Q. And were any of those contracts with</p> <p>20 corporations?</p> <p>21 A. They were with clients of the firms,</p> <p>22 yes.</p> <p>23 Q. How about your work as – for Corporate</p> <p>24 Consulting, were you responsible for negotiating</p> <p>25 and entering into contracts with clients?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 295</p> <p>1 So, no, I mean, the best of my ability,</p> <p>2 I believe it's three. It could have been four,</p> <p>3 but I think it was three, only three.</p> <p>4 Q. How about on the telephone, did you</p> <p>5 ever talk to Mr. Guo on the telephone?</p> <p>6 A. Not to my knowledge.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you ever communicate with Mr. Guo</p> <p>8 via text message?</p> <p>9 A. Not to my knowledge. It was always</p> <p>10 done through Yvette.</p> <p>11 Q. Other than those three, possibly four</p> <p>12 meetings with Mr. Guo face-to-face, did you have</p> <p>13 any communication with Mr. Guo at all prior to</p> <p>14 the signing of the contract?</p> <p>15 A. Well, there would have been either by</p> <p>16 email, which we never did, because I didn't even</p> <p>17 know what his email address was. We had a – he</p> <p>18 gave me his Signal number. I don't believe I</p> <p>19 ever had any – any communiquÈ with him because,</p> <p>20 again, we were only going through Lianchao or</p> <p>21 Yvette. That's the way he wanted it and that's</p> <p>22 the way we did it.</p> <p>23 Q. You've talked about this agreement –</p> <p>24 A. Um-hum.</p> <p>25 Q. – and the secrecy that it was clouded</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 297</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

<p>1 in for the protection of the parties, is that a 2 fair statement?</p> <p>3 A. That's a fair statement.</p> <p>4 Q. And you, being Strategic, took some 5 steps to maintain the identity of the parties, to 6 keep the identity of the parties secret, is that 7 fair?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. And is it – is it one of Strategic's 10 business goals to protect the identities of its 11 clients, generally speaking?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, because it's generally a private 13 agreement or an arrangement between my clients 14 and myself.</p> <p>15 Q. And was this agreement different in 16 that regard in any way from your other clients; 17 was there a heightened degree of security or a 18 sense of keeping things – keeping the names of 19 the parties confidential?</p> <p>20 A. Absolutely –</p> <p>21 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. But go ahead.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Sorry.</p> <p>23 MR. SCHMIDT: No, that's fine.</p> <p>24 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>25 Q. When you learned that Eastern would be</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 298</p> <p>1 A. He represented – Guo represented that 2 he was, in fact, the person that was going to 3 fund this. So I presumed, when Yvette's sitting 4 next to me and having conversations with him, 5 along with – along with all of the conversations 6 that we'd had in his apartment, that obviously 7 it's Guo with whom we are dealing. So Guo equals 8 Eastern, one would presume. Just saying.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you do any independent research to 10 determine whether or not that was – that Guo 11 equaled Eastern?</p> <p>12 A. It's – it's so redundant, but, no, we 13 didn't, because he said he was going to fund it, 14 whatever it was, and we did not know the name of 15 the entity that he was going to use.</p> <p>16 Q. When did he first tell you that it 17 would be an entity and not himself personally 18 that would be the counterparty to the contract?</p> <p>19 A. Because he said that he had accounts 20 everywhere and that it would probably be through 21 the U.K. office of William Wu or William Yu, or 22 whatever his name was, that was the – his 23 financial person.</p> <p>24 Q. And when did you find that out; when 25 was the first time?</p>
<p>1 signing the contract on January 6th, the date 2 that you and Yvette executed the contract, did 3 you speak to Mr. Guo at any point thereafter, 4 prior to signing the contract but after learning 5 that Eastern would be the signatory?</p> <p>6 A. Gosh, I – well, let me see. So she 7 signed it on the – the 6th of January. Then we 8 saw him subsequently during January. So I never 9 had any direct contact with him, it was only 10 through Yvette or Lianchao. So I'm not sure if 11 that answers your question.</p> <p>12 Q. After finding out that Eastern would be 13 the counterparty to the contract –</p> <p>14 A. Right.</p> <p>15 Q. – that's the subject of this 16 litigation, did you talk to Mr. Guo about also 17 signing the contract?</p> <p>18 A. He represented Eastern, and in all of 19 our conversations he was the only party with whom 20 I negotiated, and Mike negotiated the discussion 21 and the contract.</p> <p>22 Q. So after finding out that Eastern, not 23 Mr. Guo, would be the counterparty to the 24 contract, did you talk to Mr. Guo about also 25 signing the contract?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 299</p> <p>1 A. When did I find it out? I mean, we 2 were discussing the payment process and how that 3 would work after looking at the – we had the 4 vision paper, we had the – the Word 5 presentation, we had done another sort of vision 6 paper; all of these were in different discussions 7 with him moving forward.</p> <p>8 He then said, when we got to sort of 9 the third or fourth discussion of all of this, 10 that he wanted to fund it, and he explained that 11 he paid \$250 million for that, and that he wanted 12 very much to make sure that, if this was done, 13 that we would have a long-term contract for at 14 least three years, and for possibly up to 4,000, 15 quote, fish, and he said that he would fund it, 16 so we presumed he would fund it. We didn't know 17 whether he was Eastern or, as I say, the Mickey 18 Mouse Club. We didn't know. He never told us 19 who it was going to be.</p> <p>20 Q. But prior to signing the contract –</p> <p>21 A. Right.</p> <p>22 Q. – you never asked Mr. Guo to sign it 23 himself?</p> <p>24 A. No, because he wouldn't.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you ever ask him?</p>

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

	Page 302	Page 304
1 A. I think we did. 2 Q. You did? 3 A. I think Mike did. 4 Q. When did you ask him? 5 A. In the conversations, will you sign the 6 – who will be signing the contract? He said, 7 Yvette will be signing the contract. And then, 8 when Lianchao was there, Lianchao will sign the 9 contract. And then there was a conversation 10 about whether Lianchao should do it because he's 11 with an NGO. And then, long story short, he 12 said, no, well, maybe we'll have Yvette sign it. 13 That was the last I heard of that. 14 Q. Did you ask Mr. Guo to sign it? 15 A. Did I personally? Why – why would I? 16 Q. I'm having – I feel like I've asked 17 the same question a couple of times and I keep – 18 A. You have, and so I'm getting very 19 frustrated with the answer. 20 Q. I need a yes or no. Did you – yes or 21 no question. Did you ask Mr. Guo – 22 A. I don't remember. 23 Q. – to sign the contract? 24 A. I don't remember. I – 25 Q. Did you hear –	1 Q. How long between the time that you 2 found out, on January 6th, that Mr. Guo was not 3 personally signing the contract and the time of 4 you physically signing the contract? 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. But go ahead. 6 A. I don't know. 7 Q. Ballpark it for me. More than an hour? 8 A. I don't know. It never came up. 9 Q. You learned on January 6th for the 10 first time – 11 A. I learned on January 6th that Yvette 12 was signing it. 13 MR. SCHMIDT: Let her finish. 14 A. I'm going to answer your question. 15 MS. TESKE: I haven't finished the 16 question yet, Joe. 17 MR. SCHMIDT: No, no. Let her speak. 18 MS. TESKE: I'd like to ask my 19 question. There's no question pending. I 20 don't know how she's speaking. I just want 21 to finish asking my question. 22 MR. SCHMIDT: She was going back to 23 your original question. Let her speak. Let 24 her finish. Go ahead. 25 A. Yvette sat on the sofa, she signed the	
	Page 303	Page 305
1 A. – know we discussed it. 2 Q. Did you hear Dr. Waller ask Mr. Guo to 3 sign the contract? 4 A. I don't remember. I don't think so. 5 But he said he was going to fund it, so we 6 presumed he was going to sign it. There was 7 nobody else in the room. 8 MR. SCHMIDT: That's fine. There's no 9 question pending at this point. 10 Q. And when you found out he wasn't going 11 to sign it, how long between finding out he 12 personally wasn't going to be signing it and you 13 signing the contract? 14 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. But go ahead. 15 A. That's the wildest question all day. 16 Q. Okay, but it just requires an answer 17 nonetheless. 18 A. It has absolutely no correlation with 19 the reality. 20 Q. Your opinion on the correlation between 21 my question and this litigation is completely 22 irrelevant. You're sitting there as the 23 deponent. I've asked you a question. Please 24 answer my question. 25 A. Answer – ask me again then.	1 contract, she had Guo on the phone, to the best 2 of my knowledge; it certainly sounded like him, 3 she was talking to him, so it sounded like his 4 voice on the other end of the phone. Maybe it's 5 my imagination. Maybe she had somebody else say, 6 let's just go ahead and sign the contract. I 7 don't know. 8 Q. Are you done? Is that the end of your 9 answer? 10 A. When you're polite, yes, that's the end 11 of my answer, my dear. 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Just wait till – wait 13 till. 14 THE WITNESS: It's a long day. 15 MR. SCHMIDT: Wait for the next 16 question. 17 THE WITNESS: You haven't been sitting 18 in this chair. 19 MR. SCHMIDT: Wait until the question. 20 There's no question. 21 THE WITNESS: I'm waiting for it. 22 MR. SCHMIDT: Go. 23 Q. You found out on January 6th that 24 Eastern would be signing the contract. You've 25 testified that you believe it was your	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

	Page 306	Page 308
1	understanding at that time that Yvette was on the	1 DECLARATION
2	telephone with Mr. Guo.	2
3	Did you ask Yvette to ask Mr. Guo if he	3 I hereby certify that having been first
4	would personally sign the contract?	4 duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the
5	A. No.	5 above testimony.
6	Q. And how long was that meeting at which	6
7	you found out that Eastern would be signing, and	7 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing
8	you and Yvette signed the contract?	8 transcript is a true and correct transcript of
9	A. I have no idea. It was during that	9 the testimony given by me at the time and place
10	moment when she had the paperwork and we made the	10 specified hereinbefore.
11	changes, we agreed to the changes, she signed,	11
12	and then I signed, and it had Eastern on the top.	12
13	That's all I know.	13
14	Q. All in all, two hours?	14 _____ FRENCH WALLOP
15	A. Maybe. Let's call it two hours, to	15
16	make you happy.	16
17	Q. No, not – I want the truth. No answer	17
18	is going to make me happy.	18 Subscribed and sworn to before me
19	A. Two hours is fine with me.	19
20	Q. I just want the truth.	20 this ____ day of _____ 20___. 21
21	A. Two hours is fine.	22
22	Q. Two hours?	23 _____ 24 NOTARY PUBLIC
23	A. Sure.	25
24	Q. And your understanding is that this	
25	contract was the culmination of the conversations	
	Page 307	Page 309
1	that you had had with Mr. Guo about the	1 ERRATA SHEET
2	investigative services that Strategic was going	2
3	to provide?	3 NAME OF CASE: EASTERN PROFIT v STRATEGIC
4	A. He hired us, not Yvette.	4 DATE OF DEPOSITION: Tuesday, February 12, 2019
5	Q. So this contract was the culmination of	5 NAME OF WITNESS: FRENCH WALLOP
6	those conversations?	6 PAGE LINE FROM TO
7	A. Yes.	7
8	MS. TESKE: Okay. I have nothing	8 _____ 9 _____
9	further.	10 _____ 11 _____
10	MR. SCHMIDT: I have no questions.	12 _____ 13 _____
11	Thank you.	14 _____ 15 _____
12	THE WITNESS: Cheers.	16 _____ 17 _____
13	MR. SCHMIDT: Let him go off the	18 _____ 19 _____
14	record.	20 _____ 21 _____
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes	22 _____ 23 _____
16	today's deposition. The time is 5:57.	24 _____ 25 _____
17		
18	(Whereupon, the within proceedings	
19	concluded at 5:57p.m., on the	
20	12th day of February, 2019.)	
21	*	
22	*	
23	*	
24	*	
25	*	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF NEW YORK) 4) ss. 5 COUNTY OF NEW YORK) 6 7 I, ROBERTA CAIOLA, a Shorthand Reporter 8 and Notary Public within and for the State of New 9 York, do hereby certify: 10 That FRENCH WALLOP, the witness whose 11 deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly 12 sworn by me and that such deposition is a true 13 record of the testimony given by such witness. 14 I further certify that I am not related 15 to any of the parties to this action by blood or 16 marriage and that I am in no way interested in 17 the outcome of this matter. 18 In witness whereof, I have hereunto set 19 my hand on this date, February 21, 2019. 20 21 22 _____ 23 ROBERTA CAIOLA 24 25	Page 310

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: \$10,000..15

	\$5,000	15,19	100	162:10
\$	254:11	207:13	10th	47:4
	255:23	208:12		
\$10,000	256:11	212:3	11	226:10,
119:13	\$50 48:1	213:4,15	11	268:8
\$100,000		214:6		282:4
254:11	*	226:24	111	255:4
\$105,000		232:11		111,000
255:1	*r 118:11	239:9,16		255:2,16
\$111,700	253:22	240:5		
255:5	273:6	241:3	11:09	63:13
\$2,000		246:11	11:21	63:16
158:19	0	247:17	11:29	71:3
\$2,380,000	000017 27:5	251:23		
94:4		252:6	11:35	71:6
\$2,500	1	253:3,16,	12	7:6
138:11		20 264:14,		49:14
\$2,805,000	1 7:2 24:4,	15,25		228:20,21
93:9 97:9	5 52:15,21	269:11,13,		268:3,5,6
\$2.8 97:5	83:8,9,13,	15 270:2		270:4
\$250 84:5	15,16,20	272:8	12/28	188:22
161:5	84:10	273:24	12/29	188:20
185:18	87:16,17	274:1		
217:19	94:3 95:8	278:13,14,	12:57	136:9
301:11	96:16	18,22		
\$250,000	107:19	279:8	12th	289:16
247:12	116:12,13	280:3,6		290:20
\$3 68:16	118:24	281:4		307:20
71:25	150:1,3	283:1		
72:21	154:3	289:4	13	241:5,6
\$3-1/2 71:21	177:17,19	10 47:25	14	247:24,
	179:1,2,7,	63:11		25
	10,11	186:14,17	15	23:5
	183:5	209:6		82:12,14
\$300,000	205:4,5,7,	273:23		
202:22	23,24	10,000		101:19
247:17	206:1,7,	119:19,20		126:1
				127:23
				128:5

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: 1557..228

129:11	203:3	20	10:11	240:25
147:18	212:23		11:17 23:6	241:7
159:8,13	256:25		47:25	243:9,16,
160:12	257:1,2		103:14,16	23 244:19
187:19,20	18 146:21,		271:10,11,	259:10
188:17,24,	22 151:10		16 294:4	261:16
25 194:21,	260:9,10,		308:20	262:3,6,7
25 195:19	11,12	20,000	119:4	285:7
196:15				288:6
203:18,24	19 146:21	200	116:18	289:17
209:21,23	200:10	2000	10:16	2019 7:6
210:15	262:19,20	2005	10:17,	146:20
212:9,13	198 244:12	19	16:17	307:20
251:21,22	1990		23:7,9	203 187:7
252:2	157:14,15	2017	32:4	206 192:7
257:11,15			37:5 42:8	
280:18	1:01 136:12		47:5 51:25	208 194:24
281:16,17,	1:30 161:15		52:1 54:14	195:17
19 282:7,	1:42 167:13		85:1 108:8	20th 47:4
11 294:4			126:4	240:15
1557 9:3	1st 232:3		151:10	21 258:16
15th 108:8		2	285:7	261:16
182:1			2018 90:8	262:7
223:23	2 27:3,4		107:19	275:16,17,
240:15	95:8 96:16		114:25	20
249:11	148:23		120:12,13	
16 253:25	154:3		123:13	211 196:8
254:1	158:14		124:25	213 197:18
	163:18		125:7,11,	
16th 171:6,	232:12		12 151:17	22209 9:4
8 182:2	248:14		171:17	223 202:24
207:23	269:14		172:25	224 205:14
208:2	279:11,14		175:4	
223:22,23			193:3	225 229:6
244:11	2,000 158:13		212:23	227 207:3
289:16	2.089.000		223:17	209:7
	158:14		224:9	
17 27:8				228 210:11

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: 22nd..5,412.50

22nd	9:3	267	252:23	156:25	3:25	209:2
23	241:7	26th	140:20	196:9	3:32	209:5
230	211:16	164:7	30	26:11	3rd	114:24
		171:6		47:25		225:15
234	212:22	215:15, 16,		127:21		
235	214:18	20 217:22		146:20		
238	215:17	218:3, 18		185:3		4
		220:11		243:9, 15,	4	41:11, 15,
23rd	123:7,	222:1, 4		23		20 157:14
13	125:7,	226:1	30(b) (6)			269:24
11	171:14,	231:21		24:15	4,000	196:25
17	239:6	284:23		78:23		197:3
243:6		285:6	30,000-foot			301:14
246:4		287:12		22:5	40-year	
24	227:15	288:23				28:13
24/7	216:13	278	252:23	300,000	41	248:4
242	261:3, 7	28	188:21	116:14	45	206:11
243	260:21	28th	187:16	30th 151:17	499,000	
	261:1, 4, 7		189:10	190:16, 17		289:19
244	261:1, 4	29	126:4	227:6	4:41	256:21
25	117:2	29th		240:12	4:48	256:24
250	116:19,		189:10, 13	243:5	4th	202:15
20			190:4	244:4, 6		
			202:13	284:22, 24		
255	229:7,	2:30	167:17	31st 288:23		
12		2nd	114:24			5
257	229:20		192:21	33 211:23		
259	230:2		193:3, 4	217:10		
25th			202:13	387 76:17	5	65:16, 17,
						19 137:20
				82:7 86:20		139:12
						150:4
				87:5		157:21
				388 89:7		
26	223:17		3			
				394 93:3	5,000	254:23
	224:9	3 25:18				
	244:19	30:12, 13	395 98:5		5,412.50	
	285:6	43:6	398 99:14			254:24
	288:6					

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: 50..absolutely

50	117:2,9	62	280:8,9	260:19	198:14
50,000	118:2	63	282:16	268:4	201:23
50/50	114:4,	66	283:11	269:23,25	207:6,23
10	115:10	67	268:21	271:24	208:1
501(c)(3)			269:2,6	286:17	9
50:3,4		68	285:12	70	286:15,
54	276:1	6th	111:7	16	126:2,3
5:57	307:16		172:1	71	161:12
5:57 p.m.			175:10	72	167:21,22,
307:19			191:8	73	25 168:2
5th	190:19		194:16,17	260:19,	90 196:14,
194:15			198:2	22	20 230:4
196:1			199:2	74	92 127:20
198:12			202:4,7	289:9	280:10,14
201:14,20			244:11		9:58 7:14
202:7			290:17		9th 181:25
225:14			299:1,7	8	204:14
279:22			304:2,9,11		205:7
			305:23		
6		7			A
6	73:19	7	84:8,9,13		a/k/a 264:4
86:20	90:8		85:8 96:13	80-gigabyte	ability
124:25			151:22	146:24	95:17
140:25			152:15	227:5,19,	297:1
141:4			153:6	24 228:7	abroad
150:4			156:12	80s 292:12	252:10
172:24			174:4,6	83 63:21	absolute
175:4			175:4	84 63:21,22	130:7
263:10,25			185:9	8th 174:24	199:22
6-0	277:21		195:24	177:6,8	absolutely
60	265:23		207:9,10	180:10	90:20
277:19,20,			216:7	181:25	199:5
21			223:7	183:1,16	203:4,13
			235:5		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: absurd..advised

289:25	accommodate	266:9	additionally
290:5	203:1	293:16	232:21
298:20, 24	accommodation	actions	address 9:6, 7, 13 55:25
303:18	244:15	206:8	
absurd	account	active 32:12	157:23
208:19	54:24	activities	158:15
Abu 103:24	56:3, 20	34:23	240:1
104:2, 3	168:16, 19	51:12 92:8	241:15, 16, 19, 24
ACA 193:12, 23	169:9	278:1	297:17
	193:15, 16, 22	285:18	
academia	202:15		addressed
	205:19	activity	26:23
40:22	290:1	148:1	addresses
accept 93:24	accounting	actual 96:17	217:16
121:7	138:15	191:8	221:11
225:1	accounts	230:12	
accepted	300:19	adamant	adequately
194:21	accurate	97:21	276:4, 13, 20, 21
access 21:1, 20 78:20	159:21, 22	145:25	277:5, 12
90:10	294:6	200:3	
140:9	accused 14:9	adjust	
149:5	acquire 45:2	14:9	217:11
250:15, 21, 23	acquiring 44:17	60:16, 20	232:7
accessed	acronym	add 211:23	administration
80:12	83:17	adding 94:14	285:16
148:7	232:15	140:14	administration
177:5	acronyms	196:25	s 28:15
accessible	234:20	addition	adopt 157:11
235:14	Act 291:14	124:10	adoptive
accessing	acting	additional	157:3, 11
54:23	199:10	116:21	Advanced
55:1, 7	action 85:12	123:4	10:7
78:8		209:22	
		210:14	advice
		223:9	274:17
			advised

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: advisory..airlines

192:13	191:2, 7	126:3, 22,	290:10
advisory	193:4, 7	25 128:2,	297:23
11:8	194:13, 14	18 130:11,	298:13, 15
17:11, 19,	197:5	21, 24	agreements
20, 21	198:16	131:6	61:11, 17
23:14	200:21	136:15	102:13
294:9, 12	223:10	137:7, 13	112:6, 22,
	244:9	139:7	23 137:24
affairs	252:17	141:18	141:15
11:18	283:13	149:25	142:10
afternoon	306:11	155:11	163:4
167:18	agreement	161:12	
293:15	61:15, 19	163:10	ahead 22:12,
age	73:11	167:1	13, 16
258:16	81:16	168:13	29:16, 21
agencies	82:19 83:5	169:15, 16	71:19 78:4
28:16	85:12	170:7	83:6 97:11
agency	90:25	171:3	106:13
234:23	96:17	187:16	137:8
agent	101:3	188:9, 14	155:16
9:11	102:18, 22	189:3, 13	166:7
136:17	103:21	190:2, 13	188:25
241:18, 22	107:18	191:14	190:13
242:5	108:6	194:18	212:11
291:13, 21	110:15	196:9	255:15
292:14	111:6, 11,	197:13	280:20
aggressive	14, 18	199:20	292:20
224:13	112:20, 25	200:16	293:13, 14
agree	113:12, 22	202:3, 11	294:25
57:9	72:22	204:7	298:21
	114:1, 3, 6,	208:14	303:14
	135:23	10, 11, 14,	304:5, 24
	191:23	17, 18	305:6
	280:21	232:18	
agreed	117:6, 15	233:21	
101:1	119:24	247:7	aid 86:22
169:14	122:23	265:19	87:9
188:25	123:25	276:18	263:13
189:15	124:24	277:18, 24,	airlines
	125:7	25 283:12	148:2

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: akin..appointments

akin	147:20 230:21	America	33:1	53:1 74:17,23	76:10 84:2 143:16
alarming	270:20	American	44:8 48:16 148:1	79:22 84:10	181:20,21, 22 185:14
alert	286:1		255:19	156:25 157:23	283:24 296:20,24
alerted		amount		269:17,18 281:2,10	300:6 apologize
	269:15 286:1,2,3, 4		116:13,21, 22 117:5 119:18 122:12	26:25	41:19 236:9
alive	196:3		171:2	292:22	268:8
alleged			231:14	answers	apologized
	68:23 69:19		255:13	271:18,22 299:11	219:23 287:22
	201:19	amounts	101:10	anti-communist	apologizing
allegedly			211:5	44:15	220:2,4
	69:9 71:20,24	analogy		60:17 90:20 92:22	apology 220:23,24
	276:3		129:10		
		analysis			apparent
Allied			76:20	anti-putin	251:3
	254:1,8		77:17	91:16	
allocate			79:10,12	anti-u.s.	apparently
	115:6		154:17	235:18	33:8,9 61:12
allowed	8:23		251:24	anticipated	168:10
	98:19	analysts		50:11	174:3
	135:19		278:3,6,10	antique	245:1
	196:15	analyze		224:22	appearance
ambiguity			288:9	anxious	55:18
	179:23,24		289:1	50:14	apples
ambitions		Ancestry.com		anymore	155:19
	35:19		221:18	251:14	application
amended		and/or		284:18	185:5
	265:24		176:16	apartment	appointments
	271:12		Anita 52:17	36:22	46:4
	275:17,23				

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: approach..back

approach	arrived	206:7,17	attorney/
232:8	195:25	assertion	client
approached	Asia 39:13	121:7	263:19
12:19	149:20	asset 86:23	attorneys
approximately	220:5	87:10	24:24
13:25	Asian 168:19	assigned	25:1,2,4
14:1,19	220:6	83:8,9	Australian
April 225:15	ASOG	150:3,5	160:2
Arab 69:13	232:15,17	178:9,24	authorities
71:21	233:15	179:1	268:9,17
	235:22	212:2,3	269:4
Arabia	238:13,15	assume	automatic
104:18	239:9,17	116:12	184:25
area 45:4,6	240:17,22	assumption	185:2
47:1 50:24	248:10,11	186:7	Avenue 9:10
182:16	250:11	214:3	avoiding
289:7	251:4,16	attached	210:2
areas 22:21	254:9,25	192:17	aware 50:16
206:12	255:21	Attachment	64:7,8,10,
287:21	257:17,18,	24:12	15,16,20,
arenas 256:5	21 258:1,	attempt	23 72:12
	2,9 269:7	244:22	97:13
arise 162:15	270:8,13,	attempted	113:1
165:12	24 271:3,8	289:12	199:3,6
arising	272:12	290:8	219:9
218:7	279:12,17	attempts	Awareness
	286:9	174:12	63:25
Arlington	aspect 57:7	269:12	awful 231:11
9:3	aspects		
army 98:6	178:3,4		
Arnaud 34:4	assemble	attention	<hr/> B <hr/>
arrangement	206:13	289:13	
15:16	assembled	attorney	baby 247:22
176:20	233:21	25:24	back 10:2
246:21	assembling	111:11	33:14 35:8
298:13			43:5 45:22

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: background..beginning

47:19	48:8	288:2	141:14	168:2
49:22	54:6	289:4,19	163:10	186:15
63:11,15		292:12	based 35:17	209:6
71:5	86:19	293:22	36:11	247:25
123:24		304:22	38:14	251:24
136:3,11		background	43:17	252:2
139:11		9:18	70:12	254:2
146:9,18		19:13,16,	71:23 97:7	257:2
155:13		19 38:18	117:9	260:13,23
156:12,17		48:2 52:14	132:9	261:1
159:3		58:6,8	153:14	battery
161:11		128:11	155:22	282:22
167:16		226:11,20	166:21	Beacon 12:18
174:4		257:9	169:23	34:6
176:13		293:22	188:14	
184:3			189:12	bear 225:3,
191:4,5,11		backgrounds	213:7	4,5
193:6		250:22	219:15	began 73:9
194:11,17		bad 196:1	228:15	177:19
195:14		228:24	232:8	begin 205:10
209:4		252:21	261:12	208:4
217:21		ball 266:17	basic 19:5	beginning
220:23		Ballpark	98:14	7:2 9:20
221:25		23:2 304:7	236:6	39:1 73:20
222:1		balls 194:7	basically	106:2,3
224:14		bank 37:19	56:4 189:1	108:19
225:5		48:14	basis 51:13	116:6,7
226:4		54:24	154:11	123:16
227:14		56:20	212:18	145:1
231:11		118:4	275:12	146:16
233:7		253:19	basket 157:4	162:24
245:15		bankers	Bates 27:4,	205:15
255:9		289:23	8,14,16	217:5
256:23		Bar 146:25	30:13	218:22,23
257:17		bargained	41:12	224:23
261:6			63:19	232:3
262:6				233:17
279:23				

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: beginnings..broader

259:10	178:17	211:14	246:7
276:5	220:14	214:13	brains 229:4
279:21,22	281:4	252:20	breach
beginnings	bigger 225:5	254:22	270:20
150:23	288:1	256:3	break 8:20
begun 266:5	bill 12:6, 17 31:24	bits 79:18, 20 217:16	63:10 70:24,25
behalf 7:20, 23 22:21	32:9 36:2		88:13
26:25	38:15	blacked	125:17
	90:13,23	45:24	135:4
behavior	254:17,23	blank 113:2	139:7
266:3,11	256:10,11	block 162:16	166:9
belief 92:3	270:11,12	blog 270:22	208:21,23
beliefs	billed 252:5	blogs 269:20	254:5 256:18
294:1	255:16	board 20:3	293:3
believed	billion	boast 51:9, 11	breaks 8:23
32:24	68:16	bribe 186:10	
90:19	71:21,25	bogs 211:24	bribed 186:3
120:16	72:21	Borchgrave	bring 20:3
171:10	bills 147:24	34:4	36:6 46:5
213:11	bing 266:17	born 156:3	48:3 77:19
214:15	bipolar	178:16	169:18
Belin 49:25	266:14	boss 192:11	221:9
50:5	birth 156:1	193:23	226:3
Bell 295:2, 8	birthday	198:7	232:20
belongs	154:8,9	bottom 27:9	bringing
290:2	bit 33:1	63:19	146:9
benefit 99:5	35:13,14	66:18	213:8
100:24	43:12	74:19	British
176:15	96:19	214:19	260:8
big 44:6	117:8	bought	broader
48:13	124:9	252:19	29:11,12
128:15	149:4		43:17
136:7	191:10	158:21	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: brochure..capacity

brochure	88:12		11:1 32:6,
45:18	bump 96:3	C	9 48:21
brochures	bumped	Caiola 7:9	74:1 83:7
45:18 46:7	255:17,18	calculated	96:12
47:9	bumping	97:25	119:5
broker	232:22,23	113:24	202:17
292:4,14	bunch 265:9	calendar	214:16
brothers	Bureau	31:5,6,12,	289:22
221:18	156:10	16,18	290:19,22
brought	burner	207:4,5	295:2,4
62:15	204:21	223:24	calling 81:2
176:7,12	207:21	call 11:20	103:2
224:20	Bush 106:4	178:21	178:21
240:2	business	34:9,10	290:6
252:12	21:13	45:8 46:10	calls 81:14
274:21	49:17	49:7 55:8	calm 245:20
Buddhas	90:5,12,24	58:5 93:22	calmly 231:2
224:22	131:17	118:12	cameras
Budget	156:10	126:21	45:20
251:23	170:12	127:10	campaign
build 76:18	building	159:20,22	73:21
150:23	253:11	162:7	106:3
building	292:11	169:15	campaigns
37:19,22	294:21,24	194:1	105:19,20
44:8,10,19	298:10	202:13	capabilities
45:19	businesses	218:4	38:18,19
48:14,16	10:23	223:5	39:16 51:5
49:9	82:24	236:22	77:13
182:16	busy 123:3	248:23	138:24
283:24	buy 37:17,	253:22	capability
284:2	18,20	273:6	40:10
bullet 63:25	49:21	278:25	64:3,22
86:25	208:12	289:14	93:4
bullets		295:11	capacity
		296:23	
		306:15	
		called 8:1	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: capital..children

58:20, 21	145:18	challenging	characters
122:14, 25	184:1	279:5	66:18
capital	212:5	chance	175:16
138:19	232:2		260:7
193:12	245:1	change	255:6
	269:13	50:20	
Capitol		188:10	charged
47:17	cases	194:20	274:19
	17:24	212:12	
captain	29:8 79:20	221:16	charges
210:12	148:22	289:19	255:7
car	155:7		273:21
138:11	295:18	changed	chart
card	cash	89:4	248:21, 23
138:12		119:16, 17,	
147:25		20 138:6,	249:18
158:19, 20		7, 8 158:21	260:13
care	cat	142:20,	check
18:17		21	161:14
60:12		caused	183:9
140:22		207:3	193:15
224:12		changing	247:9
cared	208:2	181:5	
60:15		187:18	
career	caution		checked
234:16			172:12
careful	caveat	18:19, 21	193:16, 22
21:24 56:6		77:2, 7, 19	
	CCP	78:8, 17,	checking
		19, 20	72:18
carefully	cease	93:19	73:10
110:5		106:17	156:9
carriers	center	107:4, 9	182:25
148:2	34:7,	160:19	
	10, 11	177:15	cheeky
carry	CEO	178:13	68:21
260:8	17:13,	180:14, 16	Cheers
	14	205:11	307:12
carte	certify	273:17	
43:20		279:25	childhood
Carter	308:3, 7		49:14
9:1	chair	chapter	children
case	305:18	225:24	152:10
79:17			178:16
108:6	challenges		
122:24	162:15		
138:16			

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019
Index: China..client

China	38:24	167:4	clarify	22:1 29:24
40:6 59:19		chosen 132:2	46:18	30:4 91:15
60:23			104:6,7	94:8 97:7,
73:21 74:9		Christmas	134:15	21 102:16
88:23		114:21		104:13,23
100:21		chronology	27:12	106:22
173:3		74:11	42:18	111:15
248:13,15		CIA 106:12,	265:22	112:7
288:2		19,22		113:5
Chinese		107:4,12,		120:14,19
66:17,18		15	163:1,3	121:11,13,
89:20		Cipriani	168:8,12,	18,22,23,
90:14		296:22	25	24 122:2,6
91:5,12		circumstance	clean 26:17	123:5,8,
92:1,10		165:21	166:17	22,24
129:15		285:19	201:24,25	124:6,16,
152:9		circumstances	202:6	18,19,23
153:1		clear 27:15		125:9,14
164:8,12,		123:23	100:16	129:23
18 165:9		143:22	123:8	130:5
168:20		161:25	130:20	135:17
169:1,25		162:13	151:1	140:4
175:16		163:3,11	179:12,15,	141:18
198:24		165:12	22 180:3	151:6
211:11		166:4	191:21	155:13
221:16		Citibank	240:21	165:13,21
264:12,16,		202:17	242:14	168:5
17 265:12,		289:17,23	260:25	170:10
15 284:7		290:18	284:20	212:17
288:13		CITIC 54:24	294:2	217:23
Chinese-		55:25 62:7	client 12:7,	219:3
speaking			8,12 13:5,	220:20,25
264:21		citizens	10,13	221:3
Chinese/		255:19	17:21	222:25
english		clarified	18:2,7	225:23
264:1		14:15	20:22	230:22
chose	124:19		21:18,19	236:23
				237:14,15

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: client's..communist

249:5,6	close	34:5	collecting	190:7
259:12		47:17	79:20 80:9	212:25
262:10		225:4	92:25	214:2
295:12,13	closely	73:4	205:9	commentary
client's	closet		collection	73:16
29:22		221:12	76:19	comments
102:20			77:17	95:16
132:2	clouded		78:8,10	
142:14		297:25	79:10,11	commercial
166:5	club	277:3	117:1	292:9
clients		301:18	129:7	committed
13:15	code	229:8	215:7,8	57:13,24
17:11,12,		288:15,16,	224:22	communicate
16 19:14		17,20,22	collectively	183:19,24
22:20,22	coffee		77:19	232:6
23:14		25:22,23	college 9:24	271:6
44:24		26:11	104:11	297:7
67:17,19,		84:2,21	Columbia	communication
24 68:2,5,		174:17	292:6	184:6
7,10		185:13	combine 92:3	297:13
102:5,13	coincidence			communications
104:18,25		238:1,3	94:17	64:24
120:5		combined		111:10
122:12	collaborated		189:11	207:22
125:3		139:16	comfortable	263:19
141:16,22	collaboration		154:22	communiqué
142:3		35:25	commas	297:19
153:21		91:22		
165:17			138:20	communist
294:4,8,14	collect		commenced	38:24
295:21,25		78:15 80:1	266:8	59:19,21,
296:2		120:3	277:24	22,23
298:11,13,		148:14	commencing	60:22
16		150:23	145:24	88:19,21,
clock 216:9		205:10		23 152:9
218:25	collected	61:6	comment	169:1
			18:25	248:15

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: communists..confidential

284:7	compartmentalization	124:23	150:11
communists	zing 137:3	complications	concerned
74:8	206:21	218:7	47:21
	213:19	comply 99:9	247:20
community	compartmentalally 59:1	comprehensive	270:14
21:9,13	compensate	145:9,14, 21 146:14	concerns
239:14	113:14	162:16	250:5
250:16	compensated	computer	concessions
257:23	58:13	75:3	86:21 87:8
258:7,10	166:20	108:25	concise
companies	compensation	109:14	35:16
10:15	166:2	174:15	concluded
22:21	competitive	175:12,14	307:19
company	29:3	176:7,14, 15,18	concludes
10:25 11:7	complaint	198:23	307:15
16:20,22	287:8	199:10,17	concurrently
172:10	complete	205:20	16:8
173:19	133:4	267:13	conduct
232:14	162:22	268:9,11, 16 269:4	131:25
276:24	175:15	275:8	conducted
290:3	completed	computers	54:2,3
291:18	54:2,3	175:19	conducting
compare	completely	204:20	223:12
94:12	96:4 160:7	205:2	conference
compared	164:2	207:20	126:21
143:20	268:1	concept	confidential
155:19	303:21	100:22	53:21
273:21	complex	127:17	69:15
compartmentalization	93:15,17	128:2,13, 24 130:3	72:23
compartmentalization 57:5	156:8	152:5	121:1,10
compartmentalized 206:5	167:3	conceptually	142:23
	177:24	94:6 96:10	298:19
	complicated		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: confidentiality..contract

confidentialit	265:19	contacts	85:11
y 61:11,15,	294:11	28:18	90:2,6,7
16 71:10	295:7	62:19	101:4,6,7
73:1 121:8	connections	81:10	102:16
130:8	28:14	106:18	110:6
176:20	248:18	107:8	115:13
configure		213:8	124:11
289:5	connotation		126:13
	135:2	contemplated	127:11
confused		135:12	128:18,20
136:1	conservative	169:5	130:19
192:16	34:3,10	content	131:8,15,
250:10	consideration	27:21 38:4	19,21
255:25	35:3	60:1	132:8
Congress	consistent	146:11	142:5
28:15	95:2	218:5	145:24
connected	consulted	contents	146:12
169:23	191:1	143:19	150:15
	275:7	144:21,22	162:25
connection		145:10,14	165:6
49:8 77:16	Consulting	146:11	168:23
78:12,21	295:5,24	context	169:14,15,
80:13	contact 49:7	94:23 95:9	16 171:7,
81:8,15,	193:25	152:1	22 174:2
19,23	231:24	continue	175:5
108:6	244:22	186:24	
112:7	259:2	163:15	187:19
113:7,11,	299:9	217:12	188:23
21 117:6,	contactable	221:6	193:2
14 129:20	245:3	235:19	194:4,12
132:8	contacted	287:20	196:13
141:22	80:23	293:1	197:6,8,
149:24	192:12	continuing	10,12,13,
176:16,17	193:24	243:2	19 198:3,
232:18	232:17	contract	9,15,17
246:16	273:17	49:23	202:23
253:15	279:17	57:21 73:9	214:25
264:2,6			217:9

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: contracted..correct

243:16	170:11	245:8,11	182:25
244:10	272:1	260:5	183:7
247:6	274:15	302:9	207:13
264:3,9	276:22	conversations	copies 47:13
266:8	contractors	36:11	200:14,16
272:2	20:6 134:5	59:18	copy 47:12
284:10	149:12	71:23 73:6	84:19
296:15,18	169:4	74:6 141:7	85:2,4,5,
297:14	272:16	266:15	7,21,24
299:1,2,4, 13,17,21,	contracts	267:25	86:3,9,12
24,25	102:5	276:15	189:2,20
300:18	142:2	299:19	190:1
301:13,20	294:13,16	300:4,5	191:6
302:6,7,9, 23 303:3,	295:9,10, 12,17,19,	302:5	192:23
13 304:3,4	25 296:5	306:25	207:7
305:1,6,24	contribute	convey	core 29:15
306:4,8,25	20:15	236:23	corner 27:9
307:5	21:21	conveyed	30:25
contracted	271:18	135:16	63:20
52:12	control	145:12	74:19
117:21	88:13,17,	151:14	corporate
247:4	20	195:11	242:9,11
273:11	conversation	221:21	295:4,23
contracting	8:14 37:15	222:5	Corporation
276:23	38:15 39:2	240:4,17	7:4,17
contractor	41:7 42:17	241:2	171:22
113:2,7	50:23 60:2	cooperate	172:13,24
130:6,9	108:22	19:8	173:13,15
131:8,25	122:1	coordinate	277:6
133:3	144:4	99:20	corporations
141:1	145:3,4	204:18	294:17,22
143:7	187:21	coordinated	295:20
153:7	189:12	207:22	296:3
162:21	190:25	coordinating	correct
168:6	195:5		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: corrected..couple

27:2,11	208:13,15	corrupt	196:18
28:17,20	211:20	88:13	counsel
30:1 45:3	228:23	199:7	7:11,16
60:24	230:14	201:11	8:7
65:13	240:11	corrupted	
74:20	241:4	85:15	count 10:9
82:20,25	242:17	114:16	281:23
83:11	244:16	174:13,20	counterattack
84:14 85:9	246:23,24	175:15	40:15
87:11	248:5	176:10	counterclaim
91:1,6	250:1	198:21	265:25
92:23 94:5	251:15	199:1	counterclaims
95:3 99:4,	253:17	201:13,21	275:18,23
13 102:3	254:9	208:8	
111:22	259:5		counterparty
119:6	261:2,14	corruption	299:13,23
125:1	262:5,8	82:24	300:18
127:3,9	264:25	88:14,20,	
132:6	272:14,16	24 89:1,2,	countries
135:14	275:15	19,21	67:4
136:23	279:9,12,	90:14	149:13,15,
140:6	13,16	199:3	17 207:15
146:21	281:8	cost 93:4	268:10,14
151:24	282:12	97:4,8,10,	269:5
154:20,24	285:21	12,16,18,	country 89:4
155:7	287:20	23,25	171:14
156:22	288:10	99:17	172:22
159:13	298:8	101:9	241:14
163:22,23	308:8	115:18	counts 26:9
174:8		119:1,10	couple 36:4
178:22	corrected	166:25	49:17,18,
183:21	261:6	251:23	22 50:6
187:14,17	correctly	252:25	54:21 58:8
190:7	157:18	254:19,20	88:12
193:1,3	158:16	costs 93:5	233:4
196:9		117:2,13	257:10,20
199:23	correlation	118:8,16	302:17
200:2,15	303:18,20	119:22,25	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: court..days

court	7:8,12 8:10,18 55:17 172:15 176:15 179:22,25 180:2 238:22	87:9,20 crispy 210:19 criteria 153:10,17 164:9 cross-check 94:24	cut	196:16 CVS cyber 12,16 _____ D	date	7:6 36:25 51:21 108:3 121:9 123:17,21 156:1 174:21,22 D'INTERPRETES 183:1 191:9 D.C. 45:4,6 47:1 76:15 183:12 daily 183:11,17 Dallas 232:16 233:2,16 237:5,6 damages 89:19 Dan 106:4 danger 270:17 dangerous 169:22 252:17 284:19 dark 221:12 279:3 data 120:3 133:5 213:18 day 162:11 172:1 226:17 daughters 221:17 day 162:11 172:1 226:17 dangerous 276:6 303:15 305:14 307:20 dark 221:12 308:20 day-to-day 206:20 days 145:24 146:12 150:13,14, 18,22
courtesy	8:10	cross-checking				
cousins		153:25				
	221:17	154:6				
create	27:21 35:21 41:22 65:8 75:7 111:25 133:10,21	cross-dimensional 95:22 crossed 11:17 34:21				
created		culmination				
	74:3,12 107:24 109:20 150:10	306:25 307:5 cultural 44:11				
creating		curious				
	42:3 75:6	258:21				
credit	98:18 138:12 147:25 156:9 158:18,19	285:25 current 147:10,13 148:8,10, 17 149:1				
crimes		151:13				
	57:13,25 58:4,5	204:2 curtailed				
criminal		240:8				
	86:22	custom 39:12				

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019
Index: DBS..democracy

162:24	42:8 47:2,	40:14	deliberately
170:12	5 51:23,24	237:11	53:20
171:6	52:1 54:14	define 18:9	221:16
181:11,25	85:1 108:8	52:24	deliver
196:14,20	111:3,4	94:10	136:14
207:3,24	114:13,20	defined	148:16
214:25	126:4	188:24	151:2,5
218:6,9	151:9	definitions	243:21
219:25	187:16	142:13	249:7
222:21	190:4,16	defrauded	262:9,13
230:4,13	211:1,3	68:15	287:18
233:8	decent 207:7	71:25	deliverable
244:10	decide 48:5	72:20	147:7
265:23	49:21 61:8	degree 10:4,	deliverables
DBS 192:24	115:4	9 266:14	151:23,25
de 34:4	decided	298:17	delivered
dead 129:14	12:20	delay 207:3	137:5,12
218:15	115:8	208:2	146:23
273:13	270:18	220:12	148:13
deadline	decides	223:13	166:22
212:19	276:23	244:10	227:4,11,
225:14	deciding	delayed	12 241:12,
deal 88:23	90:24,25	13 267:6,	
121:8	102:10	219:6,12,	7,18,21
128:15	decision	20	deliveries
136:7	234:5,8	delays 208:5	267:18
146:6	deep-sixed	delegated	delivery
269:1	238:3,6	178:9	144:22
dealing	deeper 160:5	delete	146:13
300:7	240:3	111:24	241:13
dear 198:11	266:23	184:14,22	demanded
305:11	defendant	deleted	140:18
December	7:23	112:3,19	demanding
31:10	defense	deletion	140:17
37:3,5		112:13	democracy

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: department..disagreed

92:4	26:19	detailed	144:10,20
department	55:20	266:6,21	difficult
44:9 48:18	77:14	details	238:5
107:9	178:22	39:13	dig 251:1
154:15	226:17	214:14	digest
160:19	227:2	determine	211:14
285:15	293:9	90:11	digging
289:18	307:16	155:12	
depend 95:10	depth 9:22	196:17	163:16
	56:1 96:24	300:10	224:12
depended	149:5		
77:10,18	214:1	determined	dime 96:8
depending	describe	113:25	dimension
18:8 20:19	21:6 48:20	255:22	95:12
87:20	53:15 62:8	detiment	98:15
142:13	64:3 121:2	140:1	234:4
144:24	122:1	develop	260:3
167:3	209:15	133:11	dimensions
depends	210:7	developed	97:19
20:22	designated	28:13 64:4	direct
21:18	136:16,17		117:24
29:22 30:4	239:1	developing	168:5
77:10	285:15	230:5	231:24
97:18	designation	Dhabi 103:24	239:16
142:4	236:25	104:2,3	252:24
148:2	285:24	DIA 233:1	299:9
235:16	destroy	250:17	directly
deponent	283:4,7,10	dialogue	30:5
303:23	destroyed	92:7,9	136:16,20
deposit	283:2,3	died 49:13	170:3
192:14,22	destruction	128:6,7	271:6
deposition	185:1,2	difference	directors
7:3 8:14	detail 15:15	55:2,6	17:2
19:4 24:6,	19:18	94:7 95:7	disagreed
15,21	39:24	139:8	191:3
25:6,16		143:25	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: disappointed..document

disappointed	220:12	dissect	19, 22
228:7, 17	228:10	265:6	30:13, 17
disclose	245:16	distinction	35:9, 22
66:10, 12	246:21	148:10	36:10 38:7
274:3	303:1	149:1	41:11, 17,
disclosed	discussing	150:9	22 42:3
170:1	88:4 102:7	distracted	43:1, 5, 8
274:2, 4	188:8	81:6	65:10, 18,
	192:13		23 68:10
disclosure	301:2	District	72:17
285:19		292:5	73:19, 24
	discussion	dive 39:13	74:12 75:7
discovery	43:19 93:7	139:24, 25	81:7 82:4
240:8	102:7	149:4	84:1, 9, 15,
discretion	108:19	287:20	19 85:13,
132:3	165:7		14 86:20
discuss	169:21	dives 96:6	87:7 90:1
20:20	173:23, 24	divide 178:1	96:12, 18
51:9, 11	188:14	divided	100:13
71:8	218:5	180:12	107:21, 22
130:19	223:17	divides	108:17, 21
187:10	299:20		126:7
188:1	301:9	98:22	154:5
209:8	discussions	diving	160:23
230:20	229:23	132:10	170:22
discussed	301:6	146:6	171:25
25:3	dispatch	division	186:13
32:20, 21	206:15	178:25	189:12
33:2 37:12	dispatched	divorced	192:18
39:23 40:3	204:13, 17	49:19	193:6
59:13 77:2	205:6, 15	doc 269:24	200:21
100:4	259:20	docs 195:18	201:12
109:9	dispose		226:14, 23
169:12, 13	112:14	document	229:7
181:12, 17		24:8, 18	241:9
194:24	disrupted	26:21	247:25
195:18	146:5, 7	27:4, 7, 18,	248:20
			249:2, 4

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: documentation..duplicate

251:22	193:17	22, 23	239:25
252:7	276:11	162:2	drives 20:22
254:4, 7	domestic	171:23	85:15
257:2, 5	22:23	190:1	114:16
260:12, 15	232:21	drafting	133:12
262:25	282:22	108:5, 12	134:9, 23
269:22	domiciled	111:11	146:4
271:19	98:23	162:6	175:19
275:16, 22	173:3, 7	drafts 108:2	176:4, 8, 12
280:23	door 167:8	111:17, 19,	196:1
documentation	196:2	22 112:1,	198:12, 13
137:3	doors 231:6,	3, 6, 24	204:9
151:12	7	drawn 131:23	208:6, 8
157:9	double 154:7	DRC 74:9	213:18
documents	double-check	drive 48:5	driving
25:5 26:2,	155:9	108:21	264:24
8, 18 27:14	double-checked	135:18, 19,	drop 218:15
73:25	20 145:19	20 145:19	drove 45:19
77:20	159:23	146:24	46:2
108:18	doubt 243:17	151:23	dual 96:22
118:13	downloaded	175:20	duck 210:20
135:7	267:15	198:18	due 201:11
136:21, 24	downloading	204:4	211:18, 21
137:5, 11	198:22	207:15	dug 160:5
155:24	downstairs	216:4, 5	duly 8:2
242:1	296:21	218:9	308:4
248:4, 6	downtown	225:21, 25	dumb 176:18
265:12	45:11	227:5, 10,	dumpling
273:7	draft 107:22	19, 24	210:20
DOD 28:15	108:16, 17,	228:8, 12,	duplicate
Doe 28:15	25 109:20,	13, 14	250:9
273:24	21 110:25	267:12	driver
274:1	111:24, 25	136:16	driver's
DOJ 250:18	112:10, 20,		269:12
dollars			
119:4			

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: duration..electronic

duration	Eastern	7:4,	20 230:2	Ecole	10:1
197:13	16 8:7	244:9,12		edges	256:8
	12:10	263:5			
	E 27:5,8	264:3		edification	
	57:10,15,	266:7			27:13
eager	238:21 16,17,19,	267:7		edit	20:13,
earlier	21 130:5	275:24			14 188:13
23:13	135:13	276:4,7,			191:4
58:11 65:9	137:20	13,14,16,			262:14
77:3	139:12	17,20,21		editor	34:4
151:20	140:25	277:2,5,7,		edits	20:10
160:7	141:4	12,17			75:12
189:9	149:20	278:6,8			189:3,8
190:24	150:4	285:14			190:6,10,
191:10	151:22	286:1,20,			12 191:1,
246:21	153:6	22 287:6,9			12
247:13	167:6,22	288:6,8			
261:23	168:2	290:6		educational	
274:5	170:8,19,	298:25			9:17 44:11
293:24	24 171:16,	299:5,12,		effort	48:19
	21 172:9,	18,22			
early	12,24	300:8,11		efforts	
31:10 32:2 165:4	173:13,14	301:17			278:2
211:1	187:7	305:24			285:23
229:3	192:7	306:7,12		Eileen	
earth	195:17		Eastern's		157:22
easily	196:8				158:8
46:8 284:4	197:18		286:25		
	200:10		288:4		election
East	202:24		Eastern-		98:7
65:25 66:21	205:14		0000201		electronic
80:18	207:3		186:15		19:17
100:14	209:7		easy 148:3		31:18
103:18	211:16		190:5,9		134:18
118:22	212:22		191:12		135:7
242:21	214:18		207:5		136:21
278:4,7,11	215:17		eat 211:4,		241:13
279:2	229:6,12,		13		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: electronically..entitlement

electronically	employment	162:21	entail	18:6
145:13	10:22	ended 61:7	93:12	
element	empty 131:3	128:17	105:18	
162:9	encapsulated	223:6	147:14	
233:22	35:15	254:22	entails 21:6	
Ellman 7:18	encompassed	enforced	enter 90:25	
email 197:25	113:17	197:14	177:15	
199:21	encompasses	engage	234:25	
201:15	83:3	121:14	entering	
232:4	122:24	278:6,10	277:23	
297:16,17	encounter	engaged	295:9,16,	
emailed	163:11	121:11	25	
110:2	encountered	294:5,8	entire 39:19	
emailing	234:17	engagement	59:6	
81:2	encrypted	78:13,21	101:20	
embarrassed	110:8	120:5	150:5,17	
220:8	288:12	216:22	156:4	
embarrassment	end 20:16	217:4	174:2	
211:7	50:13 59:3	246:17,23	201:2	
Emirates	101:2	247:10	entities	
69:13	110:8	253:6	15:13	
71:22	111:3	266:5	23:20	
emotional	114:19	engagements	117:16,20	
266:13	115:12	102:16	130:15	
	125:12	294:12	132:16	
employ 97:1	129:14	engaging	149:12	
employed	144:17	40:14	168:5	
43:6 96:22	146:17	112:7	264:21	
186:2	173:21,24	278:1	entitled	
employee	205:14	English	41:11	
192:2	224:20	42:22	73:19 84:9	
employees	252:22	260:8	203:23	
24:2	273:13	enraged	251:22	
103:14,16	305:4,8,10	287:14	260:12	
	endeavor		entitlement	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: entity..exhibit

293:8	essentially	everybody's	exhibit
entity 15:12	91:19 95:5	259:24	24:4,5
23:18	166:3	everyday	27:3,4
113:6	171:5	40:14	30:13
130:9	214:25	exact 246:5	41:11 43:6
131:2,3,4	estate 37:18		63:18
132:12,23	38:12	EXAMINATION	65:17
169:6	44:16 45:9	8:4 292:23	73:18,19
170:5	49:8	exceeded	84:8,9
273:8,9, 11,16	142:22 292:4,10,	143:11	86:20 96:13
300:15,17	14	excellent	107:18
		35:1	126:3
entry	estates	exchange	127:20
157:14,16	47:16	100:10,15,	152:15
equal 77:8	estimate	23 186:21	167:21
equaled	101:16	229:23	174:4,6
300:11	Europe	230:16,19,	175:4
equally	103:18	21	185:9
200:2	132:20	exchanged	186:14
267:11	149:19,20	100:17	195:24
	168:17	excited 51:5	207:9,10
equals 300:7	183:20		216:7
equation	225:19	exclusive	223:7
211:24	226:6	16:12	226:11
equipment	246:10	excuse 19:2	228:21
207:14,16	278:4,14	48:15	235:5
208:3,11	279:2,9	101:13	241:5,6
Erin 7:22	events 148:5	161:6	247:25
293:15	Eventually	170:24	251:22
	39:17	276:6	254:1
erratic			256:25
266:3,11, 13 267:2,4	Evermay	executed	257:2
	47:11	92:6	260:12,19
errors	49:11,12,	126:13	262:20
219:10,14, 15	16,20 50:1	299:2	268:24
	292:16	execution	269:23,25
		90:7	271:11

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC

French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: exist..fact

275:17	expensive	explaining	extraordinary
280:16	98:1,2,3,4	42:14	185:22
exist 118:9	101:11,15	229:18,21	238:2
exists 277:1	experience	266:22	extremely
	10:10 21:7	explanation	56:6
exotic	72:13	127:25	156:6,8
209:8,17	163:9	explanations	
210:16	275:11	42:15	F
expats 91:5,	280:4,5	explicit	face 220:6,
12 92:1	294:19,20	264:20	8 284:5
expect	experienced	explicitly	288:4
210:11	64:11	168:18	face-to-face
211:17	expert	171:1	81:4,9
212:24	274:10	exploratory	117:1,18
214:2	288:16	52:6,8	134:8,9
288:21	expertise	explore	141:25
expectations	206:9	53:12	143:3,4,14
230:22	experts	exposed	144:4
expected	268:9,16	97:24	145:6
96:5	269:4	exposing	222:16,19
230:12	explain 39:5	44:13	267:25
247:5	55:3 144:3	Express	296:17
expecting	185:24	148:1	297:12
202:18	198:20	expression	Facebook
218:7,8	276:8	129:25	18:22
expense	283:19	expressly	19:11
116:22	289:8	283:13	facilitate
117:18	explained	extensive	99:19
expenses	33:1 221:7	35:14	fact 67:1
114:4	224:15	extent 43:15	127:20
115:6	231:1	117:4	144:4
116:21	255:14,15	extra 203:17	154:7
117:25	264:15		157:10,20
118:16	284:16		164:23
247:14,15	301:10		165:3

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: factor..figure

169:22	213:2, 7	farm	260:1	246:4
202:14	214:3		278:18	249:11
218:6	fake	129:15	fascinating	259:9, 10
219:15		152:25	102:25	262:3
220:8		153:1, 16,		279:20, 21,
226:5		17 160:7,	fast 49:18,	23 286:5
232:10		13, 16	19 231:6	307:20
241:15		161:2, 3	233:24	
300:2		180:23, 25	243:20	federal
factor		181:9	faster	172:15
201:6, 8		217:15, 16	139:25	feel 62:22
facts	94:10,	219:15	fastest	178:23
12		221:10, 11	233:25	293:19
failings		222:7, 11	father	302:16
269:16		223:14		
		234:2	157:6, 10	feeling
fair	11:24	fakes 153:2	favorable	125:16
14:17			63:9	feels 154:22
23:22		false 159:16	features	fees 35:3
43:13 77:6		familiar	185:4	fellows
95:5		21:2	Feb 240:24	233:1
124:11		families	February 7:6	felt 39:2
162:8		68:16	120:16	41:9 91:18
164:1		170:1	123:7, 13,	178:11
177:23		248:19	16 125:7,	215:3
216:16			11 165:4	225:16
235:24		family 11:4,	171:3, 8, 17	232:20
253:10, 16,		6 23:14	196:15	
18 255:4		68:20		field 139:3
265:21		71:24	229:2	163:9
266:1		72:1, 2, 15,	232:3	234:17
270:16		20 73:7	233:18	
272:5		221:11	237:3	Fifty 23:4
286:9		248:24, 25	239:6	fight 60:22
298:2, 3, 7		249:7, 16	240:16, 25	
fairly		famous 50:15	241:7	figure
212:25		85:15	243:6, 7	115:17
			245:7, 8	172:25

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: figured..fish

196:21	fill	26:14	251:8,18	161:18,20
221:19	final	63:23	271:3	176:25
figured		111:6	273:1,3,15	214:20,22
66:20		112:1	279:6	216:24
237:14,17		191:6	300:24	296:10
			301:1	304:13,21,
file	24:9	finally	finding	24
50:16		48:24	95:2	
127:18,19		114:12,22	168:21	finished
175:16		174:13	169:1	184:3
176:9		207:7	214:6,8	304:15
177:12		212:15	299:12,22	firm
185:12			303:11	7:17
199:12		financial	findings	166:8,13
201:2,24		113:10		184:13
216:7		137:16	145:16	203:14
224:13		138:2,9,15	fine	295:4,12
233:5		139:18	12:10	firms
235:17		140:5	47:14	295:21
236:1,18		143:8	55:18	fish
270:23		148:11	70:23	82:18
272:18,22		204:1	73:17 79:7	127:11,14
288:11		300:23	125:21,25	128:3,4,5,
filed	33:9	find	128:16	7,8,9,10,
228:19		18:16,	191:23	12,14,16,
		17 52:7	202:9	25 129:1,
		88:25	206:22	2,10,18
files	199:1	148:23	208:24	138:8
201:11,18,		156:2	224:11	152:15,23
21 202:6		163:16	225:8,9	156:15,19,
219:16		164:24	253:24	21 157:25
233:14		165:24	298:23	159:8,13
234:1,25		172:20,22	303:8	160:12,21
235:2,3,4,		181:2,8	306:19,21	177:10
8,9,12,13		193:14	finest	187:19
236:10,11		209:23	90:14	188:3,4,
254:13		214:20	finish	12,17
256:8		219:3	8:13	189:1
273:4		224:13	10:6	194:21,25
			129:21	195:19
		238:2,4	159:9,11	196:15,19,

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019
 Index: fishing..found

22,23,25	146:4,24	188:2	99:7
197:3	175:20	focused	forensic
203:1,2,3,	176:4,8,11	266:18	137:16
17,18,22,	196:1	folder	138:3,15
24 209:8,	198:11,13,	52:16	139:18
15,21,24	18 204:4,9	198:13	140:5,15
210:17	208:6,8	259:19,25	143:8
211:19,22	213:17	folks	148:11
212:1,4,9	216:4,5	follow	204:1
223:9	218:8	103:8,9	forgive 62:6
236:25	225:21,25	253:23	128:6
240:9,23	227:5,10,	follow-up	forgot
257:11,15	19,24	293:17	272:21,23
281:10,11,	228:8	followup	form 136:25
13,17,24	267:9	173:20	163:7
282:2,4,7,	flat 76:10	font	280:19 formal
8,11 286:7		food	288:9,10
301:15	Fletcher	211:11,13	289:2
fishing	119:5	fool	forward 14:5
210:11	132:21	194:9	49:18,19
fit 48:7	258:17,20	fooling	112:13
158:2	259:4,7	233:13	128:22
fix 231:15	261:11	foray	200:11
266:25	272:20	forbid	238:22
flagged	Florida	48:13	301:7
202:22	285:4	forbidden	fought 255:8
236:21	flow 248:21	267:15	
flash 20:22	flown 227:15	foregoing	found 48:24
55:24	fluent 43:13	245:1	54:9 69:2,
85:15	fly 226:5	308:7	6 129:13
108:21	246:10	foreign	130:1
114:15	flying	99:3,11	155:8
133:12	183:20	139:8	159:25
134:9,23	225:18	291:13,21	163:14,17
135:18,19,	focus 29:23	foreign-based	185:22
20 145:18	105:9		216:18

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: foundation..gave

221:8	freebie	212:25	87:8
239:9	52:13 54:1	213:2,7	game 77:5
251:1,10	French 7:3,	214:3	95:13
269:11	21 8:1 9:1	218:8	garbage
272:21	22:3 23:24	fully 64:16	161:10
303:10	71:17 79:3	72:12	gather
304:2	308:14	function	144:25
305:23		237:10,11	241:12
306:7	friend 49:14	fund 100:13	gathered
foundation	176:2	193:24	145:5
44:12,20	225:6	194:6	gathering
50:5	friends 34:5	276:4,11, 13,20,24	78:10,11
founded	220:6	277:5,9,12	143:23
16:16	front 45:25	300:3,13	260:1
fourth 86:24	158:18	301:10,15,	gave 28:6
131:5	167:24	16 303:5	36:15
284:17	185:13	fund...we	43:19
301:9	268:22	192:12	52:5,14
frame 51:25	frustrated	funded	58:10 61:3
125:10	302:19	174:1,2	66:16
189:10	frustrating	funding	67:14,21
Frank 157:4	156:7	170:2	69:6 73:1
fraud 68:23	full 8:25	276:25	82:10 85:5
69:9,20	48:1 65:6	277:1	95:11
70:1	83:18,19	funds 89:3	109:25
freaked	85:14	114:18,22,	153:5
233:9	93:20,22	24 202:12	171:4
free 12:18	117:4	253:3,19	175:10
34:6 54:19	122:4,9, 10,14,16,	fuzzy 252:20	189:1,18
124:1	22 123:2,	G	222:6
171:5	11 124:2,		224:22
178:23	5,9,12,20		225:3,4
203:22	141:3		233:4,5
222:23	165:22	G-U-O 12:9	236:1
293:20	201:12	gain 86:21	239:17
			249:14,22

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: genealogical..Grendi

288:22	248:17	206:24	229:18
297:18	Georgetown	216:5	243:17
308:4	10:3 46:10	224:23	257:13
genealogical	Gertz 12:6 , 17 31:24	glanced 86:16,17	293:15 goodness 10:12 23:1
general 22:5 29:23 41:7 121:3 263:3,4	32:5,9 33:16 35:6,17,23 36:12 38:15	Global 7:10 globally 45:7	250:14 Google 31:15 gosh 11:15 292:9 299:6
generalities 39:11 51:8	90:13,23	goal 58:23 , 24 59:3	government 234:22 235:3,8,9
generalized 42:17	get all 158:24	goals 298:10	236:11
generally	girl 157:8	God 31:19	grace 244:14
24:14 26:22 48:20 51:22 100:2 121:25 294:15 298:11,12	give 19:17 21:19 22:4,17 27:24 48:1 85:20 110:25 118:7 124:8,12	33:24 149:20 174:24 198:19 206:13 267:14	graph 248:18 great 9:22 63:1 88:23 146:6 257:14,16
Geneva 10:2	127:25 130:22	8:6 32:8 33:23 35:5	Grendi 7:15 , 16 8:5,7
genuine	136:19	41:10	13:22
153:8,13 154:23 155:2,14	166:8 189:2 211:8,10 ,	42:22 44:12 56:11 59:9	14:2,6,12 , 17 24:4 27:3 30:12
genuineness	12 222:20	91:18	63:10,17
153:20	224:21	125:24	65:15 68:4
geo 148:3 248:17	235:25 249:4,13 250:6	167:18 176:12 180:5	69:18 70:20 73:17
geographical 279:4	251:16	213:3	79:4,7
geologic	giving 28:9 109:23	214:6,15 224:11	80:6 84:8 95:20

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: group..Guo

107:17	groups	11:4, 6, 19	16, 25 22:20	13:2 14:23	102:9, 22 103:13
121:6		99:21		27:25	104:1, 8, 16
125:16, 20		100:1, 4,		30:21	105:4, 12
126:1		11, 14, 23		31:23	106:6
134:24		132:19		32:21, 25	107:22
135:4			guarantee	33:9, 10	108:23
136:2, 5		153:12		35:4, 10	109:24
166:17		296:6		36:9, 14,	111:1
167:10				17, 20	127:15
208:20		guaranteeing		37:9, 25	136:17, 20
217:1		153:20		38:21	139:23
226:10		154:22		39:15 40:4	142:25
228:20		guarantees		41:5 42:1,	143:16
241:5		153:7		11, 19, 22	152:5, 6, 8
247:24		guess	32:22	43:1, 8, 21	153:5
251:21		44:19	73:5	46:17, 20,	155:24
253:22, 25		87:20		22 47:7, 11	161:4
254:6		105:21		50:1 51:5	162:14
256:18, 25		133:1		52:4 54:6,	164:2, 18
260:11		141:3		8, 22 56:23	168:10, 21
262:19		157:14		58:7 59:15	169:10
266:1		165:4		60:10 61:8	171:1, 4
268:6, 22		168:19		62:12	173:19
271:10		174:23		65:7, 11, 24	174:6
273:6		181:24		67:5, 18, 24	181:13, 17,
275:16		186:4		69:6 71:20	21 184:20
277:15		190:18		72:15, 16,	185:7, 12
292:20		192:2		23 73:11	187:6
group	10:18	213:10		74:7 75:18	189:7
32:24		231:20		76:4, 15	191:1, 13,
92:24 93:1		264:22		82:10	22 193:23,
98:6, 10		269:3		84:1, 16, 19	25 194:14
119:5		276:12		88:7, 22	195:14
187:3			gummed	89:12, 14	199:9
237:10		212:13		90:5	200:3, 5
254:2, 8				91:11, 18,	209:14
295:2		Guo	12:9,	25 101:18	210:23

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: Guo's..happened

215:14	297:5, 7,	hack	149:10	hand-delivered
217:19	12, 13	hacked		288:5
218:8, 18	299:3, 16,		198:24	handed 27:7
219:18	23, 24			
220:13	300:1, 7, 10	hacking		handle 122:5
221:1, 21	301:22		149:8, 9, 13	178:4, 5, 6
222:2	302:14, 21		199:3	183:17
223:18	303:2	half	12:3	271:2
224:2, 21	304:2		15:10	handled
225:10, 25	305:1		247:10	22:25 57:8
227:19, 25	306:2, 3	hammering		179:7
228:6, 16	307:1		111:5	hands 139:21
230:10	Guo's 32:25		267:9	
231:5, 8,	33:2 37:13	Han	12:6	handwriting
13, 18, 24	69:12			30:24, 25
235:19	87:22		28:1 31:24	65:20 72:4
237:14, 17,	89:14		32:6 34:20	handwritten
19 243:9,	134:13		35:3, 22	65:17
13 244:23	172:10		36:11	109:10
245:14, 17	228:2		38:16	135:22
249:3	266:3		39:15	
257:16	268:12		42:19, 25	happen 45:23
263:5	269:16		43:7 54:6,	47:12
264:20	270:20		8 59:15	51:12
267:25			62:11	162:12
270:22	guy 228:2		90:23	181:9
275:25	guys 14:5		111:1	196:20
276:3, 19	59:18		137:6, 12	224:9
277:4	217:17		151:15	245:21
280:9	238:3		186:22, 25	246:12
283:12, 13	248:11		219:19	288:21
284:10, 21	260:3		243:9	happened
285:3			250:2	50:10
286:1, 20	H		264:3	60:11
287:2, 10,			265:18	85:19
13 288:6	habits 82:22	hand	241:13	145:3
290:6	138:10			155:10
296:8, 16	147:23	hand-deliver		182:21
			110:24	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: happy..hours

196:7	115:2	hindsight	266:16
215:25	243:1	171:19	homes 45:19
227:11	277:17	hired 307:4	46:3,4,6,8
230:18	289:24	hires 20:5	47:14,16
270:6	302:13		48:1,9
285:9	heart 50:20	hiring	
happy 210:5	Heaven's	118:19	honest
214:17	259:23	205:18	171:20
219:3		historical	honestly
221:5	heightened	137:17	45:14
228:25	298:17	138:3	110:16
268:25	helped 98:6	139:19	190:17
306:16,18	130:2	140:5,16	253:2
hard 127:15	helpful 22:7	145:8,9, 14,21	257:13
175:19	76:14		286:13
245:14	258:8,11	146:15	Hong
253:14	274:8	148:11	168:18,22
266:25	helping 33:3	hitch 163:21	honorable
harder	hereinbefore	Hodgson 7:22	194:22
139:25	308:10	hold 134:24	hop 117:23
Harry 49:24, 25 50:5	hey 222:6	177:18 224:14	hope 195:18 267:15
hate 119:20	hierarchy		hoped 123:22
	152:9	holders 17:4	228:13 245:21
he'll 103:8	170:1	hole 154:16	
headed 98:23	high 104:12	holes 181:1	Hopkins 10:8
272:9	132:1	217:17	
hear 68:24	highest	holiday	hopper 246:6
70:7	90:16	202:16	hotel 45:11
191:25	highly	hollered	hour 185:3
192:5	142:23	254:22	304:7
267:3		256:1	hours 25:18
302:25	Hill 44:14	home 109:3	38:2,3
303:2	47:18	183:13	227:15
heard 8:7	hinder	252:12	256:16
57:20	285:22		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: house..immediately

306:14,15, 19,21,22	I	92:2,5	identities
			270:2
			298:10
house	37:20, 21 50:2,4 138:10 142:22 158:20 189:9,14	IC 239:13 250:15 256:3 257:19,22 258:1,4 260:4	identification 24:6 27:6 30:15 41:13 65:18 73:22 84:11 107:19 126:5
houses	138:8	idea 23:1,3	92:3
How's	74:5	25:12 28:4,8 44:10	ideologically 186:16 226:12
hug	225:4,5	50:19,20 57:14 60:9,10,11 62:24 63:2,5 81:13	228:22 241:8 248:2 251:25 254:3 257:4 260:14
huge	37:20 44:6 55:1, 6 204:21 225:3 231:14,15 290:4	86:17 118:7 144:2 154:2	18:24 242:24,25 illegal 56:13,14, 15 224:18 239:15 270:10 287:21
hugely	93:15,17	170:8	illegally 89:4
hugs	225:3	173:4	
human	216:13	180:19 226:22	illegitimate 83:25 228:3
hundreds	49:4	228:16	178:15
hung	191:22	235:25	image 33:1
hunting	78:11	259:12	37:22
hurry	220:14	265:20 276:16	imagination 272:6 283:22 285:14
Huseby	7:9	290:11	305:5
hypothetical	306:9 51:13	ideas 22:6	immediacy 296:10,13 218:11
hypotheticals	129:4	37:23 43:20 65:10	immediately 47:24 48:4 221:13 269:4 251:3

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: impasse..information

277:23	including	223:6	264:12
287:18	135:7,20	260:7	285:14
impasse	138:18	269:19	286:6,10
198:5	162:14	282:2	294:21
impatient	260:7	individual	industry
231:17,19	inclusive	22:20 35:1	255:6
	29:6,12	47:24,25	
important	incomplete	94:15 97:5	influence
168:11	162:19	132:19	106:11,15
201:6,8		138:9	info 210:11
220:7	incorporated	142:14	inform
281:13	50:3 127:8	272:17,18	212:24
impressed	172:13	individual's	information
62:23	incorporation	52:13	19:5,15
impression	242:16	individually	21:3,15
218:17	incorrect	152:19	27:24 40:9
in-house	155:8	individuals	53:1,7,11
102:23	increase	16:9 19:14	54:19,23
103:11	217:8,10	21:2,8	57:2,11,24
inaccessible	increased	50:18	58:7,22
238:7,8	232:10	65:22,25	59:5 62:23
inaccurate	incredible	66:17,21	63:6 67:4,
159:17	250:22	68:6,12	8,14 68:18
include	incurred	77:20	69:25
17:22	117:14	87:21 88:8	77:20
21:16 44:2	119:23	97:17	80:2,12
139:19	independent	117:19	88:14,21
140:16	20:5 134:4	118:19	94:12,18,
143:13	149:12	147:16,17	25 95:10,
272:15,19	271:25	153:14	25 96:4
included	274:14	205:21,22	97:21
138:2	300:9	212:3	100:18,23
171:22	indicating	234:24	106:21
includes	157:10	239:1,10	107:5
249:18	207:8	250:12	117:21
		251:1	118:20
		260:21	119:2

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: informed..intentions

128:8	209:13,22,	223:7	instance
130:14	25 210:14	278:2	127:18
132:10	212:17	286:23	138:7
134:9	213:4,8,12	initially	155:2,11
138:5	214:6,7,9,	52:7 93:8	156:25
139:12	11,15	107:23	instruct
142:24	215:2,7,	111:4	246:1
145:5,22	10,11	159:14	instructions
146:9	216:2	197:15,16	87:22
150:17	217:16,18,	initials	intel 237:11
152:2,24	22 218:19	256:17	intellects
153:7,13,	219:16	initiated	90:14
15,21,25	220:9	290:9	intelligence
154:19,23	221:10	inkling	11:19 21:9
155:1,7,	225:11,13,	228:18	29:3 76:19
13,21,24	16 231:11,	input 20:10	77:16 93:4
156:22	14 241:3	139:17	168:21
159:5,15,	243:22	262:15	235:11
21 160:25	246:9	inserted	236:10
161:6,8	249:10	250:12	239:14
163:25	251:9,10,	inside 56:8	250:16
164:18	17,18	168:12	257:22
171:11	263:18,21	92:11	258:7,10
174:10,17	265:5,6,8	insist 11:23	intend
175:3	266:24	insisted	136:13
177:6,16	278:20	285:17	intense
178:12	286:22	287:17	217:7
180:9,22,	287:4	insistence	intensely
24,25	informed	134:14	233:25
185:8,25	268:1	288:4	intent 107:1
186:12	initial 26:5	insistent	162:5,7
196:23	39:2 41:7	139:24	intention
201:4	52:11 96:5	140:22	134:7
204:4	177:20	insisting	intentions
205:10	212:12	283:18	
207:16			

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: interaction..investigatory

37:13	interpreter	152:3	98:15
interaction	42:23	153:17	128:11
32:7	interpreting	185:15	294:5,10
interest	10:4	investigated	307:2
22:21	interrogatorie	84:3	investigator
257:14, 16	s 262:22	investigating	147:21
interested	263:7	87:21	291:1,5,9
19:14	271:14	152:8	investigators
62:13	interrogatory	248:20	62:16
interesting	263:9,14,	investigation	102:23
44:5	25 266:2	20:4 88:7	103:11
209:13	268:3,6	122:15	278:3
interference	270:4	127:17	investigatory
	271:24, 25	138:14	11:10
266:4	273:23	152:7	12:23
interiors	interrupt	165:25	13:4,16
46:7 47:20	14:3	169:24, 25	14:19
internal	introduce	177:22, 25	15:6,18,23
97:12	7:11	183:17	16:6,9,14
219:5, 19	introduced	219:7	17:22, 25
international	12:24 13:2	251:13	18:5,8
10:7 11:18	31:23	257:9	19:22
22:22		investigations	20:16
178:1, 19	introducing		21:3,16
232:24	35:4 44:13	18:9,21	23:10
internet	introduction	19:12	28:25
62:15	12:5 14:23	21:25	29:6,14
81:18, 19,	intuitive	22:18, 24	39:23
23 110:4	19:6	29:7,9	50:24
176:16, 17	invade 256:6	101:19	51:4,16
interpret		197:3	59:8 61:5
265:8	invading	investigative	65:5 66:14
	55:8	19:13	80:13
interpreted	investigate	37:14	92:25
179:14	18:12	50:15	93:14
	19:19 89:2	58:6, 8	96:23
		93:18	98:9, 22

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: investment..Japanese

122:16	275:14	issues	33:2,	177:6,8
125:3,8,13	IP 207:18	5	89:21	180:10
141:22	283:5	91:20		183:1,16
148:25		100:19		191:8
163:20	Iran	124:22		192:21
177:2	104:20,21	163:24		193:3
179:7	Ireland	178:2		196:14
217:5	227:14	187:4		199:2
234:17	irony 231:12	199:3,18		201:14
investment	237:9	200:17		202:4
11:8	irrationally	201:19		204:14
invoice	287:17	268:11		205:7
170:12,22	irregular	275:8		207:5,23
171:9,17	161:24	item 29:4		208:12
254:1,8,10	162:13,18	147:9		211:1
255:22	163:3,11	items 44:2		212:23
259:3	165:12,21	138:2		217:22
261:12	166:4	140:15,16		218:3,18
invoices	irregularities			222:4
117:10	163:14,17	J		223:17
170:18				224:9
involve 18:1	irrelevant	jail 233:13		226:1
19:17	303:22	January 90:8		227:6
56:19 81:2	Israel 80:17	107:19		228:12
111:10	issue 71:9,	111:8		240:12
involved	10 152:13	114:25		243:5,9,
16:19	154:15	115:11,12		15,23
59:17 60:3	164:16	116:3		244:11,17
69:9 92:25	169:17	124:25		284:22,24
170:25	170:18	140:21		285:6
204:23	178:17	146:17,20		287:12
205:1	199:16	151:10,17		288:6,24
206:16	207:2	164:6		289:17
246:2	243:18	171:2,8		299:1,7,8
257:20	282:21	172:1,24		304:2,9,11
	293:8	174:25		305:23
involving		175:4		Japanese
				49:17,18,
				22 50:5

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: jargon..language

jargon		140:7	269:14
127:11	K	145:22	276:17
Jaysun 7:7	Kalorama	147:12	284:6
Jeep 45:23	46:9	164:8	knock 161:22
jeez 229:14	keen 44:5	168:23	167:9
Jinping	keeping	175:13	knocking
248:13	298:18	176:19	167:7
		197:25	229:4
		211:6	
job 150:6	key 75:5	219:5	knowledge
206:14	136:20	223:3	69:12,17
239:9	141:25	235:3	159:16
Joe 7:19	151:16,20	239:4	160:13
95:20	152:12	255:8	171:25
118:11	174:12	265:12	219:13
156:2	199:9	273:2	297:6,9
184:11	209:17		305:2
304:16	289:4	Kindergarten	
		9:21	Kong
John 11:12	keys 148:15	kindly 176:2	168:18,22
155:23,25	174:13,20	194:6	Krause 7:18
273:24	175:10,11	kinds 204:20	Kwok 7:24
274:1	213:17	Kingdom	275:25
	267:9	262:1	293:16
Johns 10:8	288:24		
joined 55:19	Khodorkovsky	knew 14:8	
Jointly	91:14,15	32:11	L
234:10,11	kind 11:3,5	33:15	la 43:20
journalism	14:9 22:4	47:15 60:6	labeled
40:22	23:11	71:14	205:11
	29:18	72:17 84:6	
journalists	50:11,12	131:20	Lakes 9:10
90:15	87:19 89:2	147:3	landed 184:2
jump 287:16	117:24	150:7	lands 290:1
jurisdiction	128:19	188:11	lane 49:3
235:16	129:1	197:4	language
	138:1,17	228:11,15	191:5
	139:7	238:12	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: languages..Lianchao

264:17	124:10	learning	244:24
288:18	171:13	253:13	level 22:5
languages	228:19	299:4	145:22
64:24	239:5	lease 292:11	levels
laptop	245:24	leases	138:14
200:13	262:13	292:11	leverage
282:15	lawsuits	leave 40:15	86:21
laptops	33:8	182:17	87:8,19,20
282:19, 25	lawyer	239:13	Lian 224:2
283:7	131:23, 24	led 277:1	Lianchao
large 37:19	239:13	left 71:13	12:6,19
44:6	lawyers	181:22	28:1 31:24
47:15, 16	263:15	190:1	32:15
82:11	layers	225:9	33:12
202:21	138:13	247:20, 22	34:20, 21
212:9	221:8	legal 8:25	35:18
280:19	lead 216:18	79:17	37:10
Las 9:7, 15	leader 52:21	140:1	38:16
late 32:1	83:15, 16,	149:14, 15	39:15
211:19, 22	20 91:16,	legally	42:11
latest	17 177:19	98:19	45:10, 11,
225:24	240:5	178:12	17, 23
laundering	246:11	224:17	46:21
138:6	leaders	270:16	48:4, 7
law 7:17	99:21	legitimate	56:24 74:7
99:9	100:1	221:9, 14	75:20 76:4
166:8, 13	leadership	legitimately	90:15, 23
184:13	88:24	181:2	109:24
235:18	152:9	letter	111:1
286:21	leads 222:11	118:14	136:18, 19
295:12	learned	123:14	137:6, 12
laws 126:25	285:13	175:23	151:15
lawsuit	298:25	241:6, 11	164:21
123:6	304:9, 11	242:19, 23	165:5
			170:25
			181:12, 15

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: Lianchao's..long

182:12	291:4,5	278:16	lives	45:17
185:7	292:3	list	66:7,	222:15
186:22	life	14,16	living	
195:3,5,	162:9	67:18		152:15
10,15,16	258:16	68:6,12		157:23
200:6	life-	69:6 72:3		158:16,21
215:14	threatening	84:4,5	LLC	7:5
219:19	152:11	138:17,19,		9:11 242:5
221:21,23		22 139:11,		
222:10,15	light	14 140:8,	LLCS	242:8,
224:2	Lightly	10 147:18		12
228:24	81:25	153:4	lobby	176:7
230:10	limit	156:14	lobbying	
231:3,23,	158:19	177:9		30:2,9
25 232:6	184:4	234:22		
237:1,22	limited	257:10	lobbyists	
243:9,13,	7:4	260:18		30:6
15,22	58:21	280:10,14	locate	45:2
244:23	122:12	286:10,23,		
245:4,5,15	172:13,24	25	located	
249:13,15	222:17	listed		278:14
250:2	limits	65:22		279:8,14
264:3,22	286:21	68:17	location	
265:18		167:2		148:3
286:2	Line-item	listen	81:5	179:5
287:5	251:23	267:1		238:11
297:20	lines	listened		241:25
299:10	288:15,17	217:25		279:4
302:8,10	lingo	127:10	logistics	
Lianchao's	linguistic	literally		137:15
42:12	112:9	42:2		204:24
		227:13		
license	linguistic's	litigation	London	
239:25	10:3	7:10 117:7		168:16
291:8		124:4		295:2
292:6,8,13	Linkedin	299:16	long	25:9
	27:19	303:21		224:25
licensed				232:12
290:25	links	92:10		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: long-term..Mandarin

247:22	121:12	lunches	major	148:5
266:19	129:14	211:11		190:23
269:14	148:6	lying	make	27:15
293:2	153:1			31:2 48:13
302:11	157:3	Lytle		73:3,15
303:11	159:6			79:20
304:1	160:16			153:23
305:14	182:14	machine		162:22
306:6	217:19			163:25
long-term	219:25	288:21		166:5
	224:25	made	189:3	
301:13	231:11			190:13
longer	242:8	46:3 75:12		198:25
	245:18	112:9		200:12
looked	270:17	155:20		214:16
	274:11	189:8,11,		218:1
57:1		14 190:11,		219:2,14
160:21	lots 120:1	12,25		221:4
233:3,6	211:10,11	194:18		223:25
239:21		200:19,20		301:12
270:22	Loushin 7:7	203:3		306:16,18
286:9,11,	loved	219:11,13		
13	128:14,21	284:8		
lord	low 238:9,	306:10	makes	95:24,
	10,11			25 207:23
lose	lunch 32:14,	mail 241:14		212:7
	8 15,18			
lost	125:17	mainland	making	154:6
	136:6	38:24 40:5		
lot	161:15	168:20	man	206:9
	215:19	169:25	manage	99:20
39:13	220:10,11	187:5		205:18
45:20	231:21	264:16	manager	
66:17	287:12	maintain		169:10
108:2	296:22	40:13	managing	
110:12		292:6		180:7
116:25	luncheon	298:5		206:7,17
117:2,17	167:14	maintained		
		28:14	Mandarin	
		283:17		43:11

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: mandate..meeting

191:18	252:2	meaningful	176:6
192:1	254:3	148:9	183:10
265:15	257:3	means 19:18	190:15
mandate	260:13	116:12	227:25
150:7	262:22	184:6, 20	233:15
mandated	271:14, 16	186:1	243:15
152:2	275:18, 20	224:25	283:14, 18
		marshaling	284:9
manifests	278:2	meant 14:9	meeting 26:5
148:3		131:20	30:21
manner	211:5	198:4	31:10
162:23	match 94:14	200:15	35:9, 22
March 120:8,	154:14	205:17	36:19 37:8
13 123:17	160:5, 18	253:15	38:1, 2, 4,
125:12		281:9	8, 13
196:16, 17	material	288:11	39:18, 24
225:15	145:4		40:25
242:22	materials	meantime	41:25
261:16	8:22 130:5	171:13	42:3, 4, 6,
262:6, 7, 11	135:8, 12	measure	13 43:2,
mark 268:24	matter 7:3	134:14	16, 18
marked 24:6	66:25 81:9	media 7:2	45:16
27:5 30:14	176:4	33:11	46:15, 16,
41:13, 14	matters	63:25	20 47:12
65:18	187:1	64:23	50:25
73:21	maxes 122:25	68:25	54:10
84:10, 12		69:21 70:4	57:2, 23
85:8	Mayfair	92:20	58:4
107:19, 20	11:1, 7	98:15	74:13, 14,
126:4	meal 210:22	148:25	16 84:20,
186:15, 17	meaning	149:2, 3, 24	25 86:5, 10
226:12	128:10	150:8	97:17
228:22	168:13	151:2, 5	102:8
241:7	193:23	204:2	146:23
248:1	285:17	meet 25:5	174:7
251:24		33:12	181:14, 23
		146:3, 19	182:5, 16,
			19 183:16

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: meetings..Mike

184:3	205:25	202:25	metro 47:1
186:9	257:25	203:7	Michael
190:22	258:9	205:14	11:12, 13
199:16	270:2	212:23	27:25
215:13, 23	memorandum	228:21	200:9
216:1	102:2	229:11	214:13
217:21	memorialize	297:8	221:7
218:3, 18	118:4	messages	Mickey 277:3
221:20, 22	memory 37:2	184:5, 8, 15, 19, 22	301:17
222:5	51:1 188:6	186:14, 21	micro-targeted
225:11, 19	228:23	222:18	76:19
226:1, 8	mentally	messaging	77:16
233:16	217:8	64:24	mid-december
244:19	mention	92:21	199:15
285:6, 8	38:11 40:8	met 24:24	mid-february
306:6	mentioned	25:20	229:3
meetings	23:18 33:6	26:11	mid-jan
31:21	36:2 65:9	32:22	199:15
36:17	72:11	36:14	middle 65:25
46:15	160:7	37:25	66:21
74:11	174:5	39:12	80:18
76:13 81:9	218:15	46:13	103:18
108:9, 10	mentions	76:14	111:2, 3
120:2	28:22	164:6	116:2
134:8	menu 43:20	181:21	118:22
143:15	65:8 102:9	190:19	123:16
177:18	150:5	227:25	164:6
211:2	167:3	233:2	237:3
232:12	mess 290:5	246:14	240:15, 24
243:8	message	268:2	242:21
297:12	110:7	276:6	262:12
mega 101:10	192:9	284:21, 24	278:4, 7, 11
member	193:15, 20	296:8, 14, 16	279:2, 20
176:22	members	method	280:11
212:2	201:15	144:23	Mike 11:14,
71:24			

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: Mike's..moment

15 12:20	215:2	234:9	87:24
30:22	216:3	284:5	166:19
41:23	217:24	289:7	260:24
42:7,10	220:3,7	Mikhail	mine 91:15
51:1 53:3,	221:1	91:14,15	234:7,9
4 54:8,9,	222:2		290:2
20 58:1	224:15	Miles 7:24	
60:19	225:2,3,4	12:9,16	Mingduan
62:16	226:2,4,5,	30:21	157:2,6
64:7,16	24 228:13	32:25 33:2	Mingshan
74:7 76:4,	230:10	37:12 40:3	157:7
24 80:4	231:1	42:1,11	minimal
83:18	232:23	43:21	119:12
86:16	233:18	46:22	
87:15 90:1	236:17	47:11	minor
97:12,14	237:23	50:1,2,8,	200:12,22
107:25	238:14	14 54:8,22	
108:13,25	239:19	58:7 65:7	minute 117:1
116:7	241:2	82:10	212:12
120:17	243:12,24	221:5	minutes
121:21	245:7	275:25	26:11
123:20	246:1	military	63:11
132:14	249:21	64:5	126:1
146:1,9,17	252:16	million 48:1	185:3
151:16	260:1	84:5 97:5	mischaracterize 293:25
160:14	267:20,21	158:14	
169:19	271:1,4,5	161:5	miscommunicati
177:12	279:25	185:18	on 219:6,20
180:12	284:6,24	193:17	misnomer
199:17	286:12	217:19	102:25
200:9	287:22	301:11	103:4
204:18,25	289:7	millions	
205:17	299:20	49:5	misunderstanding 143:17
206:2,14	302:3	276:10	
207:12	Mike's 62:19	mind 45:13	moment
209:12	73:25	50:19	119:21
210:8	121:22	82:14	248:3
213:5	229:10		306:10

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: Monday..needed

Monday	175:1	188:3, 5,	multi-million-	11 223:14
	176:5	11, 17, 24	dollar 47:25	232:25
	182:1	251:23	multiple	233:4, 10
	198:14	252:6	64:24	235:5, 6
	201:23	261:22, 23	111:18, 22	236:2, 19
	207:6	monthly	138:13	249:20
monetary		166:2	179:14	250:8, 23
	36:5	196:18	211:19, 22	254:14, 20
	89:17, 19	months 73:9	mutual	272:18
money	56:20	82:22	100:24	280:15, 18
	115:20	150:16	189:13	281:20, 24
	117:5	196:9, 14	230:9	291:18
	138:6	197:9, 17		298:18
	158:12	231:15	N	naming 21:5
	169:5, 10	237:12		81:1
	170:5, 23	247:3	Nahyan 68:20	narrative
	193:8		72:2, 14	136:25
	198:16	morning 7:1,	73:7	nationality
	246:18, 19	15 8:6		
	273:19	201:23	named 157:22	160:3
	290:1	224:24	names 12:13	264:18
		mother	21:5 67:22	nationwide
monitor	7:13	157:2, 5, 10	81:1 82:11	45:4, 6
	82:21 93:9		127:20, 21,	
	97:5	MOU 102:1	22, 23	nature 11:10
monitored		Mouse 277:3	129:6, 15	56:21
	94:16	301:18	148:2	183:21
monitoring		move 8:22	153:1, 16	205:2
	83:1, 9	50:15	160:4, 17,	210:23
	93:12, 22	146:1	18, 25	214:7
		238:21	162:21	216:22
month	82:13,	moved 128:22	180:25	needed 114:7
	15 127:18,		181:4, 6, 9	152:22
	24 129:11	movement	185:17	164:11
	141:13	50:7	206:4	180:13
	143:9, 10	moving 14:5	217:15	198:17
	144:17	301:7	221:10, 14,	219:4
	182:2		17 222:6,	220:10, 21

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: negotiate..objection

231:10	80:18	notes	31:14 ,	260:19 , 24
279:6	82:23		20 35:11	261:1
288:12 , 14	Nevada		109:10	263:10 , 25
289:3	127:1 , 6		156:24	266:2
negotiate	241:15 , 16 ,		158:6	268:3 , 6
255:12	18 , 21	notice	24:5 ,	269:16
negotiated	242:10		16 266:8	270:4
283:12	news 68:25		293:9 , 13	271:24
299:20	198:7	notification		281:20 , 25
negotiating	NGO 302:11			283:5
295:9 , 16 ,	nice 214:20	November		284:4
24	nightmare		32:2 49:13	numbered
negotiation	175:21	NSA	233:1	280:22
252:14	nods 8:17		250:17	numbers
negotiations	nominee	number	27:8 ,	56:2 , 3
50:23	106:1		15 , 16	127:17
neighbor	non-		32:22 40:4	152:25
175:13 , 22	prioritized		49:3 52:15	153:1
196:2	280:15		61:12	158:25
274:10 , 11	nonetheless		63:19	159:1
net 212:25	303:17		148:5	160:1 , 2
213:2 , 7	nonsense		152:8	196:19
214:3	153:23		154:12 , 13	207:18
network	194:10		157:17 , 22	250:9
21:1 , 20	normal 8:14		158:11	280:19 , 24
28:18	273:21		167:21 , 25	numerous
79:22	North 9:3		168:2	204:19
90:10	Notary 8:2		179:3	277:10
91:4 , 11	308:24		180:19	
networking	note 31:2		188:2 , 4	o
99:16 , 20 ,			204:16	
25 101:12 ,			209:6	oath 167:19
14	157:15		219:16	Obama 285:16
networks	290:21		239:25	objection
			248:14	
			252:2 , 18	29:16 , 20

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: objections..original

78:22	80:3	occasions	offices	opposed
81:12,20		182:23	23:14	134:17
83:6	97:11	296:9,13,	officials	212:10
106:13		16	89:20	opposite
133:22		occur 32:7	One's 213:23	48:17
137:8		36:19	240:7	opposition
142:11		181:23	285:23	91:5,12,17
155:16		occurred	online 98:6,	92:1,24
166:6,23		37:7 43:16	10 149:3	99:21
201:7		51:3,6	269:17	100:1,3,
212:11		165:16	oops 251:13	11,14,23
218:13		218:10	open 110:4	option
219:8		246:25	175:8,11,	209:16
223:15		October 32:1	12,15	210:17
277:13		odd 15:25	218:5	232:19
280:20		259:15,17	231:7	options
282:14		275:3	openly 102:8	209:8
284:13		offer 222:20	oral 223:18	
294:25		223:1	operate	
298:21		offered	76:18	orally
303:14		51:15 52:4	166:14	135:16
304:5		220:24	operation	145:12
objections		offering	204:22, 24	oranges
262:21		93:9	Operations	155:19
263:4,5		office 11:4,	254:2, 8	order 21:20
271:13		6 44:10,19	opinion 61:3	97:20
obligation		obtain	160:13	127:25
166:5		45:19 49:9	303:20	146:3,4
obtained		228:2	opportunity	194:9
185:25		241:20	146:8	265:11
obvious	19:6	242:4	organizational	
occasional		292:11	155:1	92:16,19
162:15		300:21	288:8	organize
		officers	289:1	206:15
		16:25	oppose	
			293:12	original

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: originally..parties

85:5	overview	134:17	parents
109:19	9:23 10:14	135:22	59:22
127:19	22:17 94:1	189:20,22	157:3,8,12
132:1,7	101:17	301:4,6	
159:3	102:6		parsing
191:5		papers 71:15	177:21
248:19	owe 170:23	paperwork	part 10:8
249:2	171:1	273:2	23:15
279:1	246:18	306:10	29:25
304:23	owed 255:13	paragraph	37:14,22
originally	owner 49:12,	40:20	43:2
64:4	13	64:20	59:22,23
254:25	owners 48:22	127:1	65:4,6
Ostensibly	49:20	130:10	78:6 89:5
100:25	158:22	141:4	97:23,24
outfit 34:1		145:2	98:9
	P	161:25	101:2,6,7
outlined		170:16	108:20
171:4	package 65:7	265:23	131:19
outset	93:20	268:21	154:2
163:12	94:19	269:5	155:4,5
233:21	packet 82:11	276:1	165:4
overseas	paid 84:5	277:19	177:1
11:2	113:21	280:8,12	190:24
117:19	117:5	282:16	218:24
118:19	161:5	283:11	257:15
139:5	171:2,3	285:12	259:25
149:9	185:18	286:15	269:11
179:5	259:3	288:3	276:16
183:6,7	262:16	289:9	278:21
204:17	301:11		279:1
239:6		paragraphs	participate
246:15	paper 31:4,	43:4	75:6 78:7
269:11	6,20 38:14	parameter	parties
270:7	43:18	209:18,19	162:14
282:23	84:19	parameters	298:1,5,6,
	85:2,7,19,	224:16	19
	24 86:12		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: party..percent

party	59:19 , 21,22,23 60:23 88:18,19, 21,23 131:5 169:1 183:8 212:6 248:15 284:7 299:19	231:9 patiently 231:2 patterns 82:23 pause 166:5 pay 35:2 116:7 117:11 165:13,22 168:6	peering pending 303:9 304:19 Penn 285:2 penthouse 36:23 people 12:20 19:10 20:7 21:13 22:10 30:6	62:8 163:18 168:22 180:17,20, 22 181:3 185:16 186:3,4,10 192:14 194:6 198:5 205:18 206:13,14 211:25 212:1 216:6 222:7 233:10 235:21,23 236:9,13 237:19 238:14 239:17 240:22 245:2 247:3 249:2 255:5,6 256:17 257:10,20 258:22 260:6 279:2 284:4,6 288:17
Party's	203:18 88:13,17	246:8 247:4	45:1 48:21	
passport	152:25 154:12,13	273:14,19 paying 116:6 168:22 178:14 261:10	57:10,22 58:9 66:3, 7,8,14 67:3,17,18 68:23 payment 72:18,19	233:10 235:21,23 236:9,13 237:19 238:14 239:17
passports	153:17 186:4,10	166:5 301:2 payments 89:4 118:5	76:25 77:1 79:22 80:22 81:2,10 84:3 86:21 87:8,23 89:18 92:11	240:22 245:2 247:3 249:2 255:5,6 256:17 257:10,20
password	149:8	170:10 202:21	101:19 103:16 120:2 125:16 132:12	
passwords	149:5	PDF 192:17 pedaling	125:16 132:12	258:22
past	32:12 97:2 105:1	243:20 peek 52:6, 13 239:24	103:16 120:2 125:16 132:12	279:2 284:4,6 288:17
paths	11:17 34:21	peeking 55:8,12,23 235:7	146:3 149:6 157:9 160:10	percent 162:10 211:23 217:10
patient		239:22		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: perception..ping-pong

perception	183:7	291:4, 20	269:18
231:16	197:20	300:17	photographed
perfect 50:2	198:3	302:15	283:21, 23
162:20	204:18	303:12	photographs
	205:17, 19	304:3	
perfectly	207:12	306:4	46:8 186:4
131:20	276:22	persons	physical
perform	283:14	234:15, 18,	241:23
19:11, 24	296:9, 14	20 235:15,	physically
96:22	300:2, 23	22, 24	126:12, 19
102:11	persona 48:8	236:10, 24	189:18
238:24	personal	239:2	238:4
performed	9:16 66:15	240:9	250:6
96:10	67:20	285:17, 23	304:4
272:1	69:11, 16	pharmaceutical	picked
performs	82:23	49:17 50:6	45:10, 17
18:21	224:21	phenomenal	picture
period 28:13	275:12	36:7	100:8
32:23	276:12	Phillips	pictures
166:3	296:6	7:19	186:10
244:14	personally	phone 81:2	261:10
periods	28:6 69:20	187:13, 21	piece 134:17
162:17	77:15	191:13	135:22
	80:20, 22	194:1	181:3
permission	81:21 82:2	305:1, 4	189:22
68:11	105:15, 17	phones	pieces
person 8:15,	110:20	204:20, 21	79:18, 20
16 25:20	137:10, 11	205:2	158:2
57:12	178:10	207:20, 21	178:12
58:10	180:7	photocopy	Pierre 176:6
91:18	218:1	252:22	pin 70:21
93:10	243:25	photograph	Ping 248:13
94:20	244:1	74:17	ping-pong
146:19	258:14	91:13	194:7
157:25	275:7	155:25	
159:2	276:7		
160:21	284:21		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: pivot..preparation

pivot	50:23	199:8	portion	pre-report
place	54:15	216:4	44:17	54:13
156:3		224:3	178:8,18,	precede
175:17		228:5	19	14:25
292:17		239:3	portrayed	preceded
308:9		240:24	291:2,6,10	14:22
		247:9		
Plaintiff's		251:12	positive	108:12
262:21		294:1	39:4 44:15	precise
271:13		296:21	possibly	216:12
plan	43:22	299:3	32:15 76:6	243:7
77:5		303:9	150:21	precisely
planned		pointed 46:3	231:22	19:20
197:15,16		points 129:7	243:13	21:22
217:9		policy 40:23	297:11	39:11,22
planning		185:1	301:14	41:3 53:8
215:14		polite	Post 33:22	61:22 88:2
plate	121:12	305:10	131:5	144:25
122:4,16,		political	Post-it	preliminary
22 123:2,		59:18	31:20	30:20
11 124:3,		86:22 87:9	potential	36:13
5,10,12,20		88:4,6	71:8	89:25 90:1
played		89:7,10,	120:18	93:7 94:1
195:14,15		14,16,18	121:13,18	101:16
206:7		105:19,20	232:12	102:6
pleasant		politicians	270:17	116:13
72:13		21:11	280:10	143:1,3,
pleased		105:4,5,	Pottinger	10,13,19,
213:13		16,24	295:3,8	24 144:1,
point	32:14	politics	Powerpoint	16,21,25
37:4 63:25		33:16	74:24 75:2	185:23
84:20		popular	PP 234:20	240:1
115:7		242:15	practice	250:25
188:2			184:22	premier
191:20		porous	283:6	248:13
		110:12		preparation
				25:6 26:19

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: prepare..privileged

263:13	presented	previous	42:4 43:5
prepare	35:12 51:4	49:12,13,	49:15 57:2
24:21	54:6 55:4	20 223:21	71:12 90:5
132:1	63:7 75:2,	previously	100:5,7
266:6	14,16,17	96:22	102:21
	76:1 96:11	174:5	165:6
prepared	217:22	291:16	171:17
25:24	223:18	price 48:25	294:19
201:10	presenting	165:22	295:3
267:6	38:20	185:21	296:14,17
preparing	president	prices 93:5	297:13
266:21	106:1,4,5	252:14	299:4
presence	248:14	princess	301:20
37:17 44:6	presidential	103:24	prioritization
47:17	105:25	104:2,9	280:11
present	pressure	principal	priority
32:18 35:9	232:11	28:13	280:18,22
37:8 38:23	pressured	principals	prison
42:9	225:16	17:6	254:15
46:17,19	presume	print 75:3	270:18
54:18	191:18	174:14	private
57:11,23	300:8	200:13,15	17:12 22:1
126:12,19	presumed	print-off	29:7,9
182:10	213:10	27:20	48:22
214:21	300:3	printed	68:5,7,10
215:9	301:16	174:11,19	147:20
220:25	303:6	176:14	289:22
221:22	pretty 68:21	177:11	290:25
224:21	100:16	189:15	291:5,8
presentation	226:9	printer	292:16
43:25	232:24	176:17	298:12
56:19 57:8	281:23	189:16	privilege
58:18	prevent	prior 15:4,	70:12,14
62:7,12	169:1	9,23 24:18	71:8
74:25	266:20	privileged	70:10

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019
Index: privy..proper

privy	54:9 199:25	48:12 50:12	287:19 production 118:12 253:23 273:7	15, 21 142:1, 8, 12, 19, 20, 21 143:7, 20 144:1, 13, 21
pro	100:20	77:13, 24 78:6, 8, 9		12, 19, 20, 21 143:7, 20 144:1,
Pro-communist	33:7	109:1 112:14		13, 21
pro-democracy		146:5 32:13 60:19, 20 91:16 92:22 100:18, 19, 20 187:2, 3	professional 153:19 154:18 177:20 180:7, 11 192:10 193:18 204:15, 16 217:11, 12 231:4	213:15, 23 219:7, 12 project 45:9 49:8
proactive	100:20	266:23 110:14 144:7 175:9 223:4, 5, 6, 7, 8	profile 12:10 57:18, 20, 21 130:6 135:13 170:24 171:21 172:10, 12, 24 173:13, 14 263:5 275:24 277:5, 8	58:15, 17 59:4, 6 122:16 Profit 7:4, 16 8:8 12:10 218:12 246:3 277:8, 9
problem		205:1		Projected 251:23
problems	163:11, 20 211:18, 21 212:8 219:10 268:12	produce 20:20 41:9 133:3, 9 134:5, 6 141:1 143:7	produce 24 173:13, 14 263:5 275:24 277:5, 8	projects 11:2, 3, 6 14:20
procedure	216:19 221:2	246:9 288:9 289:1	profits 116:5 246:17, 20	promise 276:3 promised 276:20
proceedings	307:18	produced 112:21	program 90:18	prompt 193:15
proceeds	246:22	135:9 246:7	programs 283:22	propaganda 92:15, 18
process	43:6	product 20:16	progress 140:25 141:1, 6, 9,	proper 198:13

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: properly..put

properly	provide	16:9	providing	171:11
195:8		17:16	16:6 98:21	175:18
properties		18:10 20:9	135:12	250:23
45:2,13		23:12	153:15	purchases
47:14		29:10 30:2	294:21	138:6,7,8
49:10		40:9 51:15	provisioning	purchasing
		62:20	15:17	44:7
property		64:25		
47:11,20		65:12	Psycho-	purportedly
49:21		67:4,7	political	267:7
152:16		76:23	73:21	
proposing		77:15	public 8:2	purpose 47:8
190:23		80:12 91:8	28:2 68:18	88:9,10
prosecution		93:21	71:15,20	94:22 99:6
86:23		122:2	308:24	162:5
87:10		125:8,13	publication	168:8,25
		133:4	34:8	199:22
protect		165:20		283:3
86:21		177:2	publicized	purposes
87:8,23		262:15	61:6	92:16,19
169:7		263:18	publicly	283:8
298:10		307:3	98:18	pursuant
protected	provided		publish	272:2
234:20		13:8 66:3,	61:10	pursuing
235:14		6,13 87:14	published	19:15
238:19		105:13,14	61:12	put 45:22
239:1,11,		130:5	pull 128:9	52:25
18 240:10,		141:21	129:12	57:18
23 250:13		153:8,21	150:14,17	66:22
256:5		154:1	178:12	70:21
285:16,23		155:2,13	179:2	79:17
protection		156:21		90:1,21
298:1		161:1	pulled	97:20
		263:21	171:13	106:16
protective		268:10	pulling	118:14
162:8		271:19	132:10	127:7
prove	57:12,	274:16	150:13	128:4,9
24 217:18		280:9		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: putting..re-did

129:10	16:2, 3	255:17	quickly 8:22
138:23	18:16, 18,	257:13	56:22
140:7, 10	19, 24 19:1	259:15, 17	219:24
168:23	22:16	268:19	233:25
174:6	23:23 32:8	269:3	quietly
175:14	50:12	275:1	231:8, 10
176:13	52:23 59:9	292:18	
177:15	69:23, 25	293:19	quit 270:9,
187:23, 24	70:12	296:10, 12	14, 24
188:16	71:11, 12,	299:11	271:4
201:20	13, 16	302:17, 21	quitting
248:17	78:1, 25	303:9, 15,	270:15
261:11	79:6 81:6	21, 23, 24	
267:12, 16	96:14	304:14, 16,	quote 207:19
272:18	100:12	19, 21, 23	301:15
274:18	103:6	305:16, 19,	quoted 93:6
putting	106:23	20	97:17
36:10 80:5	107:7		252:15
90:18	112:17		
139:13	113:19	questions	
199:9	114:23	8:9, 19	
211:19, 21	115:22	22:4 26:23	
269:16	124:7, 15	37:13	
Pyratz 229:8	129:21	61:25	rabbit
	134:22	69:24 70:9	154:16
	141:17	95:17	181:1
Q	143:18	160:17	217:17
	144:8, 13	208:16, 18	rabbits
Qatar	159:4, 10,	263:16	139:6
104:24, 25	11 166:10,	272:13	raised
Qing 226:12	12 172:16	290:23	169:19
quality	176:25	292:22	ran 236:19
132:1	216:25	293:17	
	236:7	307:10	rarely 81:4,
Quayle 106:4	238:23		14
question	239:12	quick 71:1	
8:13 13:19	243:17	266:25	rate 256:16
15:25	253:8	quickest	
		234:1	raw 288:5,
			22
			re-did 191:4

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: reach..recollection

reach	93:19	128:19	187:15	receptive
260:2		realized	188:8,15	44:3
reached	74:2	164:15	190:21	recess 63:14
122:6		251:13	197:12	71:4
read	20:19	reason 95:21	210:22	136:10
42:25		113:3	214:23	167:14
69:20 70:3		128:17	216:1	209:3
71:14		196:16	219:10	256:22
203:17		213:6	220:15,16,	recipient
231:1		218:24	17 225:18	170:4
252:18		220:10,21	receipt	recipients
253:1		233:20	244:23	62:22
262:18		272:19	receipts	recognizable
265:12,13		reasons	118:10	284:6
267:13		183:25	receive	recognize
reading	72:4	270:25	85:22 96:5	24:7,10
180:3		292:10	170:5	27:18
287:8		recall 31:3	180:21	30:16
ready	71:17	32:5	193:8	41:14
192:14		38:17,20	received	73:23
205:10		39:9,19,22	63:8 132:9	84:12
292:25		40:11	170:11	107:21
Reagan	106:4	43:16	194:1	126:6
		50:22 51:3	201:18	186:18
real	37:17	58:1,3	202:14	226:13
38:11		63:7 75:24	261:18	241:9
44:16 45:9		109:23	280:23	248:6
49:8		116:23	receiving	254:7
142:22		127:11	165:13	257:5
157:2,7		139:13	192:25	260:15
160:10		140:14	203:6	261:9
161:9,10		142:6,7	262:15	262:24
292:3,9,14		157:17,18	recently	271:15
reality		158:16	49:15,16	275:19
303:19		159:4	291:15	recollection
realize		172:14		84:18
		182:9		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: recommendation..regularly

192:20	23	250:12	referenced	reflecting
215:22		253:19	111:19	253:19
219:22		270:11	references	refocused
recommendation		285:16, 23	65:24	286:24
90:23		records'	66:2, 22	refunded
recommending		256:5	67:3, 20	254:15, 16,
91:11		recovery	68:21	17
record	8:11	86:23	73:2, 10	
12:14		87:10	126:25	refunds
14:15 19:7		recruiting	referencing	refusal
26:14		278:1	95:23	49:21
27:13		279:24	referral	
42:18		redo 135:3	35:2	refused
46:19		redoing	referred	225:1
63:12, 16		189:23	12:8, 17	285:10
70:19			28:19	refusing
71:2, 5, 20		redraft	82:18	13:18 14:9
99:6 106:3		188:13	83:10	70:11
118:14		reduce 89:7	111:22	120:21
136:8, 11		255:22	131:8	124:14, 15
161:16		reduced	132:17, 24	regard 87:16
167:12, 16		127:21, 22	204:7	298:16
177:1		254:10	247:13	
179:21			269:5	Regency 11:1
209:1, 4		redundant	referring	regime 40:21
240:21		293:18	27:14	59:23
256:20, 23		300:12	61:20, 23	registered
269:21		refer 11:14	62:4 87:15	127:5
293:7		12:8, 11, 16	96:15	291:12, 21
294:2		reference	269:22	292:1
307:14		73:3	refers 131:9	Registration
records		162:20	187:20	291:14
118:3, 9, 10		210:16, 19	273:23	regroup
235:14		215:6	reflect	196:21
238:19		289:9	118:5	regularly
239:1, 11,				
18 240:9,				

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019
 Index: rejig..reports

283:7	9 40:2,3,	remotely	226:11,18,
rejig 156:4	24 41:2,3,	148:7	20 239:17
	5 42:2	render 293:8	261:11,19
relations	45:14		262:4,10,
222:25	47:10	repeat 96:14	14 288:9,
relationship	56:22 63:3	274:25	10 289:2
16:13	69:4 75:21	repeatedly	reported
72:23	76:5,8,9	48:23	286:20
104:23	88:1,3	217:14	
113:11	110:16	284:10	reporter
169:2	117:3		7:8,12
175:25	119:11	repetitive	8:11,18
	123:17	18:16,18	55:17
relationships	139:17	rephrase	238:22
68:14	140:21	293:20	reporters
69:12	151:12	report 20:17	90:15
103:24	158:17	21:17	reports
104:17	182:11,13	54:1,19	20:10,12
221:11	188:22	134:1	33:11
249:1	189:8	135:1	98:18
260:4	190:17,18	136:25	132:2
relaxed	200:22	141:1,6,10	133:4,9,
231:12	202:25	142:1,19,	13,15,21
relied	203:6	20,21	134:5,6,25
90:21,22	210:1,3,9	143:8,10,	136:14
relying	216:3	13,14,19,	140:25
143:4	245:10	20,21,24	141:15,21
remainder	246:5	144:1,11,	142:8,12
159:20	248:10	12,14,16,	143:1,3
	249:21	21,22,25	148:8,11,
remaining	257:14	145:7,9,	12,17,18,
35:19	286:14	10,15,21	20 150:8,
remarkable	287:1	146:15	10 151:3
150:13	290:21	151:6	162:22
	293:24	156:10	165:22
remember	302:22,24	166:25	203:24,25
15:14,21	303:4	223:18,25	204:3,7
28:9 31:5,		224:9	266:6,21

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: represent..reside

267:6,8,9,	29:13	101:3	162:16,22
12,22	requires	102:21	163:9,10,
281:6,21,	303:16	103:21	21,25
22		107:18	164:10
represent	research	111:11,18	165:14,20
293:16	11:10	113:8,12,	166:21
295:14	12:24	22 117:6,	167:1
	13:4,16	15 119:24	177:3
represented	14:20	122:16,23	178:3,8
39:3	15:6,18,23	124:24	179:7
299:18	16:6,9,14	125:3,6,8,	182:25
300:1	17:23,25	13 126:3	204:1
represents	18:5	130:11,18,	208:11
187:3	19:17,22,	21,22	211:25
Republican	24 20:16	131:6	217:5
105:3,5,	21:3,16	132:1,7,16	218:2
14,22,23	22:10,18	133:4	219:12,21
	28:22,25	136:14	228:17
reputation	29:7,15	137:7,13,	232:8,18
68:22	39:23	17,24	238:16,25
request	50:24	138:3,15	247:4
29:22	51:16	139:19	259:7,14
43:22	52:3,8	140:5,16	264:11
197:22	53:2 54:1,	141:23	265:11
232:8	2 59:4,8,	142:9	270:16
259:6,12	14 60:7,	143:8	272:1,16
262:3	13,21 61:5	145:8,9,	282:11
282:25	65:5 66:14	14,21	290:10
289:18,21	69:1 77:6	146:15	294:6
	80:13	147:10,12,	300:9
requested	81:8,15,	13 148:9,	researched
22:2 58:23	18,19,23,	10,12,17,	138:18
60:7 198:9	24 82:18	25 149:1,	139:1
281:21	83:4 85:11	24,25	
282:25	90:25	150:8	reserve
requesters	92:25	151:3,6,14	202:20
59:5	96:9,17,23	155:11	reside 9:2
required	97:1 98:10	161:11	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: residence..RPS

residence	restricted	retrieving	274:7, 9
44:7, 17	234:15, 18	94:11, 18	ridiculous
158:23	235:15, 22,	153:15	130:1
residential	24 236:10,	return 194:6	197:5
49:10	24 239:2	253:3	Riggs 48:14
respect	240:9	returned	right-hand
54:11	result 74:6	242:20	27:9 63:19
	88:25	reveal 173:5	74:19
respond	166:4	263:19	risk 97:19,
71:17	170:2	revealed	23 165:15
response	287:13	71:11	270:19
22:4 26:24	results	revealing	Roberta 7:9
43:24	211:17	69:15	Rodriguez
62:6, 11	232:9	111:9	157:22
71:12	retain 86:9	reversal	158:8
172:7	149:11, 23	289:10, 12	role 17:9
192:25	retained	290:9	42:12
203:12, 15,	85:7	reversed	44:15
17 227:18,	retrieval	289:19	206:7
24 229:19	95:12	review 20:12	room 55:16,
259:11	150:17	24:18	22 75:22,
266:9, 12	183:8	137:11	25 84:22
268:16	233:23	262:17	182:17
271:12	retrievals	reviewed	185:14
272:6	183:4	137:5	224:6
273:23	retrieve	147:5	303:7
responses	89:2 97:20	148:20	roommate
262:21	117:21	199:21	104:10
263:14	118:20	rhetoric	roughly 97:4
266:15	215:2	92:22	round 227:15
responsibiliti es 278:19	219:4, 24	Richard	RP 234:14,
responsible	221:6	175:24	21 250:5
295:8, 15,	230:25	176:20, 22	RPS 233:13
24	retrieved	177:1	
rest 187:25	56:5, 7, 8		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: rubbish..screamed

249:9,19,	safe	267:16	33:22	174:22
20 251:2,		285:11	55:17	179:18
6,14	safety	169:3	56:16	201:7
255:18			59:11	203:6,9,11
270:11	SAIS	10:7	61:24	208:18,24
rubbish	sakes	259:23	63:23 68:2	212:11,20
161:9	sale	48:24,	69:16,22	216:24
217:20		25	70:3,15,	218:13
			18,23 71:7	219:8
run 10:15	sample	51:15	73:15	223:15
17:11 34:3	sat	109:6,9	78:22	253:8,13
61:1		177:12	79:1,5	254:4
128:11		191:16	80:3,8	259:21
running		217:25	81:5,12,20	263:23
10:23		304:25	83:6,21	265:22
108:2	Saudi	104:3,	93:16	268:5,20
rush 220:14		4,9,18	95:16	274:5
			97:11	277:13
Russ 7:23	Saved	167:9	103:6,8	280:20
Russia 91:17	schedule		105:9	282:14
92:11		31:21	106:13	284:13,15
100:21		164:11	112:17	292:18
149:20		217:3	121:2,5	293:3,11
Russian	scheduled		124:8	294:25
91:4,11		162:23	125:18,24	298:21,23
92:1,24			133:22	303:8,14
99:16,20	Schmidt	7:19	134:19,22,	304:5,13,
100:1,11,		9:24 12:11	25 135:25	17,22
14,22		13:20,25	136:3	305:12,15,
101:12,14		14:4,8,14	137:8	19,22
		18:25	142:11	307:10,13
<hr/>		22:3,9,13	149:21	school 9:25
S		23:23	155:16	10:7
S-H-E-W-E-L-L		25:7,14,	156:2	104:12
175:24		20,25	159:9	
		26:4,8,14	166:6,15,	scratching
sadly 10:9		28:9	23 167:7	189:22
49:12		29:16,20	172:18	screamed

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019
 Index: screenshot..set

254:22	25	159:1	298:18	275:5
256:1	169:22		sentence	295:9,11
screenshot	183:25	40:19		services
54:21	199:22	132:5		17:15,19,
55:23	237:11	143:5,6		20,21
screenshots	250:4,9	170:9,15		18:6,8
	270:14,21			23:11,14
57:1	274:9,12,	sentences		29:11
screwed	16 283:7	133:6		30:3,10
161:7	284:2,5	separate		44:4 51:18
seat 45:22	298:17	65:5 93:1,		65:11
seats 45:25	select	2 96:4		66:4,7,13
	127:16	116:17		87:13
secondary	280:1,2	118:24		93:20
154:11	sellers 49:7	separated		105:13,14
secrecy	semantic	23:19		113:21
297:25	134:12	150:6		125:4,9,14
secret 53:21	send 110:8,	separating		168:21
76:18	22 118:13	99:6		274:17
253:16	181:1	served 123:6		294:21
298:6	192:14	server 55:9	servicing	307:2
section	197:19,25	service		125:2
153:10	198:2,9	13:10	set 43:22	
162:3,6	247:9	18:11		45:18
secure	288:2	29:15,18,		95:21,25
133:17	sender	24,25		114:15
142:23	289:20	44:23 64:9		117:13
security	sending	65:1,4		141:12
44:8 48:16	110:14	76:22		146:11
53:25	194:11	77:4,15		150:17
110:4	202:25	91:7 98:22		184:23
134:14	253:19	101:6,11,		196:19
142:13	254:23	15 111:15		242:6,9
152:7,13,	sense 152:6	122:3		262:22
25 157:17,	212:7	222:23		271:13
21 158:11,		239:4		292:21

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: sets..signing

sets	94:10 , 12	short	35:16 63:14 71:4	139:18 170:5	111:7 114:12 , 18
Setting			136:10	177:25	115:14
80:10			209:3 256:22	178:1 , 11 179:9	124:25 126:23
share	130:16		266:7	183:3	150:15
shared			302:11	232:13 , 22 , 24	172:2 175:5
121:24		shortly		Sig 184:19	191:6 , 14
130:8			241:2		193:2
131:3				sign 102:1 , 12 193:6	194:12
251:11		show	39:4 47:19 54:7	198:1 , 15 , 17 301:22	198:1 202:3 , 10 208:14
sharing			56:4 58:19		
130:14			158:22		
sheet	68:3		268:25	302:5 , 8 , 12 , 14 , 23	263:22
shell	276:24	showed	47:11 54:22	303:3 , 6 , 11	284:11 290:10 , 17
Sherry-			85:25	305:6	299:7
netherland			127:19	306:4	304:25
36:23			158:16	signal	306:8 , 11 , 12
Sherry-		showing		110:7 , 9 , 15 , 23	
netherlands			56:20	184:5 , 7 , 8 , 15 , 19 , 24	signing 102:21 103:20
76:11			157:9	186:14 , 18 , 21 201:15	125:6 172:6
296:25				202:25	173:12
shewell		shown	250:4	222:17	191:9
175:24		shows		228:21	197:15
176:20 , 22			157:14 , 21	229:10 , 23	296:15 , 17
177:1			249:1	297:18	297:14
274:7 , 9 , 21 , 23 , 24		shrugs	8:17	signatory	299:1 , 4 , 17 , 25
275:5 , 8 , 12		shut	150:24 231:8	299:5	301:20
Shewell's				signature	302:6 , 7
175:25		Siberia		126:9	303:12 , 13
shift	217:11		100:14	signed 82:18	304:3 , 4 , 12
shopping		side	29:18	85:11	305:24
214:20 , 22			39:4 50:15		
215:6			98:11 , 12		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: signs..sources

306:7	156:8	158:11, 25	148:9
signs	194:16	skeptical	149:6
		296:11	156:1, 19
silly	130:1		158:18
179:19		slammed	165:5
229:4		185:12	172:21
243:3		231:8	177:17
similar		slapped	187:18
15:16		225:5	191:5, 22
35:13		slide 100:5, 7	196:16
36:15			212:13
111:15		sold 10:16	217:9, 11
163:2, 6		49:15, 16	
simple	129:9	soldiering	231:21
168:9		46:25	240:1
193:19		somebody's	248:16, 18, 25
simultaneous		148:4	254:18
10:4		150:19	262:13
sister	157:6	156:9	288:11, 18
		231:15	292:10
		239:24	301:5, 8
sit	108:25	sort 22:10	sought 87:19
115:3		30:20 31:9	286:22
		34:24	
sites	61:13	35:18	sounded
sitting		37:22	192:5
177:17		38:13, 14	305:2, 3
192:3		39:1	sounds
199:8		43:19, 22	122:15
245:10		46:5 48:6	236:6
265:23		52:12	source
267:5		58:11, 24	193:11
290:12		65:8 92:6	sources
300:3		102:9	70:10
303:22		108:19	79:14
305:17		112:1	107:5
situation		128:18	138:19
142:14		129:6	153:22, 23
		139:6	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019
Index: space..stated

154:1,19	282:21	spoken	136:4,6
265:2	294:9	213:14	157:25
space 256:6	specifically	Spring 46:10	183:1
speak 21:8	67:1 75:25	squash	201:2,3,11
36:9	83:24	266:16	202:2,5
43:10,20	specificity	squawking	219:20
94:19	21:23	150:19	224:19
128:1	79:13	233:13	233:13
299:3	91:25	stamped 27:5	244:10
304:17,23	specifics	30:14	268:13
speakerphone	38:25 40:6	41:12	282:12
191:24	215:5	186:15	started
speaking	speculate	248:1	10:17 15:8
24:14	149:21	251:24	39:1
26:22	speed 231:5	254:2	132:10
36:18 51:7	spending	257:3	137:2
72:24	138:9	stand 261:6	163:13
100:2	spends	261:6	177:13
187:15	166:21	standard	250:25
192:2	274:11	102:15	258:15
298:11	spent 120:1	135:2	290:3
304:20	220:4	138:1	starting
speaks 42:22	256:12,14	142:8	10:19
special	spinach	216:19	175:18
254:2,8	210:20	221:2	183:15
specialized	split 114:10	standards	230:4
206:12	115:9,10	90:16	state 8:2
Specially	246:22	start 8:16	107:9
236:15	247:20	9:19,20	127:1,6
specialty	splitting	11:24,25	154:15
29:9	116:4	38:19	160:18
specific	246:16,25	75:15	242:15
59:2	spoke 214:13	79:18	285:15
259:11		108:5	291:1,5
		116:6	292:4
		128:25	stated 23:17
		133:19	

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: statement..strategic

statement	stave	211:6	17:7,9,15	103:10
23:22	stay	33:4	18:5,10,20	104:13,17
298:2,3			19:11,21	105:8,13,
	stayed		20:5,9,11,	17 111:10,
statements		231:13	15,24	14 112:24
118:4	stealing		22:18,25	113:4,10
138:18		71:21	23:11,15	114:9
States 33:4	step	14:11	24:1	115:8,19
35:20	stepped	71:7	26:23,25	117:14,18,
56:7,9,16,	steps	298:5	28:22	24 118:3
17 74:9	sterling		29:10,15,	119:23
98:19		90:16	19,24	120:4,14
101:20			30:2,9	121:14,23
152:10	stick	196:3	35:2	122:11,14,
153:3,4			38:18,21	25 123:9
157:16		288:20	39:5,6,16	124:16
178:16	sticky	31:14	40:8 43:22	125:2,8,13
205:9			44:23 45:1	126:2
233:24	stock	102:18	51:4,14	127:5,8
268:14,17	stop	8:15	52:9,20	129:17
278:3		15:22 16:5	54:18	130:6,14
279:15		50:12	58:12	131:9
stateside		192:10	60:12	132:7,12
139:2		239:7,16,	65:1,11,13	133:8,15,
statesmanlike		21 246:3,	66:6	20 134:3
40:13		11	67:16,19,	135:11,15
station	stopped	16:7	24 76:22	136:13
147:1,2		245:23	79:2,4,9	137:4
227:13	stops	202:21	80:1 81:22	138:23
228:1	story	302:11	83:4 90:22	141:14,21
247:23			91:10	142:2,9
284:25	strategic		93:6,8,21	144:19
285:2		7:5,20	96:11,21,	146:14
		9:12,13	25 97:16	147:4
status 40:14		10:17,19,	98:22	148:16
238:19		24 11:25	99:23	149:11,23
250:5		14:20 15:6	102:4,12,	151:2
285:18		16:10,17	15,23	152:4,14,

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: Strategic's..suggested

21 153:12,	263:4	263:12	280:10
18,24	264:2,6,11	striking	286:23
154:18,21,	265:17	75:15	Subscribed
25 155:12,	266:5,20	strong	308:18
18 156:21	268:11	103:18	subsequent
159:16	271:11	260:5	196:18
160:13	272:1,15	148:23	subsequently
163:1,8	274:17,20	Study 10:7	45:9 96:7
165:17,19	275:4,14,	stuff 57:6	299:8
166:19	24 277:24	185:23	substance
169:6,7	278:9,10	198:22,25	169:20
170:6,7,	280:9	211:8	230:20
18,22,23	282:10,20,	229:18	substantial
171:16	24 283:6,	240:2,3	49:4
176:1,19	12 284:9	250:25	substantially
177:1,6	285:13	252:17	163:2
178:5,9	286:19,20,	261:24	subteams
219:6,11	23 287:18	265:3	278:23,25
222:20	288:5,8,25	270:22	succinctly
223:11	290:25	290:4	289:8
230:7	291:12,19	stunt 171:13	suddenly
232:7	294:4,5,20	stymied	266:7
234:6	298:4	223:8	subject
238:24	307:2	subject	Suen 52:17
240:6	Strategic's	40:25	53:1
241:16,18,	298:9	85:12	57:12,24
20 242:3	street 9:3	117:7	79:23
243:4,21	26:12	122:24	84:10
244:22	45:12	260:13	157:4
246:2,18	stretch 54:4	266:19	281:2,10
251:17	strict 61:10	282:1	suggest
253:18	strike 20:25	285:18	293:11
255:8	133:19	299:15	suggested
257:18	141:20	subjects	33:12
258:14,15	159:5	132:2	
259:3,6,13	258:18		
261:18			
262:9,20			

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: suggestion..talk

suggestion	245:13	SVUS390	91:2	
190:12	surrogates	SVUS40	248:4	T
suite 29:11,	40:16,22	SVUS402		tab 140:15
12 93:22	surveillance	101:24		table 84:2,
summon 285:3	19:17	SVUS41		16,20,21
	47:21	249:25		85:3 86:3,
sun 45:24	93:13	SVUS84	63:18	13 174:6,
84:22	284:2			18 185:13
185:14		swear	7:12	287:16
super 179:15	SV390 100:7			296:22
Supplemental	SV79 40:12	switched		tagged
271:12	SVUS000040	127:23		234:24
	248:1	Switzerland		236:14,15,
support		9:25		16,18,21,
100:10,15	SVUS000041	118:21		22
supporting	248:1	119:8		
133:5	SVUS000077	133:1		tail 114:19
suppose	30:14	272:25		146:17
62:13	SVUS000080	273:8		Taiwan 32:12
225:24	41:13	swore	271:21	34:23
supposed	SVUS000262	sworn	8:2	takeaway
169:8	254:3	308:4,18		224:8
174:1,2	SVUS000272	symbol		takes 140:22
197:5	257:3	187:13		165:23
282:11	SVUS000277	synergy		177:25
289:6	257:3	91:21		261:23
supposedly	SVUS000278	synthesis		288:19
96:2	260:13	154:17		taking
178:14				125:17
199:6	SVUS242	system	76:18	talk 61:14
surmise	260:21	156:4		70:15
161:9	SVUS260	236:19		121:21
surprised	251:24	systems		160:14
62:14	252:2	198:21		161:24
193:8	SVUS387			164:17
242:23	74:18			212:15

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: talked..teams

213:21	163:17	52:10,11,	207:13
227:23	177:13	21 62:19	212:2,3
237:19	189:7	65:14	213:4,15,
239:19	191:12,17,	83:2,3,4,	23 214:6
297:5	20 192:9	7,9,13,15,	216:11
299:16,24	194:2	16,20	218:25
talked 36:2	230:24	87:16,17	219:11
49:25 80:3	233:11	91:19,25	226:24
95:23	235:2,10	94:4,7,21	232:11,12,
108:11	244:5,15	95:8,11	23 233:20
139:15	252:24	96:1,2,6,	234:6
189:6	260:23	8,16 97:1	239:9,16
227:21	266:12	98:23,25	240:5,7
228:6	272:9,13	99:1,3,7,8	241:3
230:15	281:18	100:3	246:2,11
297:23	289:20	102:23	247:17
	305:3	103:11,15	252:6
talking 8:15	talks 140:24	116:7,11,	253:3,16,
26:10		12,13	20 264:14,
38:22	tank 128:3,	118:24	15,25
40:7,24	5,10,14	119:2,8,10	269:11,13,
57:17 58:3	129:1,3,	131:4,19	14,15
72:14	10,18	145:17	270:2,8
74:12	196:19	146:3,19	271:3
80:19	target	148:23	272:8,9
81:11	77:11,18	150:1,3,23	278:13,14,
88:18,19	93:3	152:22	18,21,22
96:9		154:3	279:1,8,
109:16	targets	163:17	11,14
112:13	82:5,13,	176:22	280:2,6
114:20	16,17,21	177:2,17,	283:1
116:24	83:10,25	19 179:1,	285:22
118:6	84:7	2,7,10,11	289:4
128:16	task 258:17	183:5	teams 20:19
129:4	tasks 96:16	205:4,5,7,	65:3 76:24
132:11		8,12,23,24	80:9,11,12
144:22	tax 116:19	206:1,7,	93:9 94:7,
154:4	team 20:7	15,19	10,13,23,

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: technically..tertiary

24 95:4,22	189:7	10,13	65:11
96:22 97:6	191:7	214:25	67:16 77:4
98:3,4,17	193:7	217:10	79:9 87:13
99:11,12	222:16	218:6,9	89:23
103:18	297:4,5	219:25	90:24
115:4	306:2	222:21	93:13,21
130:17,22	telephoned	233:8	94:8 114:3
131:10,12,	126:22	244:10	121:3
16,18		ten-day	130:14
132:13,14,	telling	244:14	138:1,23
18 133:9,	72:17		139:8
11,19,20	120:24	tend 294:13	140:3,4
134:4	141:11	tended 184:1	141:19
141:12	161:4	tenor 122:1	142:4
145:17	166:13	tense 105:1	147:21
146:1,2,5	tells 276:24		148:25
169:4	ten 82:5,	tentacles	163:8,19
177:2	12,13,17,	93:18	169:14
204:12,13	21 83:10,	term 103:1	171:4
205:6,15	25 84:7	127:14	177:22
232:20	127:22	128:25	178:25
239:5	128:4	137:22	180:10
253:16	129:10	142:1,9	187:18
272:6	145:24	188:10	188:1,9
278:19,24	146:12	204:8	193:5
technically	147:17	terminated	198:17
171:9	150:13,14,		201:19
192:11	18,22	123:25	235:21
207:20	162:24	266:7	247:6
technique	171:6	terminology	259:13
260:1	181:11,25	128:20	terribly
	187:19	129:18	56:11
telephone	188:11	143:2	terrorists
25:18	194:21	terms 15:5,	105:2
81:14	196:15	17 16:5,13	tertiary
119:25	205:20,21,	20:11	257:12
148:5	22 211:25	44:16	
183:14	212:1,3,		

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: Teske..time

Teske	7:22	156:10	232:22	three-month
	70:25	172:11	245:18	150:15
	125:23	176:14	246:6	197:8
	290:24	185:2	254:19	247:5
	292:20, 24	194:16, 22,	269:17	three-year
	293:7, 16	23 197:25	275:9	41:12
	304:15, 18	239:24	287:24, 25	197:6, 11
	307:8	245:22	298:18	
		254:19		threw 84:1,
test	58:25	256:15	thinking	16, 19 86:3
		265:3	195:4	
	305:25	269:19	200:18	thrown 85:3
		285:25	third-party	thumb 267:12
	8:3	289:24	7:23	ticket 171:5
		290:17	thought	tidbit
	308:4	292:16	26:10	157:24
		things 8:17	44:12 48:7	tight 164:11
testimony		11:10 19:3	60:24 61:2	
	293:25	22:9 36:4	101:17	till
	308:5, 9	37:21 51:2	171:19	305:12, 13
Texas	163:18	54:21	190:22	time 7:13
	269:10	56:5, 6	202:1, 6, 9	11:23
	270:7	64:6 89:5	209:22	16:11, 20
		94:2 95:14	215:16	32:23 33:3
text	64:23	96:11	242:24	34:3 40:7
	194:1	99:10	255:3	46:12
	210:18	115:18	274:10	50:19
	297:8	117:10	287:15	51:14, 25
there'd		123:4	thousand	52:1 58:21
	289:18	138:17	117:3	73:13, 20
thing	22:11	149:5		74:1 85:21
	46:5 56:12	150:24	thread	90:20
	95:5	159:25	186:18	108:3, 20
	101:20	177:14	228:22	114:19
	116:20	179:3	threats	115:7
	124:21	183:20	89:7, 10,	117:18, 22
	129:16	205:2	14, 16	120:1
	149:7	221:8		122:12

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: time-based..total

123:5	238:15	182:14, 22	195:4
124:24	239:4	224:5	199:17
125:10	245:18	277:10	206:2
126:22	247:2	302:17	210:8
127:15	256:12, 14,	timing	213:3, 5
140:23	15 259:24	137:14	214:8, 12
148:14	261:24	256:15	220:18, 21
150:12	266:7		222:10
151:19	269:12	Tinted 46:1,	227:9
161:22	273:14	2	228:12, 15
164:1	274:11	title 17:13	231:23
165:23	276:19	74:2	233:3
166:1, 21	279:18	today 8:9	235:22
167:11	283:11, 20	24:19	237:15, 16,
170:21	284:17, 20	25:10	21 239:20
171:21	286:5	118:6	249:8, 14,
174:9, 17	288:19	192:3	19 250:6, 7
181:2	300:25	245:11	271:5
189:7, 9	304:1, 3, 10	265:24	274:6
193:9	306:1	267:5	287:1
194:19	307:16	274:5	301:18
197:11	308:9	290:12	tomorrow
200:18	time-based	292:22	200:12
201:5	166:3	293:10, 24	ton 282:18
203:5	timeline	today's 7:6	top 30:24
211:15	41:12	25:15	72:7
212:16	43:17 44:3	307:16	196:10
214:5	timely	told 35:18	231:13
218:10	162:23	48:23	250:8
220:4	times 11:18	67:18	306:12
222:5, 23	12:18	103:13	tortious
224:25	29:25	105:7, 10,	266:4
225:22	32:22	12 155:22	
227:1	33:19, 20,	168:14, 15,	tossed
228:5	21, 22, 23,	17 171:1	196:24
229:17	24 146:2,	185:10	
237:4, 19	4, 10 164:5	186:8	total 63:24

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: totally..turning

252:24	204:2	117:17, 24	trusted
255:13	Tracks	118:15	73:12
totally	146:25	120:1	264:1
156:3	train 147:1,	147:23, 24	trusts
160:4	2 201:22	247:15	242:9, 11
161:6, 7	227:13	traveled	truth 161:4
183:5	228:1	261:25	306:17, 20
233:9	train's	travels	308:4
touch 49:11	247:22	245:6	Tuesday
177:16	transaction	Treasury	182:1
180:13, 14, 17, 18	292:16	44:9 48:17	Turkey
233:9	transcribe	treated	104:22
240:3, 4	109:11	130:7	turn 24:11
254:12	transcript	tree	120:4, 14
256:9	308:8	248:24, 25	122:21
258:19	transfer	249:7, 16	156:1
touched	170:11	trial 58:11	211:7
262:16	translate	trick	215:17
tracing	43:1	106:23, 24	229:6
283:5	288:18, 20	trip 47:7	265:9
track 31:20	translating	205:16	turned 73:5
147:19	42:13, 19	227:15	114:15, 22,
tracked	43:7	trouble 72:4	24 115:1
207:17, 19	translation	288:1	123:9
tracking	42:16	true 258:12	128:4
138:9	288:13	308:8	129:11
147:10, 13, 16, 17	translators	Trump 98:7	184:10
148:8, 10, 17, 23	264:1, 5, 13, 15, 16,	106:5	225:2
149:2	25 265:18	trust 44:8	276:18
151:13	trap 231:6	48:16, 23	turning
158:1	travel	111:12	40:12
181:4	116:25	114:1	63:18
		153:22	101:24
		182:8, 14	155:21

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: two-minute..understand

192:7	169:9,10	98:8 180:8	95:13,15
195:17	260:3,4	253:1	99:13
196:8	300:21	261:17	100:12
200:10	U.S. 28:14	295:18	107:3
211:16	64:5	297:24	108:22
249:23	98:11,12,	unable	112:10
265:5	14,17	165:20	113:18
two-minute	99:1,9	266:6	123:22
254:5	119:4	unavailable	129:5
type 109:14	139:8	162:18	130:2
typed 109:8,	152:15,16,	164:1	131:7,12,
15 135:21	22 154:13	unclassified	14,18
types 22:9,	177:25	107:6	134:2,3,
18 93:13	178:11,13,	uncles	10,16
139:12	14 179:9	221:17	135:8
281:6,21	234:22	understand	141:17
295:16	235:1,11	142:16	142:16
typical	285:15	16:2,3	143:18
217:4	286:21	19:3,4,5	144:6,8,9
typically	U.S.-based	24:15	148:21
44:23	99:7,11	26:18,22	149:7
145:20	179:6	27:1 28:3	152:14
154:18,22	U.S.-located	44:22 47:6	153:18
typing 42:2	152:23,24	52:22	155:22
109:17,18	UAE 66:25	55:1,5,13	158:1
typos 112:8	67:1,2,21	57:20	162:14
	68:14,15,	58:22 59:3	164:10,14
U	22,24	60:8,21	167:19
	72:20 73:4	61:4 68:15	168:10
U.K. 80:16	Uh-uh 271:9	75:24	179:21
118:21	ultimately	77:21	180:24
119:3	61:6	78:6,24	184:21
132:20		79:25 81:1	197:22
160:4	um-hum 34:9	82:9,22	201:5
168:17	60:4 91:3	85:18	202:9
		89:6,9	205:13
		93:25	206:12
			208:1

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: understanding..verbally

209:20	133:18, 20	University	225:21
212:17	134:4, 19	10:3	228:8
213:16, 20	135:15	Unlike 8:13	267:9, 22
225:10	140:3		288:24
228:1	164:2	unreasonable	289:4
230:12	168:5	190:23	
231:18	169:11	218:9	USBS 134:23
232:3	195:10, 22	unusual	267:17
236:4	212:14	163:6	usual 18:14,
238:21	279:8	update 145:4	15 104:6
256:3	282:9, 10	216:6	119:25
263:6	288:13	updating	128:19
267:1, 19	unforeseen	143:22	218:14
268:15, 19	162:15		utilized
270:24		upped 82:12	275:4
280:17	unhappy	upset 164:7	
281:9	221:3		V
284:1	245:13	upset all	
293:19	Union 284:25	245:18	Valley 46:11
understanding	unit 17:4	US-BASED	valuable
34:15, 16	United 33:4	98:5	121:19
43:7, 9	35:19	USB 75:5	180:21
53:9 77:12	56:7, 9, 16,	110:24	247:2
102:2	17 69:13	134:9	Vegas 9:7, 15
127:16	71:21 74:9	136:20, 22	vein 51:18
166:20	98:19	141:25	
181:8	101:19	145:18	verbal 20:21
226:25	152:10	146:13	141:7, 9
230:3, 8, 9	153:3, 4	148:15	143:14, 21
240:6, 7	157:16	151:16, 20,	144:11
241:1	178:16	23 152:4,	145:6
278:18	205:9	12 174:12,	194:22
306:1, 24	233:23	13, 20	216:6
understood	261:25	175:10	verbally
58:12	268:13, 17	199:9	8:19
62:18	278:3	201:24	140:18
128:22	279:14	213:17	151:5, 10,

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: verification..vision

11 194:14 ,	207:20	65:2,12,13	20 134:3
22 217:24 ,	282:15,19	66:6 76:22	135:11,15
25 286:19	Virginia 9:4	79:2,4,9	136:13
verification	109:4	80:1 81:22	137:4
153:25	292:4,13	83:4 90:22	141:14,21
		91:10	142:2,9
verified	visa 154:13	93:6,8,21	144:20
263:23	157:17	96:11,21,	146:14
271:21	160:1	25 97:16	147:4
verify	visas 160:19	98:22	148:16
155:1,6	178:15	99:23	149:11,23
202:22	vision 7:5,	102:4,12,	151:2
verifying	20 9:12	15,24	152:5,14,
96:1 154:7	10:17,19,	103:10	21 153:12,
	24 11:25	104:14,17	24 154:18,
version	14:20 15:6	105:8,13,	21,25
85:19	16:10,17	17 108:18,	155:12,18
versions	17:7,10,15	20 111:10,	156:21
112:20	18:10,20	14 112:24	163:1
versus 7:4	19:11,21	113:5	165:19
139:5,8	20:5,9,15,	114:9	169:7
266:24	24 22:19,	115:8,19	170:6,8,
	25 23:12	117:14,18	18,22
vetting	24:1	118:3	171:16
278:1	26:24,25	119:23	176:1,19
280:1,2	29:10,15,	120:4,14	177:7
viable	19 30:2,9,	121:14	178:5,9
232:21	20 35:2,17	122:11,15	219:6
vice 248:14	38:14,21	123:9	222:20
view 175:7	39:6 40:8	124:16	223:11
	41:10	125:2,8,13	232:7
viewing	43:18	126:2	238:24
174:18	44:23 45:1	127:5,8	241:20
views 38:24	51:4,15	129:17	242:3
	52:9,20	130:7,14	243:4,21
virgin	54:18	131:9	244:22
174:14	58:13	132:8,12	246:2,18
176:13,15	60:12	133:8,15,	251:17

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: Vision's..Waller

253:18	138:23	wait	8:12	53:4, 6, 10
255:8	153:19		78:2	58:3 64:17
257:18	159:16		128:15	75:7, 9
258:14, 15	160:13		238:23	77:5 80:4,
259:3, 6, 13	163:8		305:12, 15,	11 91:8
261:18	165:17		19	92:13
262:9	166:20	waiting		107:15
264:2, 6, 11	169:7		305:21	113:11, 20
265:17	177:2	waiving		114:9
266:5, 21	219:11			115:8, 20
268:11	230:7		293:8	116:16, 18
272:2, 15	234:6	Walkabout		117:5, 13,
274:17, 21	240:7		46:24	16 126:19
275:4, 14,	241:17, 18	walked	26:12	132:17, 18
24 277:24	262:20		43:3	138:22
278:9, 10	263:4		55:16, 22	139:13
280:10	271:11	walking		146:23
282:10, 24	282:20			162:2, 4
283:13	283:6		42:14	177:21
284:9	286:24	wall	55:14	178:19, 20,
285:13	visualize		62:9	22, 23, 24
286:19, 21	128:1	Waller		182:24
287:18	vital	142:25		183:16
288:5, 8, 25			11:12, 13,	184:9, 17
290:25	Vladivostok		14, 15, 20,	200:9
291:12, 19	100:15		21, 22	213:14, 21
301:4, 5	voice	191:25	12:1, 23	214:11
Vision's	192:5		13:3, 16	220:1, 13
9:13 18:5	305:4		14:18	225:18
23:15	volume	212:9	15:4, 9, 17,	227:4, 23
29:24			24 16:13	228:6
38:18	volumes		28:1 30:22	229:9, 12,
39:16	266:24		35:21	22, 25
67:17, 19,	<hr/>		36:5, 6	230:3, 15
24 113:10	<hr/>	w	37:11	237:7
117:24	<hr/>		41:15	243:15
121:23	wade	292:10	42:10	244:2
122:25			46:21	246:10, 17,

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
 French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: Waller's..weapon

22 247:9,	226:11,13	229:13,14,	283:18
19 252:8	228:21	25 244:23	warnings
258:19	241:6,10	264:4	268:10
267:21	247:25	265:19	
272:10	251:22	Wang's 227:2	Washington
291:7,23	252:1,2		11:18
303:2	254:1	wanted	12:18
Waller's 35:10 53:14 57:7 77:13 229:19 230:8 234:8 280:5	257:1,2,6	12:21,22	33:19,20,
	260:11,12	37:16,17,	21,23,24
	262:20,24	18,20 40:5	34:6,22,24
	271:11,15,	45:12 46:5	37:17,18,
	16 275:17,	47:9,15,	19,21 39:3
	19,20	16,17	44:9,14
	292:25	48:9,15,17	45:16,17,
	293:9,15	58:7 65:7	21 47:14,
	308:14	73:2 88:7,	23 76:15
		25 89:1	131:4
wallop 7:3, 21 8:1,6 9:1 24:5,7 27:4,7 30:13,16 31:12 41:11,18, 19,20 65:15,17, 19 73:19, 23 79:3 81:11 84:9,13 85:8 107:18,20 109:23 126:3,6 156:12 161:12 167:18 186:14,17 209:6	Wang 27:25 46:12 47:7 54:6 55:19,21 59:15 62:12 109:24 111:1 126:16 137:6,13 146:25 151:14 164:19 182:3 185:8 187:15 190:15,22 191:14 195:13 202:25 219:19 227:5	90:11 97:7 101:18 108:23 123:5 127:23 139:20 140:2,4,12 147:19 148:14 171:11 208:4 212:17 218:18,20 219:2 225:10,12, 23 231:5 233:24 245:14 297:21 301:10,11 wanting	176:13 187:3 222:15 237:10 Washington- based 44:11 waste 181:1 259:24 watch 234:22 watching 234:24 ways 18:14, 15 42:20 61:1 139:1 179:14 wealth 276:12 weapon 86:22 87:9 88:4,

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: web..worked

6	whereabouts	word	106:14	105:1
web 279:3	240:13		128:7	115:1
week 143:9	White 37:20		209:17	122:24
192:13			213:10,11	130:13
200:23	Whomever		254:16	155:4
202:10	20:3		287:6	167:4
207:12	wild 289:14		301:4	179:1
210:12	wildest	words	62:18	194:5
211:18	303:15		89:3 92:21	198:5,19
233:7,8	William		94:17	201:21
237:18	168:15		98:25	216:13
242:22	300:21		99:10	239:17
254:21	win 98:6		106:18	240:7
256:14			129:14	243:2,4
283:4	windows		130:17	246:3,11
290:16,18	45:23,25		135:21	250:15,16
	46:1		160:20	255:10
weekend			176:9	257:25
202:16	wire 113:23		182:15	274:13,21
weekends	115:25		208:7,10	277:25
215:1	116:1,2,4,		254:18	282:20
216:15	11,16,17,		265:11	286:24
219:1	22 170:11		282:1	287:18
	192:24			289:2
weekly	193:1	work	10:10	294:9,10,
144:13	194:11		12:21 15:5	12 295:7,
weeks 171:5	195:2,6		16:8 17:11	23 301:3
195:9	247:9,16		19:13,16	
230:13	252:5		20:16	worked 12:23
265:2	253:21		21:17	13:3,16
	289:10,12,		23:13 30:5	14:18 20:8
weird 197:21	17 290:8		32:13	30:23
237:13,24			35:16	34:25 36:4
weirdo 208:5	wires 116:9,		37:14 45:5	66:3 73:4
	10 175:18		58:25 83:1	75:9
Wengui	wiring 290:4		90:4 91:22	103:15
275:25	woman		93:14 94:9	105:5,8
whack 160:1	157:22,23		100:1,3	107:11,15
				176:8

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019

Index: working..Yvette

186:25	worries	200:10	25 33:16
256:16,17	190:6	209:7	34:22
257:18,19	worry 13:14	211:17	49:15
258:4	108:16	214:19	104:21
264:6		230:3,11	197:14
295:2	wow 36:7		
	62:25 84:6	Wu 168:16	206:11
working	185:17	300:21	295:5
11:25			301:14
15:4,8,22,	wrap 293:10	Wyoming 9:6	yesterday
23 16:5	write 247:9	242:6,7,9	189:12
32:11	writes 33:19		
44:14 74:8	writing	x	Yiu 84:10
77:11	135:6,21,	xi 248:12,	York 8:3
95:19	23 144:5	13	54:16
120:1,15	145:13		84:25
156:5	written 38:7		114:17
206:11	102:13	yacht 285:4,	175:1,9
211:25	114:5	8	176:5
215:1	133:13,15,		181:20
216:9,15,	21 134:1,	yanked	193:6
17 218:25	5,6,16,17,	175:20	198:18
225:8	25 135:1,	Yanping	199:24
245:13	8,12	264:4	200:2,4
253:15	144:11,12	Yao 157:2,	212:24
258:15	145:7	6,7 226:12	214:16
264:22	204:11	year 12:2	young 206:9,
269:13	267:11	15:9 32:3	10
works 11:1	294:13	41:4 49:13	Yu 300:21
19:4 47:22	wrong 193:1	120:9	Yvette 27:25
212:14	213:11	164:8,12,	45:11
231:4	223:12	18 165:9	46:5,21
267:2	254:16	197:10	48:3,7
274:14	wrote 65:25	231:15	55:15,19,
279:7	139:16	years 10:1,	21 56:23
Worldwide	196:8	11 11:16	59:21 60:9
11:1	199:20	20:8 22:7,	74:22
			75:21 76:6

EASTERN PROFIT CORP LIMITED vs STRATEGIC VISION US, LLC
French Wallop on 02/12/2019 Index: Yvette's..Zeichner

85:17	Yvette's
111:1	226:16
114:15	300:3
126:16	
136:18	Z
137:6,12	
146:10,24	Zach 7:15
164:19	8:6
172:5	Zeichner
173:8,10	7:17
174:13,20	
176:6	
185:8	
186:20	
195:1,15,	
16 215:14	
219:18	
222:1,10	
223:19	
224:4	
227:5	
228:1,7	
229:11,13,	
14,25	
231:4,25	
232:2	
243:22	
244:25	
264:4,22	
265:18	
284:25	
286:3	
297:10,21	
299:2,10	
302:7,12	
304:11,25	
306:1,3,8	
307:4	